

Estimated burden:

OMB No.	Cite/ Reference	Total Respondents	Annual Responses	Average Time per Response	Total Requested Burden
1205-0342	ETA-9042a	4,100	4,100	15 minutes	1.025
1205-0342	State review of ETA-9042a	N/A	N/A	5 minutes	342
1205-0342 Sub-total:		////////////////	4,100	////////////////	1.367
1205-0339	ETA-9043a	4,100	4,100	3.5 hours	14.350
1205-0339	ETA-9043	1,000	1,000	3 hours	3,000
1205-0339	State Review of ETA-9043	N/A	N/A	4.5 hours	4,500
1205-0339 Sub-total:		////////////////	5,100	////////////////	21.850
1205-0190	ETA-8562a	6,560	6,560	1.78 hours	11,677
1205-0190	ETA-8562	2,220	2,220	1.78 hours	3,951
1205-0190 Sub-total:		////////////////	8,780	////////////////	15.628
GRAND TOTALS:		////////////////	17,980	////////////////	38,345

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup):
\$0.

*Total Burden Cost (operating/
maintaining):* \$0.

Description: The Trade Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-210) amends the Trade Act of 1974 and consolidates two previously authorized worker adjustment assistance programs, Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA) into one TAA program effective November 4, 2002. Section 221 (a) of Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended by the Trade Act of 2002, authorizes the Secretary of Labor and the Governor of each state to accept petitions for certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance. The petitions may be filed by a group of workers, their certified or recognized union or duly authorized representative, employers of such workers, one-stop operators or one-stop partners. ETA Form 9042a, Petition for Trade Adjustment Assistance, and its Spanish translation, ETA Form 9042a-1, Solicitud De Asistencia Para Ajuste, establish a format that may be used for filing such petitions. ETA Form 9042a and 9042a-1 revise and eliminate ETA Form 9042 (1205-0342, expiring 8/04)

and its Spanish translation ETA 9042-1, and also eliminate ETA Form 8560 (1205-0192, expiring 7/03) and its Spanish translation ETA 8559.

Sections 222, 223 and 249 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, require the Secretary of Labor to issue a determination for groups of workers as to their eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA). After reviewing all of the information obtained for each petition for trade adjustment assistance filed with the Department, a determination is issued as to whether the statutory criteria for certification are met. The information collected in ETA Form 9043a, Business Confidential Data Request, and ETA Form 8562a, Customer Survey, will be used by the Secretary to specifically determine to what extent, if any, increased imports or shift in production have impacted the petitioning worker group. The ETA 9043a revises ETA 9043 and ETA 9014. The ETA 8562A revises ETA 8562 and ETA 9044. The current ETA 9043 (1205-0339, expiring 8/04) and ETA 9014 (1205-0197, expiring 10/03) will remain in effect until the respective expiration dates. The current ETA 8562 (1205-0190, expiring 10/03) and ETA 9044 (1205-0337, expiring 7/

04) will remain in effect until the respective expiration dates.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-24501 Filed 9-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

September 17, 2002.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of each individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation contact Marlene Howze at (202) 693-4158 or e-mail Howze-Marlene@dol.gov.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for ESA, Office

of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395-7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- * * * evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- * * * evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- * * * enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and minimize the burden of the collection information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Employment Standards Administration (ESA).

Title: Request for Examination and/or Treatment.

OMB Number: 1215-0066.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: On occasion.

Number of Respondents: 16,500.

Number of Annual Responses: 109,725.

Estimated Time Per Response: 1.08 minutes.

Total Burden Hours: 118,503.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: \$0.

Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$43,890.

Description: The Office of Workers' Compensation Programs administers the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act. The Act provides benefits to workers injured in maritime employment on the navigable waters of the United States or in an adjoining area customarily used by an employee in loading, unloading, repairing or building a vessel. Under Section 7 of the Longshore Act, the employer/insurance carrier is responsible for furnishing medical care for the injured employee for such period of time as the injury or recovery period may require. Form LS-1 is used by the Longshore Division to verify that proper medical treatment had been authorized and to determine the severity of a claimant's injuries and thus

his/her entitlement to compensation benefits which they are responsible by law to provide if a claimant is medically unable to work as a result of a war-related injury. If the information were not collected, verification of authorized medical care and entitlement to compensation benefits would not be possible.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-24502 Filed 9-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-CF-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Senior Executive Service; Appointment of a Member to the Performance Review Board

Title 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4) provides that Notice of the appointment of an individual to serve as a member of the Performance Review Board of the Senior Executive Service shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

The following individuals are hereby appointed to a three-year term on the Department's Performance Review Board: Ray McKinney, Corlis Sellers.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David LeDoux, Director, Office of Executive Resources and Personnel Security, Room C5526, U.S. Department of Labor, Frances Perkins Building, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210, telephone: (202) 693-7605.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of September, 2002.

Elaine L. Chao,

Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 02-24591 Filed 9-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-23-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,188 and NAFTA-05386]

GFC Foam, LLC, West Hazelton, PA; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On June 17, 2002, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The Department initially denied TAA to workers of GFC Foam, LLC, West Hazelton, Pennsylvania because

criterion (3) was not met. The "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. Imports did not contribute importantly to the worker separations.

The Department denied NAFTA-TAA because criteria (3) and (4) have not been met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

The workers at the subject firm were engaged in employment related to the production of polyurethane foam.

The petitioner believes customers were importing polyurethane foam and therefore requested that the Department of Labor survey customers of the subject firm.

On review of the request for reconsideration the Department of Labor determined that a survey of major customers should be conducted for the relevant period.

On reconsideration, the Department contacted the company for a list of major declining customers of the subject firm. The company supplied a list of major customers of the subject firm.

The U.S. Department of Labor conducted a survey of the major customers of the subject firm regarding their purchases of polyurethane foam during 1999, 2000 and January through September 2001. The survey revealed that none of the customers reported importing polyurethane foam from Canada or Mexico or from any other foreign source during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After reconsideration, I affirm the original notices of negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance and NAFTA-Transitional Adjustment Assistance for workers and former workers of GFC Foam, LLC, West Hazelton, Pennsylvania.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of September 2002.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 02-24504 Filed 9-26-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P