results are currently due no later than June 1, 2000.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time limit. See Decision Memorandum from Tom Futtner to Holly A. Kuga, dated April 28, 2000, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B–099 of the main Commerce building. Therefore the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than September 29, 2000. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: April 28, 2000.

#### Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, Group II.

[FR Doc. 00–11459 Filed 5–5–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

# University of Vermont Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Decision: Denied. Applicant has failed to establish that domestic instruments of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the intended purposes are not available.

Reasons: Section 301.5(e)(4) of the regulations requires the denial of applications that have been denied without prejudice to resubmission if they are not resubmitted within the specified time period. This is the case for the following docket.

Docket Number: 99–031. Applicant: University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405. Instrument: HVS Video Tracking System, Pool and Platform, Model 2020. Manufacturer: HVS Image Ltd., United Kingdom. Date of Denial Without Prejudice to Resubmission: February 14, 2000.

#### Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 00–11466 Filed 5–5–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

# University of Delaware; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscope

This is a decision pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 00–008. Applicant: University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM-2010F. Manufacturer: JEOL Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 65 FR 21397, April 21, 2000. Order Date: November 1, 1999.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as the instrument is intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instrument was ordered. Reasons: The foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of the instrument.

# Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 00–11467 Filed 5–5–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# **International Trade Administration**

# Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments

shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 00–011. Applicant: University of Michigan, 930 N. University Avenue, Ann Arbor, MI 48109–1055. Instrument: Electron Beam Evaporator, Model EGN4. Manufacturer: Oxford Applied Research, United Kingdom. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used for studies of how various materials interact with thin metal films. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: April 21, 2000.

Docket Number: 00-013. Applicant: Allegheny-Singer Research Institute, 320 East North Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15212-4772. Instrument: Robot and Microplate Manipulator, Model Q-Bot. Manufacturer: Genetix Limited, United Kingdom. Intended Use: The instrument is intended to be used to prepare addressable libraries of DNA clones (both genomic and cDNA) for comparative gene expression studies (the basis of the science of functional genomics) to understand the differences between normal physiologic processes. The instrument will also be used for educational objectives through teaching trainees in the most current means to comparatively evaluate differences in gene expression. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: April 21, 2000.

# Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 00–11468 Filed 5–5–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# Evaluation of Coastal Zone Management Programs and National Estuarine Research Reserves

AGENCY: Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), DOC.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to evaluate.

**SUMMARY:** The NOAA Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management (OCRM) announces its intent to evaluate the performance of the Ohio Coastal