located in the EPA Docket Center, is (202) 566–0280.

2. Electronic access. You may access this Federal Register document electronically through the EPA Internet under the Federal Register listings at http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr/. The documents referenced in Unit I.B.1 are also accessible through the EPA Internet at http://www.epa.gov/opptintr/chemtest/dnt/index.htm. To access the OPPTS Harmonized Test Guideline referenced in this document, go directly to the guideline at http://www.epa.gov/opptsfrs/home/guidelin.htm/.

In addition, an electronic version of the public docket is available through EPA's electronic public docket and comment system, EPA Dockets. You may use EPA Dockets at http:// www.epa.gov/edocket/ to access the index listing of the contents of the official public docket and to access those documents in the public docket that are available electronically. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B.1. Once in the system, select "search," then key in the appropriate docket ID number.

Certain types of information will not be placed in the EPA Dockets. Information claimed as CBI and other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute, which is not included in the official public docket, will not be available for public viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. EPA's policy is that copyrighted material will not be placed in EPA's electronic public docket but will be available only in printed, paper form in the official public docket. To the extent feasible, publicly available docket materials will be made available in EPA's electronic public docket. When a document is selected from the index list in EPA Dockets, the system will identify whether the document is available for viewing in EPA's electronic public docket. Although not all docket materials may be available electronically, you may still access any of the publicly available docket materials through the docket facility identified in Unit I.B.1. EPA intends to work towards providing electronic access to all of the publicly available docket materials through EPA's electronic public docket.

II. What Action is the Agency Taking?

This action announces the availability of EPA's response to the petition. The public may access both the petition and EPA's response as described in Unit I.B. of this document.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides, Toxic substances, Test guidelines.

Dated: January 3, 2005.

Susan B. Hazen,

Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances.

[FR Doc. 05–711 Filed 1–12–05 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–S

FARM CREDIT SYSTEM INSURANCE CORPORATION

Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation Board; Regular Meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the regular meeting of the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation Board (Board).

DATE AND TIME: The meeting of the Board will be held at the offices of the Farm Credit Administration in McLean, Virginia, on January 13, 2005, from 10 a.m. until such time as the Board concludes its business.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jeanette C. Brinkley, Secretary to the Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation Board, (703) 883–4009, TTY (703) 883–4056.

ADDRESSES: Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This meeting of the Board will be open to the public (limited space available. In order to increase the accessibility to Board meetings, persons requiring assistance should make arrangements in advance. The matters to be considered at the meeting are:

Open Session

- A. Approval of Minutes
 - December 2, 2004 (Regular Meeting)

New Business

• Review of Insurance Premium Rates Dated: January 7, 2005.

Jeanette C. Brinkley,

Secretary, Farm Credit System Insurance Corporation Board.

[FR Doc. 05–686 Filed 1–12–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6710-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority

January 6, 2005.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, Public Law No. 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the propeer performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments should be submitted on or before March 14, 2005. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) comments to Cathy Williams, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1–C823, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554 or via the Internet to Cathy.Williams@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contract Cathy Williams at (202) 418–2918 or via the Internet at Cathy. Williams@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control Number: 3060–0996. Title: AM Auction Section 307(b) Submissions.

Form Number: Not applicable.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit entities.

Number of Respondents: 450. Estimated Time Per Response: 0.5–3

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 1,100 hours. Total Annual Cost: \$132,500. Privacy Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Needs and Uses: Section 307(b) of the Communications Act, as amended, requires that the Commission effect a fair, efficient and equitable distribution of radio stations throughout the United States. In the context of competitive bidding application processing, Section 307(b) is relevant when a mutually exclusive AM application group consists of applications to serve different communities, or when a nonmutually exclusive AM application proposes a community of license change. Such applications must submit supplemental information addressing Section 307(b) criteria. The data submitted will be used to determine the community having the greater need for an AM radio service.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05-653 Filed 1-12-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-10-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[CC Docket No. 94-102; DA 04-3874]

State Actions To Achieve Effective Deployment of E911 Capabilities for Multi-Line Telephone Systems (MLTSs)

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice; solicitation of comments.

SUMMARY: This document solicits comments about the progress made by the states in implementing E911 solutions for multi-line telephone systems (MLTSs). The Commission committed to releasing a public notice on this issue in its previous documents that revised the scope of the enhanced 911 rules to clarify which technologies and services will be required to be capable of transmitting enhanced 911 information to Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs).

DATES: Comments are due on or before February 28, 2005. Reply comments are due on or before March 29, 2005.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington DC 20554. See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for further

filing instructions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Contact Michael Goldstein, Wireline Competition Bureau, (202) 418-0806, michael.goldstein@fcc.gov; or Cathy Zima, Wireline Competition Bureau, (202) 418–7380, cathy.zima@fcc.gov. Users of TTY equipment, call (202) 418-0484.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This public notice solicits comment about the progress made by the states in implementing E911 solutions for multiline telephone systems (MLTSs). When an emergency (i.e., 911) call is placed from a station served by an MLTS, the Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) receiving the call will not always be able to identify the office, dormitory room or other detailed location of the caller. This problem is well known and has been a subject of several Commission proceedings. In its Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, Final rule; 69 FR 6578, February 11, 2004, and Proposed rule; 69 FR 6595, February 11, 2004, the Commission was concerned that "the lack of effective implementation of MLTS E911 could be an unacceptable gap in the emergency call system" but declined to adopt federal rules to address this issue, because the record demonstrated that state and local governments are in a better position to devise such rules for their jurisdictions. Expecting the "states to act expeditiously in this area," the Commission committed to releasing a public notice in a year to examine states' progress and announced its intention to re-visit the E911-MLTS/ caller location issue depending on the results of its evaluation of state action.

Status of State Action

Public sources indicate that approximately twelve states have adopted legislation addressing E911 requirements for MLTSs. These same sources indicate that some state regulatory commissions have promulgated regulations addressing these requirements. We seek public comment about state-adopted statutes and regulations, as well as about proposals for action in this area that may be currently under consideration and the anticipated time frames for conclusion of such proposals. We specifically ask commenters to identify and discuss state actions that may be based on model legislation such as that proposed by the National Emergency

Number Association (NENA) and the Association of Public-Safety Communications Officials (APCO).

More specifically, we ask commenters to identify and discuss relevant state activity by: (1) Specific identification (citation) to a particular statute or regulation, or proposed statute or regulation, in each case; (2) identification of any corresponding state Web page where these activities are presented or discussed; (3) identification of the date any final legislative or regulatory action became effective or is expected to become effective; (4) discussion of any requirements placed on carriers, MLTS equipment manufacturers, MLTS operators, or any other persons; and (5) discussion of how the statute and/or regulation is enforced.

With regard to (4), commenters should note whether any entities are specifically exempted from adopted requirements imposed by the legislation or regulations and explain the criteria for exemption. To the extent legislation or regulation was proposed but not ultimately adopted, we invite parties to explain why such action was not taken, such as cost concerns, technical complexity, and the perceived lack of demand or need for the proposed requirements.

Use of Model Legislation

The Commission's Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking states: "we believe that the Model Legislation submitted by NENA and APCO offers the states a valuable blueprint for their own laws," and "we strongly support the approach taken by the model legislation." With this view of the model legislation, we request information regarding how it has affected efforts by the states to produce their own statutes. In particular, please describe how this model legislation has been used in determining states approaches, how extensively this model legislation has been and is being used, and its perceived shortcomings.

In addition to use of this particular model legislation, we invite comment as to whether there are any other models that states have found useful in developing legislation, e.g., laws passed in any other state. We ask commenters to identify such examples and analyze their possible utility for widespread use.

Carrier Services Provided Under State Tariff

Although our primary focus is evaluating state action addressing E911/ MLTS issues, we also seek comment on the extent to which carriers and others offer E911 solutions for MLTSs. It