

Background

Established in 1851, the AFRH in Washington, DC continues its mission as a retirement community for military veterans. The 276-acre site is currently developed with 93 structures including the U.S. Soldiers' and Airmen's Home National Landmark District.

In 2002, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Pub. L. 107-107, 24 U.S.C. 410, *et seq.*) gave the AFRH, with approval of the Secretary of Defense, authority to dispose of any property by sale, lease, or otherwise that is excess to the needs of the AFRH. Proceeds from such a disposal are to replenish the AFRH's Trust Fund. To implement this authority, AFRH is currently preparing a Master Development Plan for its 276-acre campus in Washington, DC that will guide the long-term use and development of the site.

Alternatives Under Consideration

AFRH will analyze the proposed action and no action alternatives for the proposed Master Development Plan. AFRH will analyze a range of alternatives for future development on the AFRH campus. These alternatives will include development of portions of the site for office, commercial, institutional, and residential uses. As part of the EIS, AFRH will study the impacts of each alternative on the human environment.

Scoping Process

In accordance with NEPA, a scoping process will be conducted to aid in determining the alternatives to be considered and the scope of issues to be addressed, as well as for identifying the significant issues related to the proposed Master Development Plan. Scoping will be accomplished through a public scoping meeting, direct mail correspondence to potentially interested individuals, agencies, and organizations, and meetings with agencies having an interest in the AFRH. It is important that Federal, regional, and local agencies, and interested individuals and groups take this opportunity to identify environmental concerns that should be addressed during the preparation of the Draft EIS.

The AFRH is also using the NEPA scoping to facilitate consultation with the public under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (36 CFR Part 800: Protection of Historic Properties). AFRH welcomes comments from the public to ensure that it takes into account the effects of its action on historic properties.

Public Scoping Meeting

The public scoping meeting will be held on September 9, 2004, from 6:30 to 8:30 p.m. at the Armed Forces Retirement Home—Sherman Building South located at 3700 N. Capital Street, NW., in Washington, DC. Photo identification will be required to enter the site, and security will direct visitors to available parking. The meeting will be an informal open house, where visitors may come, receive information, and give comments. AFRH will publish notices in the Washington Post and local newspapers announcing this meeting. AFRH will prepare a scoping report, available to the public, which will summarize the comments received and facilitate their incorporation into the EIS and Section 106 processes.

Written Comments

Agencies and the public are encouraged to provide written comments on the scoping issues in addition to or in lieu of giving their comments at the public scoping meeting. Written comments regarding the environmental analysis for the proposed Master Development Plan must be postmarked no later than September 17, 2004, and sent to the following address: Armed Forces Retirement Home, Attention: Craig Wallwork, 3700 North Capitol Street, NW., Washington, DC 20011, craig.wallwork@afrh.gov.

Dated: August 13, 2004.

Timothy Cox,

Chief Operating Officer, Armed Forces Retirement Home.

[FR Doc. 04-18896 Filed 8-17-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8250-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

[I.D. 081304A]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).
Title: Northeast Multispecies Framework Adjustment 40A Logbook Information Data Collection.

Form Number(s): None.

OMB Approval Number: None.

Type of Request: Emergency submission.

Burden Hours: 2,533.

Number of Respondents: 997.

Average Hours Per Response: 15 minutes for an electronic vessel trip report.

Needs and Uses: The National Marine Fisheries Service is submitting the proposed rule to implement provisions contained within Framework Adjustment 40A to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan. This submission requests clearance for the following provisions: (1) A Category B (regular) days-at-sea Pilot Program; (2) Closed Area I Hookgear Special Access Program (SAP); (3) Eastern United States/Canada SAP Pilot Program; and (4) Modifications to the Western United States/Canada Area Regulations.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit organizations; individuals or households.

Frequency: On occasion, annually, daily.

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482-0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at dHynek@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent by August 25, 2004 to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, FAX number 202-395-7285, or David_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: August 11, 2004.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 04-18959 Filed 8-17-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

[Docket No. 03-BIS-07]

Action Affecting Export Privileges; Aura Ltd.

Order

The Bureau of Industry and Security, United States Department of Commerce ("BIS") having initiated an administrative proceeding against Aura Ltd. ("Aura") pursuant to section 766.3 of the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 CFR parts 730-774 (2004)

("Regulations"),¹ and section 13(c) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. app. sections 2401–2420 (2000)) ("Act"),² based on the amended charging letter issued to Aura that alleged that Aura committed five violations of the Regulations. Specifically, the charges are:

1. Five Violations of 15 CFR 764.2(b)—Aiding and Abetting an Export in Violation of the Regulations: Between on or about June 2, 1999 and on or about March 22, 2000, Aura aided and abetted the export of bone densitometer equipment items subject to the Regulations and the Iran Transactions Regulations, from the United States to Iran without prior authorization from the Office of Foreign Assets Control, U.S. Department of the Treasury, as required in Section 746.7 of the Regulations. Aura aided and abetted the illegal exports by transshipping the items from the United Kingdom to Iran to complete their shipment from the United States.

BIS and Aura having entered into a Settlement Agreement pursuant to Section 766.18(b) of the Regulations whereby they agreed to settle this manner in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth therein, and the terms of the Settlement Agreement having been approved by me;

It is therefore ordered:

First, that for a period of two years from the date of entry of this Order, Aura Ltd., 39 Rushdon Rd., Milton Ernest, Bedford, Bedfordshire, MK44 1RU, United Kingdom, its successors or assigns, and when acting for or on behalf of Aura, its officers, representatives, agents, or employees ("Denied Person") may not participate, directly or indirectly, in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the

United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

Second, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the denied person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, that, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in

Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Aura by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of the Order.

Fourth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

Fifth, that a copy of this Order shall be delivered to the United States Coast Guard ALJ Docketing Center, 40 Gay Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202–4022, notifying the office that this case is withdrawn from adjudication, as provided by Section 766.18 of the Regulations.

Sixth, that the charging letter, the Settlement Agreement, and this Order shall be made available to the public.

This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.

Entered this 12th day of August 2004.

Julie L. Myers,

Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Export Enforcement.

[FR Doc. 04–18876 Filed 8–17–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Action Affecting Export Privileges; Zlatko Brkic

Order

The Bureau of Industry and Security, United States Department of Commerce ("BIS") having notified Zlatko Brkic ("Brkic") of its intention to initiate an administrative proceeding against Brkic pursuant to section 766.3 of the Export Administration Regulations (currently codified at 15 CFR parts 730–774 (2004)) ("Regulations"),¹ and section 13(c) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (50 U.S.C. app. sections 2401–2420 (2000)) ("Act"),²

¹ The violations charged occurred in 1999. The Regulations governing the violations at issue are found in the 1999 version of the Code of Federal Regulations (15 CFR Parts 730–774 (1999)). The 2004 Regulations establish the procedures that apply to this matter.

² From August 21, 1994 through November 12, 2000, the Act was in lapse. During that period, the President, through Executive Order 12924, which had been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the last of which was August 3, 2000 (3 CFR, 2000 Comp. 397 (2001)), continued the

Continued

¹ The violations charged occurred between 1999 and 2000. The Regulations governing the violations at issue are found in the 1999 and 2000 versions of the Code of Federal Regulations (15 CFR parts 730–774 (1999–2000)). The 2004 Regulations establish the procedures that apply to this matter.

² From August 21, 1994 through November 12, 2000, the Act was in lapse. During that period, the President, through Executive Order 12924, which had been extended by successive Presidential Notices, the last of which was August 3, 2000 (3 CFR, 2000 Comp. 397 (2001)), continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701–1706 (2000)) ("IEEPA"). On November 13, 2000, the Act was reauthorized and it remained in effect through August 20, 2001. Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 CFR, 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), as extended by the Notice of August 7, 2003 (3 CFR, 2003 Comp. 328 (2004)), has continued the Regulations in effect under the IEEPA.