

all 1996 model year and later light-duty vehicles and light-duty trucks, rated up to 8,500 pounds Gross Vehicle Weight Rating.

Today's vehicles use computers to direct engine control systems such as fuel and ignition. Sensors and actuators sense the operation of specific components (oxygen sensor) and actuate others (fuel injector) to maintain optimal engine performance. An on-board computer, known as a "powertrain control module" or an "engine control unit," controls these systems. Using proper diagnostic software, the on-board computer can also monitor the operation of the sensors and actuators. The sensors, actuators, and diagnostic software comprise the OBD system. With the above equipment, the on-board computer can detect an engine malfunction or deterioration of the various sensors and actuators well before the driver notices poor vehicle performance.

OBD systems can detect problems which may not be noticeable by a visual inspection, because many component failures impacting a vehicle's emissions may be electrical. By detecting these emission equipment related failures and alerting the driver, vehicles can be repaired and returned to their proper emissions performance.

When the OBD system detects a problem, it stores a "Diagnostic Trouble Code" in the computer's memory. The computer then illuminates a dashboard light indicating "Check Engine." Once alerted, the vehicle owner should take the vehicle to a repair shop. A service technician can quickly retrieve the stored diagnostic trouble code from the vehicle's computer memory. The diagnostic trouble code specifically identifies the problem, allowing the technician to quickly perform repairs. Vehicle OBD systems allow for early diagnosis of emission control malfunction.

In this action, we are proposing to approve Utah's SIP revision to Rule R307-110-31, Section X, Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Program, Part A, General Requirements and Applicability, which commits the State of Utah to implement testing of vehicle OBD systems by January 1, 2002. Utah's SIP revision merely incorporates our Federal rule change of April 5, 2001, that postponed implementation of OBD inspections until January 1, 2002 (see 66 FR 18156). As a convenience to vehicle owners, Davis, Utah, and Weber Counties are already implementing this program. Salt Lake County will begin implementing the program on January 1, 2002.

IV. Request for Public Comment

We are soliciting public comment on all aspects of this proposed SIP rulemaking action. Please send your comments in duplicate to the address listed above in the front of this proposed action. We'll consider your comments in deciding our final action if your letter is received before April 1, 2002.

V. Administrative Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this proposed action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This proposed action merely proposes to approve state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Because this rule proposes to approve pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4).

This proposed rule also does not have tribal implications because it will not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000). This action also does not have Federalism implications because it does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). This action merely proposes to approve a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the Clean Air Act. This proposed rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045

"Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks" (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove a SIP submission for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a SIP submission, to use VCS in place of a SIP submission that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the Clean Air Act. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. This proposed rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Carbon monoxide, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: February 20, 2002.

Jack W. McGraw,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region VIII.
[FR Doc. 02-4940 Filed 2-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[FRL-7151-1]

Wisconsin: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Wisconsin has applied to EPA for Final authorization of the changes to its hazardous waste program under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). EPA proposes to grant final authorization to Wisconsin. In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is authorizing the changes by an immediate final rule. EPA did not make a proposal prior to the immediate final rule because we believe this action is not controversial and do not expect comments that oppose it.

Unless we get written comments which oppose this authorization during the comment period, the immediate final rule will become effective on the date it establishes, and we will not take further action on this proposal. If we get comments that oppose this action, we will withdraw the immediate final rule and it will not take effect. We will then respond to public comments in a later final rule based on this proposal. You may not have another opportunity for comment. If you want to comment on this action, you must do so at this time.

DATES: Send your written comments by April 1, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Jean Gromnicki, Wisconsin Regulatory Specialist, DM-7J, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Please refer to Docket Number WI ARA 7. We must receive your comments by April 1, 2002. You can examine copies of the materials submitted by Wisconsin during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA Region 5 Library, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, Contact: Jean Gromnicki, Phone number: (312) 886-6162 or the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, 101 North Webster, Madison, Wisconsin, 53707, Contact: Patricia Chabot, Phone: (608) 264-6015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean Gromnicki, Wisconsin Regulatory Specialist at (312) 886-6162.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, please see the immediate final rule published in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: February 15, 2002.

Bertram C. Frey,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.

[FR Doc. 02-4787 Filed 2-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 02-313, MM Docket No. 02-27, RM-10367]

Digital Television Broadcast Service and Television Broadcast Service; Springfield, IL

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by West Central Illinois Educational Telecommunications Corporation, an applicant for a construction permit for a new noncommercial educational television station to operate on channel *65+ at Springfield. West Central requests the substitution of DTV Channel *36 for channel *65+. DTV channel *36 can be allotted to Springfield, Illinois, in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of Section 73.625(a) at reference coordinates (39-36-50 N. and 89-38-58 W.). As requested, we propose to allot DTV Channel *36 to Springfield with a power of 100 and a height above average terrain (HAAT) of 335 meters.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before April 8, 2002, and reply comments on or before April 23, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street, SW., Room TW-A325, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Todd Gray, Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, PLLC, 1200 New Hampshire Avenue, NW, Suite 800, Washington, DC 20036 (Counsel for West Central Illinois Educational Telecommunications Corporation).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pam Blumenthal, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-1600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 02-27, adopted February 8, 2002, and released February 14, 2002. The full text of this document is available for public inspection and copying during regular business hours in the FCC Reference

Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-A257, Washington, DC, 20554. This document may also be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW, Room CY-B402, Washington, DC, 20554, telephone 202-863-2893, facsimile 202-863-2898, or via e-mail qualexint@aol.com.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television, Digital television broadcasting.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Federal Communications Commission proposes to amend 47 CFR part 73 as follows:

PART 73—TELEVISION BROADCAST SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 334, and 336.

§ 73.606 [Amended]

2. Section 73.606(b), the Table of Television Allotments under Illinois, is amended by removing channel *65+ at Springfield.

§ 73.622 [Amended]

3. Section 73.622(b), the Table of Digital Television Allotments under Illinois is amended by adding DTV Channel *36 at Springfield.

Federal Communications Commission.

Barbara A. Kreisman,

Chief, Video Services Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 02-4883 Filed 2-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P