

15. Appendix G to Chapter 2 is amended in part 1, Section G–101, paragraph (c), by revising the text under the NAVY* and MARINE CORPS* headings and the last sentence to read as follows:

Appendix G—Activity Address Numbers

* * * * *

G–101 Assignment and use of a number.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

NAVY*

Defense Finance and Accounting Service,
Cleveland (Code AADB), 1240 East Ninth
Street, Cleveland, OH 44199–4000

MARINE CORPS*

Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps, 2 Navy
Annex, Room 2135, Washington, DC
20380–1775

* * * * *

* The Navy and Marine Corps Activity Address Monitor for assignment of two-character call/order serial numbers is: Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Navy (RD&A), 2211 south Clark Place, Crystal Plaza 5, Room 506, Arlington, VA 22202–3738.

16. Appendix G to Chapter 2 is amended in Part 3 as follows:

Appendix G to Ch. 2 [Amended]

- a. By revising entry “N00244”;
- b. By adding, in alpha-numerical order, two new entries “N3258A” and “N47634”;
- c. By removing entry “N68142”; and
- d. By revising entry “N68246”. The revised and added text reads as follows:

Part 3—Navy Activity Address Numbers

* * * * *

N00244 NW—Fleet and Industrial Supply
Center San Diego, 937 North Harbor Drive,
San Diego, CA 92132–0060,

* * * * *

N3258A FZG—Navy Crane Center, Naval
Facilities Engineering Command, 10
Industrial Highway, Mail Stop 82, Lester,
PA 19113–2090

* * * * *

N47634 NK—Naval Computer and
Telecommunications, Area Master Station,
Atlantic, Detachment Pensacola, 130 West
Avenue, Suite B, Pensacola, FL 32508–
5111

* * * * *

N68246 (MAJ00070) 4LL–N EY—Officer-in-
Charge, FISC Yokosuka Det. (Sasebo,
Japan), PSC 476, Box 6, FPOAP 96322–
1500

* * * * *

17. Appendix G to Chapter 2 is amended in part 4 as follows:

- a. In entry “M67011” by adding “, MSA” after “(MAJ00027)”;
- b. In entry “M67865” by removing “J9” and adding in its place “MV”; and
- c. By adding, in alpha-numerical order, a new entry “M85001” to read as follows:

Part 4—Marine Corps Activity Address Numbers

* * * * *

M85001 (MAJ00027)—Contracting Office,
Marine Aviation Training Support Group,
222 East Avenue, Pensacola, FL 32508–
5213

Part 5—[Amended]

18. Appendix G to Chapter 2 is amended in Part 5 in the entry “F30602” by removing “AFRL/IFOJ” and adding in its place “AFRL/IFK”.

19. Appendix G to Chapter 2 is amended by revising Part 9 to read as follows:

Part 9—Defense Threat Reduction Agency Activity Address Numbers

DTRA01 8Z—Defense Threat Reduction
Agency (AM), DTRA Annex, 8725 John J.
Kingman Road, MSC 6201, Fort Belvoir,
VA 22060–6201 (ZT01)

DTRA02 0N—Defense Threat Reduction
Agency, Acquisition Management
Albuquerque (AMA), 1680 Texas Street SE,
Kirtland AFB, NM 87117–5669 (ZT02)

[FR Doc. 00–27243 Filed 10–24–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Part 219

[DFARS Case 2000–D021]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Update of Small Business Specialist Functions

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Director of Defense Procurement has issued a final rule amending the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to update policy pertaining to the functions of small business specialists at DoD contracting activities. The rule provides for small business specialist review of all proposed acquisitions exceeding \$10,000 in value.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 25, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Susan Schneider, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, OUSD (AT&L) DP (DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301–3062. Telephone (703) 602–0326; telefax (703) 602–0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2000–D021.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

Section 19.201(d) (10) of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) requires an Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization within a

contracting activity to make recommendations as to whether an acquisition should be awarded under FAR Subpart 19.5 as a small business set-aside, under FAR Subpart 19.8 as a Section 8(a) award, or under FAR Subpart 19.13 as a HUBZone set-aside. The corresponding text at DFARS 219.201(d) required DoD small business specialists to review and make recommendations for all acquisitions over \$10,000, except those restricted for exclusive small business participation. This final rule revises DFARS 219.201(d) to provide for small business specialist review of all acquisitions over \$10,000, including those restricted for exclusive small business participation. This will permit small business specialists to make recommendations for Section 8(a) awards and HUBZone set-asides in accordance with FAR 19.201(d). The rule also makes editorial changes to update and clarify the text.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

This final rule does not constitute a significant revision within the meaning of FAR 1.501 and Public Law 98–577 and publication for public comment is not required. However, DoD will consider comments from small entities concerning the affected DFARS subpart in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Such comments should cite DFARS Case 2000–D021.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the rule does not impose any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 219

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson,
Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

Therefore, 48 CFR Part 219 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Part 219 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

PART 219—SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAMS

2. Section 219.201 is amended by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

219.201 General policy.

* * * * *

(d) For the defense agencies, the director of the Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization must be appointed by, be responsible to, and report directly to the director or deputy director of the defense agency.

(8) The responsibility for assigning small business technical advisors is delegated to the head of the contracting activity.

(10) Contracting activity small business specialists perform this function by—

(A) Reviewing and making recommendations for all acquisition over \$10,000;

(B) Making the review before issue of the solicitation or contract modification and documenting it on DD Form 2579, Small Business Coordination Record; and

(C) Referring recommendations that have been rejected by the contracting officer to the Small Business Administration (SBA) procurement center representative. However, if an SBA representative is not assigned or available, the specialist refers the matter to the specialist's appointing authority.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 00-27244 Filed 10-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**48 CFR Part 1842****Final Indirect Cost Rates**

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This is a final rule amending the NASA FAR Supplement (NFS) to provide guidance on when NASA participation should occur in the determination of final indirect cost rates.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 25, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Joseph Le Cren, NASA Headquarters, Office of Procurement, Contract Management Division (Code HK), Washington, DC 20546, telephone: (202) 358-0444, e-mail: joseph.lecren@hq.nasa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**A. Background**

A NASA Office of Inspector General report interpreted the coverage at NFS 1842.705-1 to always require NASA participation in final indirect cost rate

determinations where the agency has a major financial interest. The intent of the coverage was that NASA should participate in final indirect cost rate determinations when invited by the cognizant contracting officer, and the issues involved would have a significant financial impact on the agency. NASA should not participate when the issues would not have a significant impact on the agency. The NFS revision more clearly communicates this intent. The NFS revision also specifies that, in cases where the issues involved in the final indirect cost rate determination would have a significant financial impact on the agency and a decision is made not to participate, the decision needs to be communicated to the cognizant contracting officer.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

This final rule does not constitute a significant revision within the meaning of FAR 1.501 and Pub. L. 98-577, and publication for public comments is not required. However, comments from small entities concerning the affected NFS subpart will be considered in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Such comments must be submitted separately and should cite 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does not apply because the changes to the NFS do not impose recordkeeping or information collection requirements, or collections of information from offerors, contractors, or members of the public which require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 1842

Government procurement.

Tom Luedtke,

Associate Administrator for Procurement.

Accordingly, 48 CFR Part 1842 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Part 1842 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473 (c)(1).

PART 1842—CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION AND AUDIT SERVICES

2. Revise section 1842.705-1 to read as follows:

1842.705-1 Contracting officer determination procedure.

(b) *Procedures.*

(3)(i) When NASA is not the cognizant Federal agency, NASA should participate with the cognizant contracting officer (or cognizant Federal

agency official) in the final indirect cost rate determination procedure where the issues involved would have a significant financial impact on the agency. The NASA participant should be a representative from that installation providing the preponderance of NASA funding. If a determination is made that NASA's participation is not warranted, that decision must be communicated to the cognizant contracting officer (or cognizant Federal agency official).

(ii) When NASA is the cognizant Federal agency, settlement of indirect costs should be conducted by the cognizant NASA contracting officer (normally from the installation providing the preponderance of NASA funding).

[FR Doc. 00-27294 Filed 10-24-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration****50 CFR Part 635**

[I.D. 101700B]

Atlantic Highly Migratory Species Fisheries; Atlantic Bluefin Tuna

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Retention limit adjustment.

SUMMARY: NMFS adjusts the daily retention limit for the Angling category fishery for Atlantic bluefin tuna (BFT) in all areas to two school BFT, measuring 27 to less than 47 inches (69 to less than 119 cm) curved fork length, and two BFT from either the large school or small medium size class, measuring 47 to less than 73 inches (119 to less than 150 cm) curved fork length, per vessel from October 22, 2000, through November 26, 2000. In addition, NMFS is making subsequent adjustments to the daily retention limit. This action is being taken to provide increased fishing and data collection opportunities in all areas without risking overharvest of this category.

DATES: Effective 1 a.m., local time, October 22, 2000, until 11:30 p.m., local time, November 26, 2000, the daily retention limit in all areas is adjusted to two school BFT and two large school or small medium BFT.

Effective November 27, 2000, the daily retention limit in all areas is adjusted to one large school or small medium BFT until May 31, 2001.