

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—U.S. Photovoltaic Manufacturing Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on August 20, 2013, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), U.S. Photovoltaic Manufacturing Consortium, Inc. (“USPVMC”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Ultrasonic Technologies, Wesley Chapel, FL; Polaritek Systems, Inc., Atlanta, GA; Spire Solar, Bedford, MA; Process Research, Trenton, NJ; and Sinton Instruments, Boulder, CO, have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and USPVMC intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 14, 2011, USPVMC filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 21, 2011 (76 FR 79218).

The last notification was filed with the Department on

May 21, 2013. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 21, 2013 (78 FR 37572).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2013–23162 Filed 9–23–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Cable Television Laboratories, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on August 26, 2013, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and

Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Cable Television Laboratories, Inc. (“CableLabs”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Cablevision S.A., Buenos Aires, ARGENTINA, has been added as a party to this venture.

Also, Buford Media Group, Tyler, TX, has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and CableLabs intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On August 8, 1988, CableLabs filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 7, 1988 (53 FR 34593).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 1, 2013. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 3, 2013 (78 FR 54277).

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2013–23161 Filed 9–23–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

United States v. Anheuser-Busch Inbev SA/NV, et al. Public Comments and Response on Proposed Final Judgment

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), the United States hereby publishes below the United States’s Response to Public Comments on the proposed Final Judgment in *United States v. Anheuser-Busch Inbev SA/NV, et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:13–cv–00127–RWR, which was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia on September 13, 2013. Copies of the five comments received by the United States from the public were also filed with the court.

Copies of the comments and the response are available for inspection at the Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, Antitrust Documents Group, 450 Fifth Street NW., Suite 1010, Washington, DC 20530 (telephone: (202) 514–2481), on the Department of Justice’s Web site at <http://www.justice.gov/atr/cases/abimodelo.html>, and at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia. Copies of any of these materials may also be obtained upon request and payment of a copying fee.

Patricia A. Brink,

Director of Civil Enforcement.

United States District Court for the District of Columbia

United States of America, *Plaintiff*, v. Anheuser-Busch Inbev SA/NV, et al., *Defendants.*

Civil Action No. 13–127 (RWR)

Plaintiff United States’s Response To Public Comments

Pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h) (“APPA” or “Tunney Act”), the United States hereby files the public comments concerning the proposed Final Judgment in this case and the United States’s response to those comments. After careful consideration of the comments, the United States continues to believe that the proposed Final Judgment will provide an effective and appropriate remedy for the antitrust violations alleged in the Complaint. The United States will move the Court, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), to enter the proposed Final Judgment after the United States has posted all public comments and this response on the Antitrust Division Web site and published in the **Federal Register** this response and the Web site address at which the public comments may be viewed and downloaded, as set forth in the Court’s order dated August 2, 2013.¹ (Doc. 42).

¹ Commenter Steven Uhr has submitted 18 exhibits in support of his Tunney Act comment. Two of those exhibits are videos for which he provided only written internet links. Another two are videos which he provided on a DVD and for which he also provided internet links. The Tunney Act requires the Department to “receive and consider any written comments relating to the proposal for the consent judgment,” 15 U.S.C. 16(d) (emphasis added). However, the Department considered the entirety of Mr. Uhr’s submission and will publish the written links he provided. It has informed Mr. Uhr that it does not intend to post the videos themselves on the Department’s public Web site, and publication in the **Federal Register** would be impossible.