

Title: Electronic Application for Patent Examiners—Job Application Rating System (JARS).

Form Number(s): PTO-2041.

Agency Approval Number: 0651-0042.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 2,500 hours annually.

Number of Respondents: 5,000 responses per year.

Avg. Hours Per Response: Based on USPTO time and motion studies, the agency estimates that it will take the public approximately 30 minutes to gather and prepare the necessary information, and submit the electronic employment application.

Needs and Uses: The Electronic Application for Patent Examiners—Job Application Rating System (JARS) is used by the public to apply for entry-level patent examiner positions in a user-friendly process. The USPTO uses the electronic transmission of this information to review and rate applicants on-line almost instantaneously. It is also used by the USPTO to expedite the hiring process by eliminating the time used in the mail distribution process, thereby streamlining labor and reducing costs.

Affected Public: Individuals or households; farms; Federal Government, and state, local, or tribal governments.

Frequency: On occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395-3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Susan K. Brown, Records Officer, Office of Data Management, Data Administration Division, (703) 308-7400, USPTO, Suite 310, 2231 Crystal Drive, Washington, DC 20231, or by e-mail at susan.brown@uspto.gov.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent on or before September 12, 2002 to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: August 2, 2002.

Susan K. Brown,

Records Officer, USPTO, Office of Data Management, Data Administration Division.
[FR Doc. 02-20424 Filed 8-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-16-P

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

Managed Funds Issues; Meeting

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Public Meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission") will hold a public roundtable meeting at which invited participants will discuss managed funds issues. Participants will be announced at a later date.

DATES: Thursday, September 19, 2002, from 10 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.

PLACE: 1155 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC. Lobby Level Hearing Room located at Room 1000. Status: Open.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean A. Webb, 202-418-5100.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 7th day of August, 2002.

By the Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

Catherine D. Dixon,

Assistant Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 02-20472 Filed 8-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[CPSC Docket No. 02-C0006]

Briggs & Stratton Corporation, a Corporation Provisional Acceptance of a Settlement Agreement and Order

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: It is the policy of the Commission to publish settlements which it provisionally accepts under the Federal Hazardous Substances Act in the **Federal Register** in accordance with the terms of 16 CFR 1118-20(e). Published below is a provisionally-accepted Settlement Agreement with Briggs & Stratton Corporation, a corporation containing a civil penalty of \$400,000.

DATES: Any interested person may ask the Commission not to accept this agreement or otherwise comment on its contents by filing a written request with the Office of the Secretary by August 28, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Persons wishing to comment on this Settlement Agreement should send written comments to the Comment 02-C0006 Office of the

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ronald G. Yelenik, Trial Attorney, Office of the General Counsel, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 504-0980, 1351.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The text of the Agreement and Order appears below.

Dated: August 8, 2002.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary.

United States of America, Consumer Product Safety Commission

In the Matter of Briggs & Stratton Corporation, a corporation

[CPSC Docket No. 02-C0006]

Settlement Agreement and Order

1. This Settlement Agreement, made by and between the staff ("the staff") of the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (the "Commission") and Briggs & Stratton Corporation ("Briggs & Stratton" or "Respondent"), a corporation, in accordance with 16 CFR 1118.20 of the Commission's Procedures for Investigations, Inspections, and Inquiries under the Consumer Product Safety Act ("CPSA"), is a settlement of the staff allegations set forth below.

The Parties

2. The Commission is an independent federal regulatory agency responsible for the enforcement of the Consumer Product Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 2051-2084.

3. Briggs & Stratton is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Wisconsin with its principal corporate offices located in Wauwatosa, Wisconsin.

Staff Allegations

4. Between 1992 and 1995, Briggs & Stratton manufactured and sold nationwide approximately 133,000 series 1352 engines for use on fun karts. Between December 1994 and May 1995, Briggs & Stratton manufactured and sold approximately 29,000 series 1362 engines with vented gas caps for use on fun karts.

5. Both the series 1352 engines and the series 1362 engines with vented gas caps ("engines") are "consumer products" and Respondent is a "manufacturer" of "consumer products", which were "distributed in commerce" as those terms are defined in sections 3(a)(1),(4),(11) and (12) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2052(a)(1),(4),(11), and (12).

6. The engines are defective because when tipped over or inverted they spill gasoline that can result in fires. If a fun kart equipped with the subject engine(s) should overturn, fun kart riders could suffer serious burn injuries or death.

7. In early 1994, Briggs & Stratton became aware of an incident where a fun kart equipped with a series 1352 engine tipped over, spilled gasoline and caught fire.

8. Between January 1994 and sometime in 1999, Briggs & Stratton received at least eight reports of incidents, where fun karts with 1352 engines tipped over, spilled gasoline and caught fire, causing severe burn injuries to four kart riders ages 10–16.

9. In response to the fuel spillage problem manifested by the series 1352 engines, Briggs & Stratton attempted a number of design changes beginning in 1994. This included the production of the subject 1362 engines with vented gas caps from December 1994 through May 1995. These design changes failed to eliminate the gasoline spillage problem.

10. Between January 1995 and the present, Briggs & Stratton received at least nine reports of incidents, where fun karts equipped with 1362 engines with vented gas caps caught fire. No fire related injuries have been reported involving the 1362 engines.

11. In May 1995, Briggs & Stratton in an attempt to remedy the gasoline spillage problem redesigned the 1362 series engine to include a check valve in the gas tank.

12. Despite being aware of the information set forth in paragraphs 6 through 11, Briggs & Stratton did not report to the Commission about the subject engines until March 17, 1999, when it responded to an earlier request from Commission staff for information about the engines.

13. Briggs & Stratton obtained information which reasonably supported the conclusion that the engines described in paragraph 6 contained a defect which could create a substantial product hazard and created an unreasonable risk of serious injury or death, but failed to report such information to the Commission as required by sections 15(b)(2), (3) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b)(2), (3).

14. Briggs & Stratton also failed to report to the Commission information about lawsuit settlements concerning the subject engines as required by section 37 of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2084.

15. By failing to provide information as required by section 15(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b), and section 37 of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2084, Briggs &

Stratton violated sections 19(a)(4), (11) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2068(a)(4), (11).

16. Respondent committed these failures to report to the Commission “knowingly” as the term “knowingly” is defined in section 20(d) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2069(d), and Respondent is subject to civil penalties under section 20 of the CPSA.

Response of Briggs & Stratton

17. Briggs & Stratton denies all of the allegations of the staff set forth in paragraphs 4–16 above.

18. Briggs & Stratton denies that the engines contain any defect which could create a substantial product hazard, or create an unreasonable risk of serious injury or death pursuant to section 15(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b).

19. Briggs & Stratton denies that a particular model of an engine manufactured by Briggs & Stratton was the subject of at least three civil actions alleging the involvement of grievous bodily or death and resulting in a final settlement involving Briggs & Stratton or a court judgment in favor of the plaintiff within a 24-month period pursuant to section 37 of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2084.

20. Respondent denies that it violated the CPSA Reporting Requirements of section 15(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b) and section 37 of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2084. Briggs & Stratton states that no other violation of law occurred warranting imposition of a civil penalty. In settling this matter, Briggs & Stratton does not admit any fault, liability or statutory or regulatory violation.

21. Briggs & Stratton further states that it designed and implemented product improvements to address the risk of gasoline spillage; it considered the reporting requirements of the CPSA and made, based on its understanding of the law, good faith judgments about reporting; and it did not knowingly violate any reporting requirements.

22. With respect to the allegations of incidents of severe burn injuries referenced in paragraph 8 above, Briggs & Stratton denies that a defect in the engines was the cause of the injuries and states that each incident involved either an alternate cause of fire or injury, misuse of the product or changes made to the product after Respondent's manufacturing of the product.

Agreement of the Parties

23. The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter and over Briggs & Stratton under the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2051–2084.

24. This Agreement is entered into for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by Respondent or a determination by the Commission

that Respondent knowingly violated the CPSA Reporting Requirements.

25. Briggs & Stratton agrees to pay to the order of the U.S. Treasury a civil penalty in the amount of four hundred thousand dollars (\$400,000.00), in settlement of this matter, payable within twenty (20) days after service of the Final Order of the Commission accepting this Settlement Agreement.

26. Briggs & Stratton knowingly, voluntarily and completely waives any rights it may have in the above captioned case (i) to the issuance of a Complaint in this matter, (ii) to an administrative or judicial hearing with respect to the staff's allegations cited herein; (iii) to judicial review of other challenge or contest of the validity of the Settlement Agreement or the Commission's Order; (iv) to be a determination by the Commission as to whether a violation of sections 15(b) or 37 of the CPSA, has occurred, (v) to a statement of findings of fact and conclusions of law with regard to the staff's allegations; and (vi) to any claims under the Equal Access to Justice Act.

27. Upon provisional acceptance of this Settlement Agreement and Order by the Commission, the Commission shall place this Agreement and Order on the public record and shall publish it in the **Federal Register** in accordance with the procedure set forth in 16 CFR 1118.20(e). If the Commission does not receive any written request not to accept the Settlement Agreement and Order within 15 days, the Agreement and Order shall be deemed finally accepted on the 16th day after the date it is published in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 16 CFR 1118.20(f).

28. This Settlement Agreement and Order becomes effective after its final acceptance by the Commission and service upon Respondent.

29. Upon final acceptance of this Settlement Agreement by the Commission, the Commission may publicize the terms of the Settlement Agreement and Order.

30. Respondent agrees to the entry of the attached Order, which is incorporated herein by reference, and agrees to be bound by its terms.

31. This Settlement Agreement and Order is binding upon Briggs & Stratton, its parent and each of their assigns and successors.

32. This Settlement Agreement and Order fully resolves the matters set forth in paragraphs 4 through 16 above.

33. If, after the effective date hereof, any provisions of this Settlement Agreement and Order is held to be illegal, invalid, or unenforceable under present or future laws effective during the terms of the Settlement Agreement

and Order, such provision shall be fully severable. The rest of the Settlement Agreement and Order shall remain in full effect, unless the Commission and Briggs & Stratton determine that severing the provision materially impacts the purpose of the Settlement Agreement and Order.

34. This Settlement Agreement and Order shall not be waived, changed, amended, modified, or otherwise altered, except in writing executed by the party against whom such amendment, modification, alteration, or waiver is sought to be enforced, and approved by the Commission.

35. This Settlement Agreement may be used in interpreting the Order. Agreements, understandings, representations, or interpretations made outside of his Settlement Agreement and Order may not be used to vary or to contradict its terms.

Dated: August 7, 2002.
Briggs & Stratton Corporation.

Thomas R. Savage,
Vice President.

The Consumer Product Safety Commission.

Alan H. Schoem,
Director, Office of Compliance.

Eric L. Stone,
Director Legal Division, Office of Compliance.

Dated: August 7, 2002.

Ronald G. Yelenick,
Trial Attorney, Legal Division, Office of Compliance.

United States of America Consumer Product Safety Commission

In the Matter of Briggs & Stratton Corporation, a corporation

[CPSD Docket No. 02-C0006]

Order

Upon consideration of the Settlement Agreement between Respondent Briggs & Stratton Corporation, a corporation, and the staff of the Consumer Product Safety Commission, and the Commission having jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Briggs & Stratton Corporation, and it appearing the Settlement Agreement is in the public interest, it is

Ordered, that the Settlement Agreement be, and hereby is, accepted, and it is

Further Ordered, that Briggs & Stratton shall pay to the order of the U.S. Treasury a civil penalty in the amount of four hundred thousand dollars (\$400,000.00), payable within twenty (20) calendar days after service of this Final Order upon Briggs & Stratton Corporation.

Provisionally accepted and Provisional Order issued on the 8th day of August, 2002.

By Order of the Commission.

Todd A. Stevenson,
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 02-20496 Filed 8-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Notice of Availability for Donation of the Patrol Combatant ex-CANON (PG 90)

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD.
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy hereby gives notice of the availability for donation, under the authority of 10 U.S.C. section 7306, of the patrol combatant ex-CANON (PG 90) located at the NAVSEA Inactive Ships On-Site Maintenance Office (NISMO), Philadelphia, PA. Eligible recipients include: (1) Any state, commonwealth, or possession of the United States or any municipal corporation or political subdivision thereof; (2) the District of Columbia; or (3) any organization incorporated as a non-profit entity under section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code. The transfer of a ship for donation under 10 U.S.C 7306 shall be made at no cost to the United States Government. The donee will be required to maintain the ship as a static museum/memorial in a condition that is satisfactory to the Secretary of the Navy. Prospective donees must submit a comprehensive application that addresses the significant financial, technical, environmental and curatorial responsibilities associated with donated Navy ships. Further application information can be found on the Navy Ship Donation Program website at www.navsea.navy.mil/ndp.

Other ships that are currently available for donation include:

- Destroyer ex-CONOLLY (DD 979), Philadelphia, PA.
- Cruiser ex-STERETT (CG 31), Benecia, CA.
- Guided Missile Frigate ex-OLIVER HAZARD PERRY (FFG 7), Philadelphia, PA.
- Heavy Cruiser ex-DES MOINES (CA 134), Philadelphia, PA.
- Destroyer ex-FORREST SHERMAN (DD 931), Philadelphia, PA

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Commander, Naval Sea Systems

Command, ATTN: Ms. Gloria Carvalho (PMS 333G), 1333 Isaac Hull Ave SE Stop 2701, Washington Navy Yard, DC 20376-2701, telephone number (202) 781-0485.

Dated: August 1, 2002.

R. E. Vincent II,
Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, , U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-20423 Filed 8-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-U

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[DE-PS07-02ID14308]

Glass Industry of the Future

AGENCY: Idaho Operations Office, DOE.
ACTION: Notice of Competitive Financial Assistance Solicitation.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Idaho Operations Office (ID) is seeking applications for cost-shared research, development and demonstration of innovative glass technologies that will reduce energy consumption, reduce environmental impacts, and enhance economic competitiveness in the U.S. glass industry.

The proposed research and development (R&D) projects must address priorities as identified in the Glass Industry Technology Roadmap (<http://www.oit.doe.gov/glass/pdfs/glass2002roadmap.pdf>). Proposals must address at least one of the four priority areas in the Roadmap: Production Efficiency, Energy Efficiency, Environmental Performance and Innovative Uses, and must have a high-energy efficiency component.

DATES: The issuance date of Solicitation Number DE-PS07-02ID14308 will be on or about August 5, 2002. The application, SF 424, technical proposal, and the Energy Savings Estimator Impact Table, must have an IIPS transmission time stamp of not later than 3 p.m. MST on Friday, September 27, 2002. Late applications will not be considered.

ADDRESSES: Completed applications are required to be submitted via the U.S. Department of Energy Industry Interactive Procurement System (IIPS) at the following URL: <http://e-center.doe.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Elizabeth Dahl, Contract Specialist at dahlee@id.doe.gov, facsimile at (208) 526-5548, or by telephone at (208) 526-7214.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: DOE anticipates making approximately 4 to 6