the Act, by reason of less-than-fair-value imports of NFAJC from the PRC.

Therefore, the Department will direct the U.S. Customs Service to assess, upon further advice by the Department, antidumping duties equal to the amount by which the normal value of the subject merchandise exceeds the export price or constructed export price of the subject merchandise for all entries of NFAJC from the PRC, except for subject merchandise both produced and exported by North Andre, which received a zero final margin. The ITC further found that critical circumstances do not exist with respect to imports of the subject merchandise from the PRC. As a result, antidumping duties will be assessed on all unliquidated entries of NFAJC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after November 23, 1999, the date of publication of the Department's preliminary determination in the **Federal Register** (64 FR 65675), and the Department will direct Customs to refund any cash deposits made, or bonds posted, on any subject merchandise which was entered prior to the Department's preliminary determination publication date of November 23, 1999. Finally, we will instruct Customs to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties and to refund all cash deposits, or bonds posted, for entries of subject merchandise both produced and exported by North Andre.

On or after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, Customs officers must require, at the same time as importers would normally deposit estimated duties, cash deposits for the subject merchandise equal to the weighted-average antidumping duty margins as noted below:

Exporter/manufacturer	Revised Weighted-average margin percentage
North Andre	(1)
Haisheng	12.03
Lakeside	27.57
Zhonglu	8.98
Oriental	9.96
Nannan	25.55
Asia	14.88
Fuan	14.88
Changsha Industrial	14.88
Shandong Foodstuffs	14.88
PRC-wide rate	51.74

[1] Excluded.

This notice constitutes the antidumping duty order with respect to NFAJC from the PRC, pursuant to section 735(a) of the Act. Interested parties may contact the Central Records Unit, Room B–099 of the main Commerce building for copies of an updated list of antidumping duty orders currently in effect.

This order is published in accordance with section 736(a) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.211.

Dated: May 30, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–14029 Filed 6–2–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–351–806]

Silicon Metal From Brazil; Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of expedited sunset review of silicon metal from Brazil.

SUMMARY: On November 2, 1999, the Department of Commerce (''the Department'') initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil (64 FR 59160) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response (in this case, waivers of response) from respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct an expedited review. As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathryn B. McCormick or Carole A. Showers, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1930 or (202) 482–3217, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Act are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (''URAA''). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1999). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year (''Sunset'') Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) (''*Sunset Policy Bulletin*'').

Background

On November 2, 1999, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil (64 FR 59160), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''). The Department received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of American Silicon Technologies (''AST''), Elkem Metals Company (''Elkem''), and Globe Metallurgical Inc. (''Globe'') (collectively, ''domestic interested parties''), within the applicable deadline (November 15, 1999) specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i). Domestic interested parties claimed interested-party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as U.S. producers of a domestic like product.

On November 29, 1999, we received a waiver of response from respondent interested parties Companhia Brasileira Carbureto de Calcio, Camargo Correa Metais, S.A., Ligas de Aluminio S.A., Companhia Ferroligas Minas Gerais—Minasligas, and RIMA Industrial S.A., pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(d)(2)(i). On December 2, 1999, we received a waiver of response from respondent interested party Eletrosilex Bela Horizonte.

On December 1, 1999, we received a complete substantive response from domestic interested parties, within the 30-day deadline specified in the *Sunset Regulations* under § 351.218(d)(3)(i). Domestic interested parties claim that, in 1990, Elkem, Globe, and four other domestic producers filed the petition that resulted in the issuance of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil (*see* December 1, 1999, Substantive Response of domestic interested parties at 2). Domestic interested parties also claim that at least one of them has actively participated in each of the administrative reviews conducted by the Department, as well as in a number of related appeals and remand proceedings. *Id.* at 3. Without a substantive response from respondent

interested parties the Department, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C), determined to conduct an expedited, 120-day review of this order.

In accordance with section 751(c)(5)(C)(v) of the Act, the Department may treat a review as extraordinarily complicated if it is a review of a transition order (*i.e.*, an order in effect on January 1, 1995). This review concerns a transition order within the meaning of section 751(c)(6)(ii) of the Act. Accordingly, on February 29, 2000, the Department determined that the sunset review of silicon metal from Brazil is extraordinarily complicated, and extended the time limit for completion of the final results of this review until not later than May 30, 2000, in accordance with section 751(c)(5)(B) of the Act.[1]

Scope of Review

The merchandise covered by this review is silicon metal containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent of silicon by weight. Also covered by this review is silicon metal from Brazil containing between 89.00 and 96.00 percent silicon by weight but which contains a higher aluminum content than the silicon metal containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Silicon metal is currently provided for under subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.69.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (''HTS'') as a chemical product, but is commonly referred to as a metal. Semiconductor-grade silicon (silicon metal containing by weight not less than 99.99 percent of silicon and provided for in subheading 2804.61.00 of the HTS) is not subject to this order. Although the HTS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this sunset review are addressed in the ''Issues and Decision Memorandum'' (''Decision Memo'') from Jeffrey A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Troy H. Cribb, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated May 30, 2000, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the attached Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail were the order revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B–099, of the main Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at www.ita.doc.gov/import_admin/records/frn. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Review

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Manufacturer/exporters	Margin (percent)
Companhia Brasileira Carbureto de Calcio (''CBCC'')	87.79
Camargo Correa Metais, S.A. (''CCM'')	93.20
All Others	91.06

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (''APO'') of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This five-year (''sunset'') review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 30, 2000.

Troy H. Cribb,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 00–14026 Filed 6–2–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[1] *See Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Expedited Five-Year Reviews*, 65 FR 11761 (March 6, 2000).

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–357–804]

Silicon Metal From Argentina; Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of expedited sunset review of silicon metal from Argentina.

SUMMARY: On November 2, 1999, the Department of Commerce (''the Department'') initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Argentina (64 FR 59160) pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (''the Act''). On the basis of a notice of intent to participate and an adequate substantive response filed on behalf of domestic interested parties and inadequate response (in this case, no response) from respondent interested parties, the Department determined to conduct an expedited review. As a result of this review, the Department finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels indicated in the Final Results of Review section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 5, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathryn B. McCormick or Carole A. Showers, Office of Policy for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1930 or (202) 482–3217, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Act are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (''URAA''). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (1999). Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-year (''Sunset'') Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin,* 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) (''*Sunset Policy Bulletin*'').

Background

On November 2, 1999, the Department initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Argentina (64 FR 59160), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act. The Department received a notice of intent to participate on behalf of American Silicon Technologies (''AST''), Elkem Metals Company (''Elkem''), and Globe Metallurgical Inc.