

1997 and one in 1998. The agreement settling Mr. Kautzman's lawsuit does not preclude the agency's consideration of such safety events. Neither conviction, however, would have been disqualifying, even if the violation had been committed in a CMV, and there is no cause to conclude that either conviction related to Mr. Kautzman's visual deficiency.

Basis for Preliminary Determination To Grant Exemption

Independent studies support the principle that past driving performance is a reliable indicator of future safety. The studies are filed in FHWA Docket No. FHWA-97-2625 and discussed at 63 FR 1524, 1525 (January 9, 1998). We believe we can properly apply the principle to monocular drivers because data from the vision waiver program clearly demonstrate the driving performance of monocular drivers in the program is better than that of all CMV drivers collectively. (See 61 FR 13338, March 26, 1996.) That monocular drivers in the waiver program demonstrated their ability to drive safely supports a conclusion that other monocular drivers, with qualifications similar to those required by the waiver program, can also adapt to their vision deficiency and operate safely.

In evaluating applications, it is the policy of the agency to screen out submissions which do not meet the criteria for consideration in terms of minimum visual capacity, duration and recency of CMV driving experience, and driving record. Thereafter, each application is individually considered on its merits. To be sure, in Mr. Kautzman's case, his experience and safe driving record in a CMV are not as recent as would normally pass the initial screening. The unique circumstances of this case justify special consideration due to the protracted litigation. In Mr. Kautzman's case, therefore, the FMCSA is dispensing with the screening stage, and has considered his case on the merits. Mr. Kautzman has qualifications similar to those possessed by drivers in the waiver program. His actual driving of CMVs was unusually intense over a 32-month period in all periods of the day and night, and under varying highway conditions. His experience and safe driving record operating CMVs demonstrate that he had adapted his driving skills to accommodate his vision deficiency. For these reasons, and under the conditions set forth below, the FMCSA believes exempting this applicant from 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10) is likely to achieve a level of safety equal to or greater than the level that would

be achieved without the exemption as long as vision in his better eye continues to meet the standard specified in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10). As a condition of the exemption, therefore, the FMCSA proposes to impose requirements on Mr. Kautzman similar to the grandfathering provisions in 49 CFR 391.64(b) applied to drivers who participated in the agency's former vision waiver program.

These requirements are the following: (1) That he be physically examined every year (a) by an ophthalmologist or optometrist who attests that vision in his better eye meets the standard in 49 CFR 391.41(b)(10), and (b) by a medical examiner who attests he is otherwise physically qualified under 49 CFR 391.41; (2) that he provide a copy of the ophthalmologist's or optometrist's report to the medical examiner at the time of the annual medical examination; and (3) that he provide a copy of the annual medical certification to his employer for retention in its driver qualification file or keep a copy in his driver qualification file if he is self-employed. He must also have a copy of the certification when driving to present to a duly authorized Federal, State, or local enforcement official.

In accordance with revised 49 U.S.C. 31315 and 31136(e), the proposed exemption will be valid for 2 years unless revoked earlier by the FMCSA. The exemption will be revoked if: (1) Mr. Kautzman fails to comply with the terms and conditions of the exemption; (2) the exemption results in a lower level of safety than was maintained before it was granted; or (3) continuation of the exemption would not be consistent with the goals and objectives of 49 U.S.C. 31315 and 31136(e). If the exemption is effective at the end of the 2-year period, Mr. Kautzman may apply to the FMCSA for a renewal under procedures in effect at that time.

Request for Comments

In accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31315 and 31136(e), the FMCSA is requesting public comment from all interested parties on the exemption petition and the matters discussed in this notice. All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered and will be available for examination in the docket room at the above address. Comments received after the closing date will be filed in the docket and will be considered to the extent practicable, but the FMCSA may issue an exemption to Mr. Kautzman and publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of final determination at any time after the close of the comment period. In addition to

late comments, the FMCSA will also continue to file in the docket relevant information which becomes available after the closing date. Interested persons should continue to examine the docket for new material.

A copy of this notice will be mailed to compliance and enforcement personnel in the State of North Dakota, in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 31315(b) (7) and 31136(e), and we welcome comments from State officials.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 322, 31315 and 31136; 49 CFR 1.73.

Issued on: April 6, 2000.

Julie Anna Cirillo,

Acting Deputy Administrator.

[FR Doc. 00-9256 Filed 4-13-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

[Docket No. MARAD-2000-7224]

Information Collection Available for Public Comments and Recommendations

AGENCY: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Maritime Administration's (MARAD) intentions to request approval for three years of a new information collection titled "Intermodal Access Impediments to U.S. Ports and Marine Terminals Survey."

DATES: Comments should be submitted on or before June 13, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Evie Chitwood, Office of Intermodal Development, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Room 7209, Washington, DC 20590, telephone number—202-366-5127. Copies of this collection can also be obtained from that office.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title of Collection: Intermodal Access Impediments to U.S. Ports and Marine Terminals Survey.

Type of Request: Approval of a new information collection.

OMB Control Number: 2133-NEW.

Form Number: MA.

Expiration Date of Approval: Three years from the date of approval.

Summary of Collection of Information: The "Intermodal Access Impediments to U.S. Ports and Marine Terminals Survey," was designed to be a questionnaire of critical infrastructure impediments that impact the Nation's

ports and marine terminals. The collection of information will provide key highway, truck, rail, and waterside access data and will highlight the access impediments that affect the flow of cargo through U.S. ports and terminals.

Need and Use of the Information: The collection of information is necessary for MARAD officials to identify and assess the physical infrastructure impediments that impact the major ports and marine terminals. The annual data received will be used to statistically demonstrate the change in access impediments to the Nation's ports and terminals.

Description of Respondents: U.S. Ports and Terminals (including the top 50 U.S. deepwater ports, the top 25 container ports and the 14 strategic ports as well as the major shallow draft ports).

Annual Responses: 162 responses.

Annual Burden: 162 hours.

Comments: Signed written comments should refer to the docket number that appears at the top of this document and must be submitted to the Docket Clerk, U.S. DOT Dockets, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590. Comments may also be submitted by electronic means via the Internet at <http://dmses.dot.gov/submit>. Specifically, address whether this information collection is necessary for proper performance of the function of the agency and will have practical utility, accuracy of the burden estimates, ways to minimize this burden, and ways to enhance quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected. All comments received will be available for examination at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m., edt. Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays. An electronic version of this document is available on the World Wide Web at <http://dms.dot.gov>.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator.

Dated: April 10, 2000.

Joel C. Richard,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00-9253 Filed 4-13-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-81-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research and Special Programs Administration

Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration

[Docket No. RSPA-00-7021 (PDA-23(RF))]

Application by Med/Waste, Inc. and Sanford Motors, Inc. for a Preemption Determination as to Morrisville, PA, Requirements for Transportation of "Dangerous Waste"

AGENCY: Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA) and Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA), DOT.

ACTION: Public Notice and Invitation to Comment.

SUMMARY: Interested parties are invited to submit comments on an application by Med/Waste, Inc. and Sanford Motors, Inc. for an administrative determination whether Federal hazardous materials transportation law preempts requirements of the Borough of Morrisville, Pennsylvania, concerning the transportation of "dangerous waste" (including infectious, chemotherapeutic, or hazardous wastes) within the Borough of Morrisville.

DATES: Comments received on or before May 30, 2000, and rebuttal comments received on or before July 13, 2000, will be considered before an administrative ruling is issued jointly by RSPA's Associate Administrator for Hazardous Materials Safety and FMCSA's Administrator. Rebuttal comments may discuss only those issues raised by comments received during the initial comment period and may not discuss new issues.

ADDRESSES: The application and all comments received may be reviewed in the Dockets Office, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room PL-1401, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590-0001. The application and all comments are also available on-line through the home page of DOT's Docket Management System, at "<http://dms.dot.gov>."

Comments must refer to Docket No. RSPA-00-7021 and may be submitted to the docket either in writing or electronically. Send three copies of each written comment to the Dockets Office at the above address. If you wish to receive confirmation of receipt of your written comments, include a self-addressed, stamped postcard. To submit comments electronically, log onto the Docket Management System website at <http://dms.dot.gov>, and click on "Help & Information" to obtain instructions.

A copy of each comment must also be sent to (1) Med/Waste's Vice President for Legal Affairs, Ross M. Johnston, Esq., Med/Waste, Inc., 6175 N.W. 153rd Street, Suite 324, Miami Lakes, FL 33014, and (2) the solicitor to the Borough of Morrisville, Stephen L. Needles, Esq., Stuckert and Yates, Two North State Street, P.O. Box 70, Newtown, PA 18940. A certification that a copy has been sent to these persons must also be included with the comment. (The following format is suggested: "I certify that copies of this comment have been sent to Mr. Johnston and Mr. Needles at the addresses specified in the **Federal Register**.")

A list and subject matter index of hazardous materials preemption cases, including all inconsistency rulings and preemption determinations issued, are available through the home page of RSPA's Office of the Chief Counsel, at "<http://rspa-atty.dot.gov>." A paper copy of this list and index will be provided at no cost upon request to Mr. Hilder, at the address and telephone number set forth in **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frazer C. Hilder, Office of the Chief Counsel, Research and Special Programs Administration (Tel. No. 202-366-4400), or Joseph Solomey, Office of the Chief Counsel, Federal Highway Administration (Tel. No. 202-366-1374), U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Application for a Preemption Determination

Med/Waste, Inc. and its subsidiary, Sanford Motors, Inc. (collectively "Med/Waste") have applied for a determination that Federal hazardous material transportation law, 49 U.S.C. 5101 *et seq.*, preempts requirements contained in Ordinance No. 902 of the Borough of Morrisville, Pennsylvania, applicable to the transportation of "dangerous waste" (including infectious, chemotherapeutic, and hazardous wastes as defined in Ordinance No. 902) in and through the Borough of Morrisville. In a later letter to RSPA's Office of the Chief Counsel, Med/Waste provided the name of the Borough Manager of the Borough of Morrisville and a copy of a newspaper article that relates to the adoption of Ordinance No. 902. Through its solicitor, the Borough of Morrisville responded to Med/Waste's application in a March 1, 2000 letter.