

“pressure sensor” limitations of claim 27 of the ‘123 patent and thus literally practices claims 27 and 32 of the ‘123 patent; (iii) finds that the asserted JUUL2 DI system practices claims 27 and 32 of the ‘123 patent under the doctrine of equivalents (“DOE”) and, therefore, affirms with modified reasoning the ID’s finding that JLI has satisfied the technical prong of the DI requirement as to the ‘123 patent based on this product; (iv) takes no position on whether JLI has satisfied the technical prong of the DI requirement as to the ‘123 patent based on the RevB and JAGWAR iterations of the JUUL DI system; and (v) modifies Order No. 22 to vacate and take no position on whether JLI has satisfied the economic prong of the DI requirement as to the ‘123 patent based on the JUUL DI system. Accordingly, the Commission affirms with modified reasoning the ID’s finding that JLI has shown a violation of section 337 by NJOY as to claims 27 and 32 of the ‘123 patent.

As to the ‘173 patent, the Commission: (i) affirms with modified analysis the ID’s construction of the term “mouthpiece” recited in claim 1 of the ‘173 patent; (ii) takes no position on the ID’s construction of the term “disposed within” recited in claim 1 of the ‘173 patent; (iii) takes no position on the ID’s finding that the JUUL2 DI system practices claims 1 and 4 of the ‘173 patent; and (iv) modifies Order No. 22 to vacate and take no position on whether JLI has satisfied the economic prong of the DI requirement as to the ‘173 patent based on the JUUL2 DI system. Accordingly, the Commission affirms with modified analysis the ID’s finding that JLI has shown a violation of section 337 by NJOY as to claims 1 and 4 of the ‘173 patent.

The Commission has determined that the appropriate remedy is: (i) an LEO prohibiting the importation of certain vaporizer devices, cartridges used therewith, and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 1 and 15 of the ‘722 patent, claims 1 and 8 of the ‘981 patent, claims 27 and 32 of the ‘123 patent, and claims 1 and 4 of the ‘173 patent; and (ii) CDOs against each of the NJOY respondents. The Commission has also determined that the public interest factors do not preclude issuance of the remedial orders. The Commission has further determined to impose no bond (zero percent bond) for importations of the excluded articles imported during the period of Presidential review (19 U.S.C. 1337(j)).

The Commission issues its opinion herewith setting forth its determinations on certain issues. This investigation is hereby terminated.

The Commission’s orders and opinion were delivered to the President and United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on January 29, 2025.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 29, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–02169 Filed 2–3–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1140–1142 (Third Review)]

Uncovered Innerspring Units From China, South Africa, and Vietnam; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on uncovered innerspring units from China, South Africa, and Vietnam would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: December 9, 2024.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Rachel Devenney (202–205–3172), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On December 9, 2024, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (89 FR 71414, September 3, 2024) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).²

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on February 26, 2025. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,³ and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before 5:15 p.m. on March 6, 2025, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by March 6, 2025. However, should the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final

¹ A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s website.

² Commissioner David S. Johanson voted to conduct full reviews.

³ The Commission has found the response submitted on behalf of Leggett & Platt, Incorporated to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (*see* 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce's results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission's website at https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf, elaborates upon the Commission's procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Act; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 29, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–02170 Filed 2–3–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Adjustment of Certain Dollar Amounts Applicable to Bankruptcy Cases

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States.

ACTION: Notice of adjusted dollar amounts.

SUMMARY: Certain dollar amounts in the United States Code applicable to bankruptcy cases are adjusted to reflect the change in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers for the most recent 3-year period ending immediately before January 1, 2025.

DATES: The dollar amounts are adjusted on April 1, 2025.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary D. Streeting, Senior Attorney, Judicial Services Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building, One Columbus Circle NE, Room 4–270, Washington, DC 20544, Telephone (202) 502–1800, or by email at Judicial_Services_Office@ao.uscourts.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 104 of title 11, United States Code, provides for an automatic three-year adjustment of dollar amounts in certain sections of titles 11 and 28. Notice is hereby given, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 104(b), that the next such adjustment will occur on April 1, 2025. Effective on that date, the dollar amounts in effect under sections 101(3), 101(18), 101(19A), 101(51D), 109(e), 303(b), 507(a), 522(d), 522(f)(3), 522(f)(4), 522(n), 522(p), 522(q), 523(a)(2)(C), 541(b), 547(c)(9), 707(b), 1182(1), 1322(d), 1325(b), and 1326(b)(3) of title 11, and section 1409(b) of title 28, United States Code, are adjusted as set forth in the chart below to reflect the change in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers, published by the Department of Labor, for the 3-year period ending immediately before January 1, 2025, rounded to the nearest \$25. This adjustment does not apply with respect to cases commenced before April 1, 2025. Seven Official Bankruptcy Forms (106C, 107, 122A–2, 122C–2, 201, 207, and 410) and two Director's Forms (2000 and 2830) will also be amended to reflect these adjusted dollar amounts.

(Authority: 11 U.S.C. 104.)

Dated: January 30, 2025.

Joseph T. Phillips,
Chief, Judicial Services Office.

Affected sections of Titles 11 and 28 U.S.C.	Dollar amount to be adjusted	New (adjusted) dollar amount ¹
11 U.S.C.:		
Section 101(3)	\$226,850	\$256,800.
Section 101(18)	\$11,097,350 (each time it appears)	\$12,562,250 (each time it appears).
Section 101(19A)	\$2,268,550 (each time it appears)	\$2,568,000 (each time it appears).
Section 101(51D)	\$3,024,725 (each time it appears)	\$3,424,000 (each time it appears).
Section 109(e)	\$465,275 (each time it appears)	\$526,700 (each time it appears).
	\$1,395,875 (each time it appears)	\$1,580,125 (each time it appears).
Section 303(b)	\$18,600 (each time it appears)	\$21,050 (each time it appears).
Section 507(a)		
paragraph (4)	\$15,150	\$17,150.
paragraph (5)(B)(i)	\$15,150	\$17,150.
paragraph (6)	\$7,475	\$8,450.
paragraph (7)	\$3,350	\$3,800.
Section 522(d)		
paragraph (1)	\$27,900	\$31,575.
paragraph (2)	\$4,450	\$5,025.
paragraph (3)	\$700	\$800.
	\$14,875	\$16,850.
paragraph (4)	\$1,875	\$2,125.
paragraph (5)	\$1,475	\$1,675.
	\$13,950	\$15,800.
paragraph (6)	\$2,800	\$3,175.
paragraph (8)	\$14,875	\$16,850.
paragraph (11)(D)	\$27,900	\$31,575.
Section 522(f)(3)	\$7,575	\$8,575.
Section 522(f)(4)	\$800 (each time it appears)	\$900 (each time it appears).
Section 522(n)	\$1,512,350	\$1,711,975.
Section 522(p)	\$189,050	\$214,000.
Section 522(q)	\$189,050	\$214,000.
Section 523(a)(2)(C)		
paragraph (i)(I)	\$800	\$900.
paragraph (i)(II)	\$1,100	\$1,250.
Section 541(b)	\$7,575 (each time it appears)	\$8,575 (each time it appears).