second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this action must be received by October 11, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Please telephone Edward Doty at (312) 886–6057 before visiting the Region 5 office.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward Doty, Environmental Scientist, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Telephone: (312) 886–6057. E-mail address: doty.edward@epa.gov.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 4201–7601q.

Dated: August 23, 2002.

### Gary Gulezian,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 02–22980 Filed 9–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MN69-7294b; FRL-7265-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Minnesota

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is proposing to approve a site-specific revision to the Minnesota particulate matter (PM) State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Metropolitan Council Environmental Service's (MCES) Metropolitan Wastewater Treatment Plant located on Childs Road in St. Paul, Ramsey County, Minnesota. The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency requested in its June 1, 2001 submittal that EPA approve into the Minnesota PM SIP certain portions of the federally enforceable state operating permit for the MCES Metropolitan Wastewater Treatment Plant and remove the MCES Administrative Order from the state PM

SIP. The request is approvable because it satisfies the requirements of the Clean Air Act. Specifically, EPA is proposing to approve into the SIP only those portions of the permit cited as "Title I Condition: State Implementation Plan for PM<sub>10</sub>." In addition, EPA is proposing to remove the MCES Metropolitan Wastewater Treatment Plant Administrative Order from the state PM SIP. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal, because we view this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipate no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to the direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If adverse comments are received, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before October 11, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Carlton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604–3590.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christos Panos, Environmental Engineer, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), EPA Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353–8328.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information, see the Direct Final notice which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**. Copies of the request and the EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the above address. (Please telephone Christos Panos at (312) 353–8328 before visiting the Region 5 Office.)

Dated: August 13, 2002.

# Bharat Mathur,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 02–22978 Filed 9–10–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# LEGAL SERVICES CORPORATION 45 CFR Part 1604

#### **Outside Practice of Law**

**AGENCY:** Legal Services Corporation. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Legal Services
Corporation proposes to amend its
regulation relating to the outside
practice of law by full-time legal
services attorneys. The rule would be
substantively restructured and revised
to clarify the scope of the restrictions on
outside practice so that program
attorneys would not face undue
restrictions in complying with their
professional obligations. The proposed
rule would also amend several
definitions and allow for the separate
treatment of court appointments.

**DATES:** Comments should be received on or before November 12, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments must be submitted in writing and may be sent by regular mail, or may be transmitted by fax or email to: Mattie C. Condray, Senior Assistant General Counsel, Office of Legal Affairs, Legal Services Corporation, 750 First St., NE., 11th Floor, Washington, DC 20002–4250; 202/336–8952 (fax); mcondray@lsc.gov (email).

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mattie C. Condray, Senior Assistant General Counsel, Office of Legal Affairs, Legal Services Corporation, 750 First St., NE., 11th Floor, Washington, DC 20002–4250; (202) 336–8817 (phone); 202/336–8952 (fax); mcondray@lsc.gov (email).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 17, 1995, the Legal Services Corporation (LSC or the Corporation) published for public comment proposed revisions to 45 CFR part 1604, LSC's regulation on the outside practice of law. 60 FR 3367. Although LSC received public comment on the proposed revisions, no final action was ever taken on the rule. Many of the issues outstanding in 1995 remain important today and LSC is interested in adopting final revisions to part 1604. LSC is not, however, issuing a final rule because several of the prior proposed revisions may not be consistent with statutory changes imposed by Congress in the intervening years. Moreover, there may be other issues with the regulation which have arisen in the past seven years which are not adequately addressed by the prior proposed rule without further consideration. Accordingly, LSC is re-issuing a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM). LSC