

Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 66, No. 110

Thursday, June 7, 2001

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2001-9559; Airspace Docket No. 01-AWP-2]

RIN 2120-AA66

Proposed Revision of VOR Federal Airway 105 and Jet Route 86; AZ

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This action proposes to revise Federal Airway 105 (V-105) and Jet Route 86 (J-86) in the vicinity of Arizona. Specifically, the FAA is proposing to revise V-105 between the Drake and Phoenix, AZ, Very High Frequency Omnidirectional Radio Range and Tactical Air Navigation Aids (VORTAC) in order to manage aircraft operations in the Phoenix, AZ, terminal area. Additionally, the FAA is proposing to revise J-86 between Winslow, AZ, and Peach Springs, AZ, as part of the National Airspace Redesign effort and to improve system efficiency in the Phoenix, AZ, area.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before July 23, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on this proposal to the Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room Plaza 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. You must identify the docket number FAA-2001-9559/Airspace Docket No. 01-AWP-2, at the beginning of your comments.

You may also submit comments on the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>. You may review the public docket containing the proposal, any comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Docket Office (telephone 1-800-

647-5527) is on the plaza level of the Department of Transportation NASSIF Building at the above address.

An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Hawthorne, CA 90261.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ken McElroy, Airspace and Rules Division, ATA-400, Office of Air Traffic Airspace Management, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments, as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal.

Communications should identify both docket numbers and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. FAA-2001-9559/Airspace Docket No. 01-AWP-2." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter.

All communications received on or before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Rules Docket both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRM's

An electronic copy of this document may be downloaded through the Internet at <http://dms.dot.gov>. Recently published rulemaking documents can also be accessed through the FAA's web page at <http://www.faa.gov> or the Superintendent of Document's web page at <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara>.

Additionally, any person may obtain a copy of this notice by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Air Traffic Airspace Management, ATA-400, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267-8783. Communications must identify both docket numbers for this notice. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should call the FAA's Office of Rulemaking, (202) 267-9677, for a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Distribution System, which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is proposing an amendment to Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 to revise V-105 and J-86 in the vicinity of Arizona. Specifically, this notice is proposing to revise V-105 between the Drake and Phoenix, AZ, VORTAC in order to improve the management of aircraft operations in the Phoenix, AZ, terminal area. Although these changes will result in a slight increase in distance, the proposed actions will properly align these routes to facilitate operations in the Phoenix Terminal Area. Additionally, in this action the FAA is proposing to revise J-86 between Winslow, AZ, and Peach Springs, AZ, as part of the National Airspace Redesign effort and to improve system efficiency in the Phoenix, AZ, area.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation: (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under Department of Transportation (DOT) Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory

evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Jet routes and Domestic VOR Federal airways are published in paragraphs 2004 and 6010(a), respectively, of FAA Order 7400.9H dated September 1, 2000, and effective September 16, 2000, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The jet route and VOR Federal airway listed in this document would be published subsequently in the order.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, CLASS B, CLASS C, CLASS D, AND CLASS E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIRWAYS; ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p.389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.9H, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 1, 2000, and effective September 16, 2000, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 2004 Jet Routes

* * * * *

J-86 [Revised]

From Beatty, NV; INT Beatty 131° and Boulder City, NV 284° radials; Boulder City; Peach Springs, AZ; INT of Peach Springs 091°(076°M) and Winslow, AZ, 301°(287°M) radials; El Paso, TX; Fort Stockton, TX; Junction, TX; Humble, TX; Leeville, LA; INT Leeville 104° and Sarasota, FL, 286° radials; Sarasota; INT Sarasota 103° and La Belle, FL, 313° radials; La Belle; to Dolphin, FL.

* * * * *

Paragraph 6010(a) Domestic VOR Federal Airways

* * * * *

V-105 [Revised]

From Tucson, AZ; INT Tucson 300° and Stanfield, AZ 145° radials; Stanfield; Phoenix, AZ; INT Phoenix 321°(309°M) and

Drake, AZ, 168°(154°M) radials; Drake; 25 miles, 22 miles 85 MSL; Boulder City, NV; Las Vegas, NV; INT Las Vegas 266° and Beatty, NV, 142° radials; 17 miles, 105 MSL; Beatty; 105 MSL, Coaldale, NV; 82 miles, 110 MSL; to Mustang, NV.

* * * * *

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 31, 2001.

Reginald C. Matthews,

Manager, Airspace and Rules Division.

[FR Doc. 01-14328 Filed 6-6-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

16 CFR Part 1115

Substantial Product Hazard Reports

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Proposed revision to interpretative rule.

SUMMARY: Section 15(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b), requires manufacturers, distributors, and retailers of consumer products to report potential product hazards to the Commission. The Consumer Product Safety Commission publishes a proposed revision to its interpretative rule advising manufacturers, distributors, and retailers how to comply with the requirements of section 15(b). The proposed revision points out that information concerning products manufactured or sold outside of the United States that may be relevant to the existence of potential defects and hazards associated with products distributed within the United States should be evaluated and may lead to a report under section 15(b).

DATES: Comments from the public are due no later than July 9, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marc Schoem, Director, Division of Recalls and Compliance, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207, telephone—(301) 504-0608, ext. 1365, fax—(301) 504-0359, E-mail address—mschoem@cpsc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 15(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA), 15 U.S.C. 2064(b) requires manufacturers, distributors, and retailers of consumer products to report potential product hazards to the Commission. In 1978, the Commission published an interpretative rule, 16 CFR 1115, that clarified the Commission's understanding of this requirement and that established policies and procedures

for filing such reports and proffering remedial actions to the Commission. That rule talks generally about the types of information a firm should evaluate in considering whether to report, but does not specifically address information about experience with products manufactured or sold outside of the United States. Neither the statute, nor the rule itself, suggests that firms need not evaluate such information and, when appropriate, report to the Commission under section 15(b).

Over the past several years, the Commission has received section 15(b) reports that have included information on experience with products abroad. When appropriate, the agency has initiated recalls based in whole or in part on that experience. In addition, the Firestone tire recall of 2000 focused public attention on the possible relevance of information generated abroad to the safety of products used in the United States. Accordingly, to assure that firms who obtain information generated abroad are aware that they should consider such information in deciding whether there is a need to report under section 15(b), the staff recommended that the Commission issue a policy statement to this effect. On January 3, 2001, the Commission solicited comments on a proposed policy statement summarizing the Commission's position that, under section 15(b), information concerning products sold outside of the United States may be relevant to defects and hazards associated with products distributed within the United States.

On May 17, 2001, after receiving and analyzing the comments, the Commission voted to issue a final policy stating that information concerning products manufactured or sold outside of the United States which may be relevant to the existence of potential defects and hazards associated with products distributed within the United States should be evaluated and may be reportable under section 15(b). The Commission's analysis of those comments and the final policy statement are published elsewhere in this edition of the **Federal Register**.

The Commission believes that members of the public should fully understand their obligations under the law. In the context of the obligation to evaluate and, if necessary, to report information from outside the United States under section 15(b), the Commission believes that it can best accomplish this objective by amending the existing interpretative rule to reflect the substance of the policy statement. Accordingly, the Commission proposes to amend the interpretative rule as