

lender in accordance with the Loan Note Guarantee.

(h) *Date of loss.* The date of loss is the date on which the collateral will be liquidated in the liquidation plan, unless an alternative date is approved by the Agency. Where the Agency chooses to accept an assignment of the loan or conveyance of title, the date of loss will be the date on which the Agency accepts assignment of the loan or conveyance of title.

(i) *Allowable claim amount.* The allowable claim amount must be calculated by:

(1) Adding to the unpaid principal and interest on the date of loss, an amount approved by the Agency for payments made by the lender for amounts due and owing on the property, including:

- (i) Property taxes and other protective advances as approved by the Agency;
- (ii) Water and sewer charges and other special assessments that are liens prior to the guaranteed loan;
- (iii) Insurance of the property; and
- (iv) Reasonable liquidation expenses.

(2) And by deducting the following items:

- (i) Any amount received by the lender on the account of the guaranteed loan after the date of default;
- (ii) Any net income received by the lender from the secured property after the date of default; and
- (iii) Any cash items retained by the lender, except any amount representing a balance of the guaranteed loan not advanced to the borrower. Any loan amount not advanced will be applied by the lender to reduce the outstanding principal on the loan.

(j) *Lender certification.* The lender must certify that all possibilities of collection have been exhausted and that all of the items specified in paragraph (c) of this section have been identified and reported to the Agency as a condition for payment of claim.

Dated: March 18, 2003.

Thomas C. Dorr,

Under Secretary, Rural Development.

[FR Doc. 03-14480 Filed 6-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XV-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-NM-164-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-10-10, -10F, -15, -30, -30F (KC-10A and KDC-10), -40, and -40F Airplanes; and Model MD-10-10F and -30F Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-10-10, -10F, -15, -30, -30F (KC-10A and KDC-10), -40, and -40F airplanes; and certain Model MD-10-10F and -30F airplanes. This proposal would require inspections for cracking and corrosion of the bolt assemblies and bushings on the hinge fittings of the inboard and outboard flaps of the left and right wings, and follow-on and corrective actions. This action is necessary to prevent failure of the bolt and bushing that attach the hinge fitting to the flap, which could result in loss of the flap and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Comments must be received by July 25, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002-NM-164-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm-nprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2002-NM-164-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 or 2000 or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Aircraft Group, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood

Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Data and Service Management, Dept. C1-L5A (D800-0024). This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Atmur, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712-4137; telephone (562) 627-5224; fax (562) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this action may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.
- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this action must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2002-NM-164-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002-NM-164-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The FAA has received reports indicating failure of the bolts and bushings that attach the hinge fittings to the inboard and outboard flaps on certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-10 airplanes. In two cases, the failure was in the radius area of the bolt head; in one case, the failure was in the threaded portion of the bolt; in another case, both the head and threaded end had failed. Additional failures occurred in the lubrication hole in the middle of the shank. The cause of these failures has been attributed to hydrogen embrittlement. Subsequent to installation of new hinge bolts with improved corrosion protection, the corrosion and failures continued to occur.

In addition, multiple reports have been received from operators of corrosion on the bolt and bushing. Investigation revealed that a lack of lubrication caused the initiation of corrosion, and the corrosion led to the stress corrosion failure of the bolt and bushing. The bolt and bushing provide a fail-safe mechanism at the flap hinge pivot point. Such conditions, if not corrected, could result in failure of the bolts and bushings that attach the hinge fitting to the flap, which could result in loss of the flap and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

We have reviewed and approved Boeing Alert Service Bulletin DC10-57A148, Revision 01, dated August 13, 2002, which describes procedures for magnetic particle and visual inspections for cracking and corrosion of the outboard pivot bolt assemblies and bushings on the hinge fittings of the inboard flaps of the left and right wings. The service bulletin also describes procedures for follow-on actions and repair of any discrepancy found, as follows:

- *Condition 1—No cracking or corrosion found:* Option 1—Reinstall each existing bushing, replace each existing pivot bolt assembly with a new assembly made from corrosion-resistant steel, and lubricate the assembly. Option 2—Reinstall each existing bushing and pivot bolt assembly, lubricate the assembly, repeat the

lubrication at the intervals specified, and do repetitive ultrasonic inspections of each assembly for cracking at the intervals specified.

- *Condition 2—Corrosion on bolt and/or bushing:* Option 1—Replace each affected bushing with a new equivalent part, replace each affected pivot bolt assembly with a new assembly made from corrosion-resistant steel, and lubricate the assembly. Option 2—Repair the existing bushing and pivot bolt assembly and reinstall them, lubricate the assembly, repeat the lubrication at the intervals specified, and do repetitive ultrasonic inspections of each assembly for cracking at the intervals specified.

- *Condition 3—Cracks in bolt and/or bushing:* Option 1—Replace each affected bushing with a new equivalent part, replace each affected pivot bolt assembly with a new assembly made from corrosion-resistant steel, and lubricate the assembly. Option 2—Replace each affected bushing and pivot bolt assembly with new equivalent parts, lubricate the assembly, repeat the lubrication at the intervals specified, and do repetitive ultrasonic inspections of each assembly for cracking at the intervals specified.

We also have reviewed and approved Boeing Alert Service Bulletin DC10-57A117, Revision 01, dated July 23, 2002, which describes procedures for magnetic particle and visual inspections for cracking and corrosion of the pivot bolt assemblies on the hinge fitting of the outboard flaps of the left and right wings. The service bulletin also describes procedures for follow-on actions and repair of any discrepancy found, as follows:

- *Condition 1—No cracking or corrosion found:* Option 1—Replace each existing pivot bolt assembly with a new assembly made from multi-phase material, and lubricate the assembly. Option 2—Reinstall each pivot bolt assembly, lubricate the assembly, repeat the lubrication at the intervals specified, and do repetitive ultrasonic inspections of each assembly for cracking at the intervals specified.

- *Condition 2—Corrosion on bolt:* Option 1—Replace each affected pivot bolt assembly with a new assembly made from multi-phase material, and lubricate the assembly. Option 2—Repair the existing pivot bolt assembly and reinstall, lubricate the assembly, repeat the lubrication at the intervals specified, and do repetitive ultrasonic inspections of each assembly for cracking at the intervals specified.

- *Condition 3—Cracks in bolt:* Option 1—Replace each affected pivot bolt assembly with a new assembly made

from multi-phase material, and lubricate the assembly. Option 2—Replace each affected pivot bolt assembly with a new equivalent part, lubricate the assembly, repeat the lubrication at the intervals specified, and do repetitive ultrasonic inspections of each assembly for cracking at the intervals specified.

Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletins is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously.

Changes to 14 CFR part 39/Effect on the Proposed AD

On July 10, 2002, the FAA issued a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47997, July 22, 2002), which governs the FAA's airworthiness directives system. The regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance. Because we have now included this material in part 39, we no longer need to include it in each individual AD.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 402 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 297 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

It would take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish the proposed initial inspections, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed inspections on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$17,820, or \$60 per airplane.

It would take approximately 2 work hours per flap, to accomplish the proposed replacement, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$2,982 for the outboard flap, and \$2,825 for the inboard flap. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed replacement on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,795,959, or \$6,047 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this proposed AD were not adopted. The

cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Boeing: Docket 2002–NM–164–AD.

Applicability: Model DC–10–10, –10F, –15, –30, –30F (KC–10A and KDC–10), –40, and –40F airplanes; and Model MD–10–10F and –30F airplanes; certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the bolts and bushings that attach the hinge fitting to the flap, which could result in loss of the flap and consequent reduced controllability of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Initial General Visual and Magnetic Particle Inspections

(a) Within 6 months after the effective date of this AD: Do initial general visual and magnetic particle inspections for cracking and corrosion of the pivot bolt assemblies and bushings on the hinge fittings of the inboard and outboard flaps of the left and right wings, per Boeing Alert Service Bulletin DC10–57A148, Revision 01, dated August 13, 2002; and Boeing Alert Service Bulletin DC10–57A117, Revision 01, dated July 23, 2002; as applicable. Before further flight, do the applicable follow-on and corrective actions required by paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) of this AD.

Note 1: For the purposes of this AD, a general visual inspection is defined as: "A visual examination of an interior or exterior area, installation, or assembly to detect obvious damage, failure, or irregularity. This level of inspection is made from within touching distance unless otherwise specified. A mirror may be necessary to enhance visual access to all exposed surfaces in the inspection area. This level of inspection is made under normally available lighting conditions such as daylight, hangar lighting, flashlight, or droplight and may require removal or opening of access panels or doors. Stands, ladders, or platforms may be required to gain proximity to the area being checked."

Follow-on and Corrective Actions

(1) If no cracking or corrosion is found: Before further flight, do the actions specified in either (a)(1)(i) or (a)(1)(ii) of this AD per Condition 1 of the Work Instructions of the applicable service bulletin.

(i) Do the actions specified in Option 1 of Condition 1 per the applicable service bulletin. The actions include (for the inboard flaps) reinstalling each existing bushing, replacing each existing pivot bolt assembly with a new assembly made from corrosion-resistant steel, and lubricating the assembly; (for the outboard flaps) replacing each existing pivot bolt assembly with a new assembly made from multi-phase material, and lubricating the assembly.

(ii) Do the actions specified in Option 2 of Condition 1 per the applicable service bulletin. The actions include (for the inboard flaps) reinstalling the existing bushing and pivot bolt assembly, lubricating the assembly, repeating the lubrication at the intervals specified, and doing repetitive ultrasonic inspections of the assembly for cracking at the intervals specified; (for the outboard flaps) reinstalling the pivot bolt assembly, lubricating the assembly, repeating the lubrication at the intervals specified, and doing repetitive ultrasonic inspections of the assembly for cracking at the intervals specified. Accomplishment of paragraph (a)(1)(i) terminates the requirements of this paragraph.

(2) If corrosion is found: Before further flight, do the actions specified in either (a)(2)(i) or (a)(2)(ii) of this AD per Condition

2 of the Work Instructions of the applicable service bulletin.

(i) Do the actions specified in Option 1 of Condition 2 per the applicable service bulletin. The actions include (for the inboard flaps) replacing the affected bushing with a new equivalent part, replacing the affected pivot bolt assembly with a new assembly made from corrosion-resistant steel, and lubricating each assembly; (for the outboard flaps) replacing the affected pivot bolt assembly with a new assembly made from multi-phase material, and lubricating each assembly.

(ii) Do the actions specified in Option 2 of Condition 2 per the applicable service bulletin. The actions include (for the inboard flaps) repairing and re-installing the existing bushing and affected pivot bolt assembly, lubricating each assembly, repeating the lubrication at the intervals specified, and doing repetitive ultrasonic inspections of the assembly for cracking at the intervals specified; (for the outboard flaps) repairing and installing the existing pivot bolt assembly, lubricating each assembly, repeating the lubrication at the intervals specified, and doing repetitive ultrasonic inspections of the assembly for cracking at the intervals specified. Do the inspections until paragraph (a)(2)(i) of this AD has been done.

(3) If cracking is found: Before further flight, do the actions specified in either paragraph (a)(3)(i) or (a)(3)(ii) of this AD per Condition 3 of the Work Instructions of the applicable service bulletin.

(i) Do the actions specified in Option 1 of Condition 3 per the applicable service bulletin. The actions include (for the inboard flaps) replacing the affected bushing with a new equivalent part, replacing the affected pivot bolt assembly with a new assembly made from corrosion-resistant steel, and lubricating each assembly; (for the outboard flaps) replacing the affected pivot bolt assembly with a new assembly made from multi-phase material, and lubricating each assembly.

(ii) Do the actions specified in Option 2 of Condition 3 per the applicable service bulletin. The actions include (for the inboard flaps) replacing the affected bushing and pivot bolt assembly with new equivalent parts, lubricating each assembly, repeating the lubrication at the intervals specified, and doing repetitive ultrasonic inspections of the assembly for cracking at the intervals specified. Do the inspections until paragraph (a)(3)(i) of this AD has been done.

Credit for Actions Done per Previous Issue of Service Bulletins

(b) Accomplishment of the specified actions before the effective date of this AD per Boeing Alert Service Bulletin DC10–57A148, dated June 14, 2002; or Boeing Alert Service Bulletin DC10–57A117, dated February 11, 1991; is considered acceptable

for compliance with the applicable requirements of paragraph (a) of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 4, 2003.

Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03-14525 Filed 6-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[KS 179-1179; FRL-7510-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Kansas

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve a revision to the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submitted by the State of Kansas. The purpose of this revision is to delete the Wyandotte County Air Pollution Control Regulations from the Federally-Approved Regulations. These regulations were originally incorporated into the SIP to assure that local-specific air quality issues were addressed with Federally-enforceable provisions. Due to the continued evolution of the Kansas Air Quality Regulations, these local regulations are no longer necessary to assure continued maintenance of air quality standards in Wyandotte County.

In the final rules section of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no relevant adverse comments to this action. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no relevant adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this action. If EPA receives relevant adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA

receives adverse comment on part of this rule and if that part can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those parts of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received in writing by July 10, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Heather Hamilton, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, or E-mail her at hamilton.heather@epa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Heather Hamilton at (913) 551-7039.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of the **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 30, 2003.

James B. Gulliford,

Regional Administrator, Region 7.

[FR Doc. 03-14457 Filed 6-9-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 1, 21, 74 and 101

[WT Docket No. 03-66; RM-10586; WT Docket No. 03-67; MM Docket No. 97-217; WT Docket No. 02-68; RM-9718; FCC 03-56]

Facilitate the Provision of Fixed and Mobile Broadband Access, Educational and Other Advanced Services in the 2150-2162 and 2500-2690 MHz Bands

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rules.

SUMMARY: In this document the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) proposes rules that would require Multipoint Distribution Service (MDS) and Instructional Television Fixed Service (ITFS) operators to limit the strength of some or all of the radio signals they transmit to levels that would make it possible for operators in adjacent service areas to provide two-way, low-power cellular services. The new rules would also expand ITFS eligibility criteria to include commercial as well as non-profit educational entities and perhaps merge ITFS with MDS, but they would maintain the amount of educational content provided on those channels at levels comparable to those attained under existing requirements. The purpose of the

proposals is to facilitate provision of high-speed wireless Internet access services and mobile radio services in a band that has traditionally been used primarily for high-powered, one-way television.

DATES: Comments are due on or before September 8, 2003 and reply comments are due on or before October 23, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Zaczek or Charles Oliver at (202) 418-0680, Public Safety and Private Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau or via the Internet to nzaczek@fcc.gov or coliver@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the FCC's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 03-56, adopted on March 13, 2003, and released on April 2, 2003. The full text of this document is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20554. The complete text may be purchased from the FCC's copy contractor, Qualex International, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554. The full text may also be downloaded at: <http://www.fcc.gov>. Alternative formats are available to persons with disabilities by contacting Brian Millin at (202) 418-7426 or TTY (202) 418-7365 or at bmillin@fcc.gov.

1. By this *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)*, the FCC initiates a comprehensive examination of the FCC's rules and policies governing the licensing of the Instructional Television Fixed Service (ITFS), the Multipoint Distribution Service (MDS), and the Multichannel Multipoint Distribution Service (MMDS) (collectively, the Services) in the 2500-2690 MHz band. By this action, the FCC seeks to promote competition, innovation and investment in wireless broadband services, and to promote educational services. Additionally, the FCC also seeks to foster the development of innovative service offerings to consumers as well as educational, medical and other institutions, simplify the licensing process and delete obsolete and unnecessary regulatory burdens. The FCC believes that it is appropriate and prudent to take this action at this time because the Services and the potential uses for the spectrum allotted to them have evolved significantly since the inception of the Services. Those uses present a significant opportunity to provide alternatives for the provision of broadband services to consumers in urban, suburban and rural areas and to improve opportunities for distance