System No. and name	New routine use	Federal Register publication date/citation No.
60–0273—Social Security Title VIII Special Veterans Benefits Claims Development and Management Information System.	No. 15	65 FR 13803, 03/14/00.
60-0274—Litigation Docket and Tracking System	No. 11	71 FR 1872, 01/11/06.
60-0275—Civil Rights Complaints Filed by Members of the Public	No. 9	71 FR 1874, 01/11/06.
60-0276—Social Security Administration's (SSA's) Talking and Listening to Customers (TLC)	No. 6	65 FR 48272, 08/07/00.
60-0279—Social Security Administration's (SSA's) Mandate Against Red Tape (SMART)	No. 7	65 FR 49047, 08/10/00.
60–0280—SSA Administrative Sanctions	No. 6	65 FR 54595, 09/08/00.
60–0290—Social Security Administration's Customer PIN/Password (PPW) Master File System	No. 7	71 FR 1874, 01/11/06.
60–0295—Ticket-to-Work and Self-Sufficiency Program Payment Database	No. 8	66 FR 17985, 04/04/01.
60-0300—Ticket-to-Work Program Manager (PM) Management Information System	No. 8	66 FR 32656, 06/15/01.
60-0305—SSA Mass Transportation Subsidy Program System	No. 12	67 FR 44658, 07/03/02.
60–0310—Medicare Savings Programs Information System	No. 8	69 FR 17019, 03/31/04.
60–0315—Reasonable Accommodation for Persons with Disabilities (RAPD)	No. 11	70 FR 62157, 10/28/05.
60-0318—Representative Payee/Misuse Restitution Control System (RP/MRCS)	No. 8	70 FR 12774, 3/15/05.
60-0320—Electronic Disability Claim File (eDib)	No. 31	
60-0321Medicare Part D and Part D Subsidy File	No. 17	69 FR 77816, 12/28/04.
60–0328—National Docketing Management Information System (NDMIS)	No. 16	70 FR 34515, 06/14/05.
60–0330—eWork	No. 10	68 FR 54037, 09/15/03.
60-0340-eFOIA	No. 11	70 FR 3571, 01/25/03.
60–0350—Visitor Intake Process/Customer Service Record (VIP/CSR) System	No. 9	70 FR 59795, 10/13/05.
60–0355—The Non-Attorney Representative Prerequisites Process File (NARPPF)	No. 11	69 FR 77823, 12/28/04.
60-0361—Identity Management System (IDMS)	No. 15	
60-0370—The Representative Payee and Beneficiary Survey Data System	No. 6	71 FR 16399, 3/31/06.

We are not republishing in their entirety the notices of the systems of records to which we are adding the proposed new routine use disclosures. Instead, we are republishing only the identification number, the name of the system of record, the number of the new routine use and the issue of the **Federal Register** in which the system notice was last published, including the publication date and page number.

II. Compatibility of Proposed Routine Use

As mandated by OMB, as recommended by the President's Identity Theft Task Force, and in accordance with the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a(a)(7) and (b)(3)) and our disclosure regulation (20 CFR part 401), we are permitted to release information under a published routine use for a purpose that is compatible with the purpose for which we collected the information. Section 401.120 of our regulations provides that we will disclose information required by law. Since OMB has mandated the publication of this routine use, the proposed routine use is appropriate and meets the relevant statutory and regulatory criteria. In addition, disclosures to other agencies, entities and persons when needed to respond to an unintentional release are compatible with the reasons we collect the information, as helping to prevent and minimize the potential for harm is consistent with taking appropriate steps to protect information entrusted to us. See 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(10).

III. Effect of the Proposed Routine Use Disclosure on the Rights of Individuals

The proposed routine use would serve to protect the interests of the people whose information is at risk. We would achieve this protection by taking appropriate steps to facilitate a timely and effective response to a security breach of our data, thereby improving our ability to prevent, minimize, or remedy any harm that may result from a compromise of data maintained in our systems of records. We do not anticipate that the proposed new routine use will have any unwarranted adverse effect on the rights of individuals about whom data will be disclosed.

Dated: November 13, 2007.

Michael J. Astrue,

Commissioner.

[FR Doc. E7–23875 Filed 12–7–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 6011]

Exchange Visitor Program—Au Pair Requirements

ACTION: Notice with request for comments.

DATES: The Department will accept comments from the public up to 60 days from date of publication in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

• Persons with access to the Internet may view this notice and provide

comments by going to the regulations.gov Web site at: http://www.regulations.gov/index.cfm.

- Mail (paper, disk, or CD–ROM submissions): U.S. Department of State, Office of Exchange Coordination and Designation, SA–44, 301 4th Street, SW., Room 734, Washington, DC 20547
- *E-mail: jexchanges@state.gov.* You must include the Public Notice number in the subject line of your message.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stanley S. Colvin, Director, Office of Exchange Coordination and Designation, U.S. Department of State, SA-44, 301 4th Street, SW., Room 734, Washington, DC 20547; 202-203-5096 or e-mail at jexchanges@state.gov. **SUMMARY:** As a component of its Public Diplomacy and people to people exchanges, the Department of State oversees the Au pair Program whereby foreign nationals are afforded the opportunity to live with an American host family and participate directly in the home life of the host family. All au pair participants provide child care services to the host family and attend a U.S. post-secondary educational institution. Au pair participants provide up to forty-five hours of child care services per week and pursue not less than six semester hours of academic credit or its equivalent during their year of program participation. Some au pairs participate in the EduCare program. These au pairs provide up to thirty hours of child care services per week and pursue not less than twelve semester hours of academic credit or its equivalent during their year of program

participation. Approximately 15,000

foreign nationals begin participation in

this program each year.

In February 2004, the Department announced a pilot program whereby Department designated au pair sponsors could request the extension of program participation beyond the original 12month maximum period afforded au pair participants. In June of 2006, following a review of the two-year pilot program, the Department amended program regulations to permit designated sponsors to submit requests to the Department for consideration of program extensions for six, nine or 12 month durations for first-year au pair participants beyond the maximum duration of participation allowed under the existing regulations.

As the au pair program enters its twentieth year of operation, the Department has been asked to consider amending the age eligibility requirement for au pair participants by increasing the age limitation from 26 to 30. Further, the Department has been asked to consider permitting foreign nationals who previously participated in the au pair program to repeat program

participation.

The Department hereby solicits comments from the general public and other interested parties regarding these two issues. This certification will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: November 26, 2007.

Stanley S. Colvin,

Director, Office of Exchange Coordination and Designation, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. E7-23883 Filed 12-7-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-05-P

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as Amended by Pubic Law 104–13; Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Tennessee Valley Authority. **ACTION:** Proposed Collection; comment request.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended). The Tennessee Valley Authority is soliciting public comments on this proposed collection as provided by 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1). Requests for information, including copies of the information collection proposed and supporting documentation should be directed to the Agency Clearance

Officer: Alice D. Witt, Tennessee Valley Authority, 1101 Market Street (EB–5B), Chattanooga, TN 37402–2801; (423) 751–6832. (SC: 0003D1Z) Comments should be sent to the Agency Clearance Officer no later than February 8, 2008.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Type of Request: Regular submission; proposal for a reinstatement of a previously approved collection (OMB control number 3316–0009).

Title of Information Collection: Salary Surveys for Engineering Association (EA) and Law Enforcement Employee Association (LEEA) Bargaining Unit Employees.

Frequency of Use: Annually.

Type of Affected Public: State or local governments, Federal agencies, non-profit institutions, businesses, or other for-profit.

Small Businesses or Organizations Affected: EA: 45; LEEA: 30.

Federal Budget Functional Category Code: 999.

Estimated Number of Annual Responses: EA: 30; LEEA: 20.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: EA: 120; LEEA: 60.

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: EA: 4; LEEA: 3.

Need For and Use of Information:

TVA conducts an annual salary survey for employee compensation and benefits as a basis for labor negotiations in determining prevailing rates of pay and benefits for represented salary policy employees. TVA surveys firms, and Federal, State, and local governments whose employees perform work similar to that of TVA's salary policy employees.

Steven A. Anderson,

Senior Manager, IT Planning & Governance, Information Services.

[FR Doc. E7–23828 Filed 12–7–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8120–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement: Hawai'i County, HI

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)—Central Federal Lands Highway Division (CFLHD), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: FHWA—CFLHD is issuing this notice to advise the public that a supplemental environmental impact statement will be prepared for a proposed highway project in Hawai'i County, Hawai'i.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ricardo Suarez, Division CFLHD Engineer, 12300 West Dakota Avenue, Lakewood, CO 80228 and/or Ronald F. Tsuzuki, State Department of Transportation, Highways Division, Planning Branch, 869 Punchbowl Street, Honolulu, HI 96813.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in consultation with the Hawaii Department of Transportation (HDOT), will prepare a supplemental environmental impact statement (SEIS) for an ongoing project to improve and realign the Saddle Road (State Highway 200), an existing highway in Hawai'i County, Hawai'i. The purpose of the project is to provide a safe and efficient route for access to land uses along Saddle Road and for cross-island traffic between East and West Hawai'i. The ongoing and planned improvements to Saddle Road would also address five general types of needs: Roadway deficiencies, conflicts and hazards with military operations, capacity, safety, and social demand and economic development. The final environmental impact statement (EIS) for the project was completed August 9, 1999, and the Record of Decision (ROD) was signed on October 30, 1999. The project began construction in 2004 and approximately 30% of the project has been completed or is now under construction. In 2006, the Department of the Army (Army) purchased a Parker Ranch property known as the Ke'āmuku parcel. This property included the area planned for the selected alternative (W-3) for western section of the Saddle Road. On September 6, 2006, the U.S. Army Garrison, Hawai'i, requested that HDOT and FHWA consider relocating the highway about a mile southwest towards the southern boundary of Ke'āmuku. This would allow the Army to maximize its training opportunities and minimize conflict with the traveling public. This request meets one of the original purposes of the Saddle Road EIS, which was to minimize conflict between civilian and military uses in the area, and FHWA and HDOT thus have determined that it is prudent to reexamine the alternatives for the western section of the EIS. Alternatives under consideration at this time include (1) taking no action; (2) using the alternative for the western section of the project that was recommended in the Final EIS and selected in the ROD; and (3) relocating this segment of the highway nearer the southern boundary of the Ke'āmuku parcel. The SEIS will also reconfirm the reasons that alternatives for the western section were dropped from consideration in the