

Subpart A—Board of Immigration Appeals**§ 3.1 [Amended]**

2. In § 3.1, amend paragraph (a)(1) by revising the second sentence to read as follows:

* * * The Board shall consist of a Chairman, two Vice Chairmen, and twenty other members. * * *

PART 0—ORGANIZATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

3. The authority citation for 28 CFR part 0 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 301; 28 U.S.C. 509, 510, 515–519.

Subpart U—Executive Office for Immigration Review

4. Amend § 0.116 by revising the first sentence to read as follows:

§ 0.116 Board of Immigration Appeals.

The Board of Immigration Appeals shall consist of a Chairman, two Vice Chairmen, and twenty other members.

* * * * *

Dated: September 6, 2001.

John Ashcroft,

Attorney General.

[FR Doc. 01–22906 Filed 9–11–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–30–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**10 CFR Part 72**

RIN 3150–AG75

List of Approved Spent Fuel Storage Casks: Standardized NUHOMS®–24P and –52B Revision; Confirmation of Effective Date

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Direct final rule; confirmation of effective date.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is confirming the effective date of September 12, 2001, for the direct final rule that appeared in the **Federal Register** of June 29, 2001 (66 FR 34523). This direct final rule amended the NRC's regulations by revising the Standardized NUHOMS®–24P and –52B cask system listing within the “List of Approved Spent Fuel Storage Casks” to include Amendment No. 3 to Certificate of Compliance Number 1004. Amendment No. 3 will modify the

present cask system design to add the –61BT dry storage canister which is the storage portion of a dual purpose cask design intended to both store and transport spent fuel. This document confirms the effective date.

DATES: The effective date of September 12, 2001, is confirmed for this direct final rule.

ADDRESSES: Documents related to this rulemaking, including comments received, may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. These same documents may also be viewed and downloaded electronically via the rulemaking website (<http://ruleforum.llnl.gov>). For information about the interactive rulemaking website, contact Ms. Carol Gallagher (301) 415–5905; e-mail CAG@nrc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gordon Gundersen, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Telephone (301) 415–6195 (E-mail: GEG1@nrc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 29, 2001 (66 FR 34523), the NRC published in the **Federal Register** a direct final rule amending its regulations in 10 CFR 72 by revising the Standardized NUHOMS™–24P and –52B cask system listing within the “List of Approved Spent Fuel Storage Casks” to include Amendment No. 3 to Certificate of Compliance Number 1004. Amendment No. 3 will modify the present cask system design to add the –61BT dry storage canister which is the storage portion of a dual purpose cask design intended to both store and transport spent fuel. In the direct final rule, NRC stated that if no significant adverse comments were received, the direct final rule would become final on the date noted above. The NRC received a number of comments requesting an extension to the public comment period, but no supporting rationale for the extension request was provided. Some of these commenters also provided additional comments with their request. The NRC has evaluated these additional comments and determined that none of them were significant and adverse to warrant withdrawal of the direct final rule, or supported a need for an extension of the comment period.

Therefore, the request for extension is denied and the direct final rule will become effective as scheduled, September 12, 2001.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 6th day of September, 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Michael T. Lesar,
Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–22863 Filed 9–11–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 39**

[Docket No. 2000–NM–334–AD; Amendment 39–12435; AD 2001–18–09]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 777–200 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Boeing Model 777–200 series airplanes, that requires inspections for cracking of the web of the horizontal and sloping pressure decks of the fuselage and certain stiffener splice angles and stiffener end fittings, and repair, if necessary. This amendment also provides an optional preventative modification, which ends the repetitive inspections. The actions specified by this AD are intended to find and fix cracking of the web of the horizontal and sloping pressure decks, which could result in rapid in-flight decompression of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective October 17, 2001.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of October 17, 2001.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124–2207. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stan Wood, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM–120S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington

98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2772; fax (425) 227-1181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Boeing Model 777-200 series airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on June 27, 2001 (66 FR 34130). That action proposed to require inspections for cracking of the web of the horizontal and sloping pressure decks of the fuselage and certain stiffener splice angles and stiffener end fittings, and repair, if necessary. That action also proposed to provide an optional preventative modification, which ends the repetitive inspections.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were submitted in response to the proposal or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 93 Model 777-200 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 27 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 36 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required inspections, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$58,320, or \$2,160 per airplane, per inspection cycle.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on

the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2001-18-09 BOEING: Amendment 39-12435. Docket 2000-NM-334-AD.

Applicability: Model 777-200 series airplanes, line numbers 001 through 093 inclusive, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not

been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To find and fix cracking of the web of the horizontal and sloping pressure decks, which could result in rapid in-flight decompression of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Initial Inspections

(a) Do the inspections in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) of this AD at the compliance times specified in those paragraphs. Do the inspections according to the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 777-53-0004, dated May 11, 2000.

(1) *Area 1:* Prior to the accumulation of 16,000 total flight cycles, do an internal high frequency eddy current (HFEC) inspection or an external low frequency eddy current (LFEC) inspection of the horizontal pressure deck web in Inspection Area 1, as defined in the service bulletin.

(2) *Area 2:* Prior to the accumulation of 31,000 total flight cycles, do an internal HFEC inspection or an external LFEC inspection of the horizontal pressure deck web, an internal HFEC inspection of the sloping pressure deck, and a detailed visual inspection of the stiffener end fittings at body station (BS) 1245 and the stiffener splice angles at BS 1287, in Inspection Area 2, as defined in the service bulletin.

Note 2: For the purposes of this AD, a detailed visual inspection is defined as: "An intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

(3) *Area 3:* Prior to the accumulation of 46,000 total flight cycles, do an internal HFEC inspection or an external LFEC inspection of the horizontal pressure deck web, and an internal HFEC inspection of the sloping pressure deck, in Inspection Area 3, as defined in the service bulletin.

Repetitive Inspections

(b) Repeat the inspections in paragraph (a) of this AD at least every 2,500 flight cycles for areas inspected using the HFEC or detailed visual inspection method, or at least every 1,000 flight cycles for areas inspected using the LFEC inspection method, until paragraph (d) of this AD is done.

Corrective Actions

(c) If any cracking is found during any inspection required by paragraph (a) or (b) of this AD: Before further flight, repair the affected area according to Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 777-53-0004, dated May 11, 2000; except, where the service bulletin says to contact Boeing for repairs, repair per a method approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA; or per data meeting the type certification basis of the airplane approved by a Boeing Company Designated

Engineering Representative who has been authorized by the Manager, Seattle ACO, to make such findings. For a repair method to be approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO, as required by this paragraph, the Manager's approval letter must specifically reference this AD. Repair according to this paragraph ends the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (b) of this AD for the repaired area.

Optional Preventative Modification

(d) Modification of Inspection Areas 1, 2, and 3, according to Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 777-53-0004, dated May 11, 2000, ends the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (b) of this AD for the modified area.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle ACO. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

Special Flight Permits

(f) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Incorporation by Reference

(g) With the exception of certain requirements in paragraph (c) of this AD, the actions shall be done in accordance with Boeing Special Attention Service Bulletin 777-53-0004, dated May 11, 2000. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

Effective Date

(h) This amendment becomes effective on October 17, 2001.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 31, 2001.

Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 01-22588 Filed 9-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of Workers' Compensation Programs

20 CFR Parts 1 and 30

RIN 1215-AB32

Performance of Functions Under this Chapter; Claims for Compensation Under the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act

AGENCY: Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Employment Standards Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Interim final rule; reopening and extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor is reopening and extending the comment period for the interim final rule it published on May 25, 2001 (66 FR 28948). The comment period closed on August 23, 2001, and is being reopened (retroactive to that date) and extended for an additional period.

DATES: The Department will continue to accept written comments on the interim final rule from interested parties. Comments on the interim final rule must be received by September 24, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments on the interim final rule to Shelby S. Hallmark, Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Employment Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S-3524, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shelby S. Hallmark, Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Employment Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S-3524, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, Telephone: 202-693-0036 (this is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In response to requests from members of the public, the Department has decided to reopen and extend the public comment period for the interim final rule it published on May 25, 2001 (66 FR 28948). The comment period closed on August 23, 2001, and is being reopened (retroactive to that date) and extended through September 24, 2001. In the interim final rule, which became effective on July 24, 2001, the Department promulgated regulations governing its administration of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act (EEOICPA), Pub. L. 106-398, 114 Stat. 1654, 1654A-

1231 (October 30, 2000). The EEOICPA established a compensation program to provide a lump sum payment of \$150,000 and medical benefits as compensation to covered employees suffering from designated illnesses incurred as a result of their exposure to radiation, beryllium, or silica while in the performance of duty for the Department of Energy and certain of its vendors, contractors and subcontractors. This legislation also provided for payment of compensation to certain survivors of these covered employees, as well as for payment of a smaller lump sum (\$50,000) to individuals (who would also receive medical benefits), or their survivor(s), who were determined by the Department of Justice to be eligible for compensation under section 5 of the Radiation Exposure Compensation Act (42 U.S.C. 2210 note).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 7th day of September, 2001.

Elaine L. Chao,

Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 01-22960 Filed 9-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-CH-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of the Attorney General

28 CFR Part 0

[A.G. Order No. 2511-2001]

Organization; United States Marshals Service

AGENCY: Department of Justice.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule amends the regulation that describes the structure, functions, and responsibilities of the United States Marshals Service of the Department of Justice. The rule will describe fully the authority delegated to the Director of the United States Marshals Service to exercise the power and authority vested in the Attorney General under 18 U.S.C. 3521 to provide for the health, safety, and welfare of Government witnesses and their families.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 4, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gerald M. Auerbach, Senior Litigation Counsel, United States Marshals Service, 600 Army Navy Drive, CS-3, Arlington, Virginia, 22202-4210, (202) 307-9054.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department's description of the United States Marshals Service is being revised