

obtain new information on each of these topics that is not yet in the record for this proceeding. Please note that although we have allotted the entire morning session to discussion of the CPM compensation methodology, we will move on to discussion of exceptional dispatch mitigation as soon as the compensation discussion is concluded.

10 a.m.–12:30 p.m. Discussion on the CPM Compensation Methodology

- Whether the proposed going-forward formula for CPM compensation continues to be appropriate.
- Whether and how CPM compensation should change in response to changes in supply and demand so that the CPM continues to ensure appropriate compensation to non-resource adequacy resources that provide reliability services similar to those provided by resource adequacy resources.
- Whether and how CPM compensation should respond to localized scarcity conditions; please evaluate the challenges and benefits of implementing CPM scarcity pricing.
- Given that resource adequacy resources are currently procured through bilateral contracting, whether and how CPM compensation should replicate bilateral market results.
- Please discuss the feasibility and appropriateness of using a mechanism such as a demand curve to allow the price of CPM capacity to rise during times of supply shortages.
- Other than a demand-curve based compensation mechanism, how could the CPM compensation methodology be modified to better respond to changing market conditions (*i.e.*, fluctuations in supply and demand over time); please discuss the challenges and benefits of any such approach.
- Whether different categories of CPM designations, including resources selected on the basis of specific operating characteristics and resources designated as at risk of retirement, should be compensated differently.
- What role the CPM should play in sending price signals for demand response.
- How demand response will play a role in the CPM and/or exceptional dispatch consistent with Order No. 719 (*e.g.* criteria for selecting demand response resources for CPM designations or exceptional dispatch instructions).

12:30 p.m.–1:15 p.m. Lunch break

1:15 p.m.–3:45 p.m. Discussion on Exceptional Dispatch Mitigation

—Whether and how CAISO's efforts towards reducing the frequency and volume of exceptional dispatches have affected the potential for exceptionally dispatched resources to exercise market power.

- Whether CAISO's non-competitive path assessment remains a reliable indicator of the need for exceptional dispatch mitigation in light of protesters' assertions that the competitive path assessment over-classifies paths as non-competitive.
- Whether or how changes over the past two years, such as an increase in the number of resources available in the delta dispatch, have resulted in a reduction in the ability of any single resource to exercise market power in relation to the delta dispatch restrictions.
- Whether there is data or, alternatively, detailed specific theoretical scenarios, that demonstrate with some type of regularity or predictability the potential for resources that are exceptionally dispatched in relation to non-competitive constraints or delta dispatch to exercise market power.

3:45 p.m.–4 p.m. Closing Remarks

[FR Doc. 2011–9516 Filed 4–19–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP11–159–000]

Perryville Gas Storage LLC; Notice of Amendment

Take notice that on March 30, 2011, Perryville Gas Storage LLC (Perryville), Three Riverway, Suite 1350, Houston, Texas 77056, filed in the above referenced docket an application under section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations for an order amending the certificate of public convenience and necessity issued in Docket No. CP09–418–000 to authorize Perryville to make certain changes to its certificated project, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll free at (866) 208–3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502–8659.

Perryville proposes to increase its working gas capacity in each cavern by 2.5 billion cubic feet (Bcf) each.

Perryville also proposes to drill three freshwater supply wells, six brine disposal wells, and eight monitor wells. Perryville additionally seeks reaffirmation of its previously granted authorization to charge market-based rates for its storage and hub services, as well as the various waivers granted in the order issuing certificate.

Any questions concerning this application may be directed to J. Gordon Pennington, Attorney at Law, 2707 N. Kensington St., Arlington, VA 22207, at (703) 533–7638 or by e-mail at Pennington5@verzion.net.

Pursuant to section 157.9 of the Commission's rules, 18 CFR 157.9, within 90 days of this Notice the Commission staff will either: Complete its environmental assessment (EA) and place it into the Commission's public record (eLibrary) for this proceeding; or issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review. If a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review is issued, it will indicate, among other milestones, the anticipated date for the Commission staff's issuance of the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) or EA for this proposal. The filing of the EA in the Commission's public record for this proceeding or the issuance of a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review will serve to notify federal and state agencies of the timing for the completion of all necessary reviews, and the subsequent need to complete all federal authorizations within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff's FEIS or EA.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit seven copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commentors will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commentors will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commentors will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings of comments, protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 14 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive e-mail notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please e-mail FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov, or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Comment Date: May 4, 2011.

Dated: April 13, 2011.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011-9517 Filed 4-19-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. CP11-161-000; PF10-23-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Application

On March 31, 2011, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), 1001 Louisiana Street, Houston, Texas 77002, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application under section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, and part 157 of the Commission's regulations to construct, install, modify, operate, and maintain certain pipeline and compressor facilities to be located in Pennsylvania and New Jersey (the Northeast Upgrade Project). The Project involves (1) Installing approximately 39.5 miles of five 30-inch pipeline loop segments in Pennsylvania and New Jersey, (2) installing an additional 22,310 horsepower of compression at two existing compressor stations located in Pennsylvania; (3) restaging an existing compressor station and installing filter separators at three existing compressor stations in Pennsylvania and one existing compressor station in New Jersey; and (4) upgrading an existing meter station in New Jersey. In addition to the certificate authority requested in its application, Tennessee seeks authorization, pursuant to section 7(b) of the NGA, to abandon facilities that will be retired in conjunction with the replacement of certain metering facilities, as more fully described in Tennessee's application. Tennessee proposes to construct the Northeast Upgrade Project facilities to increase pipeline capacity to provide up to an additional 636,000 dekatherms per day of firm natural gas transportation service into northeast U.S. markets.

Questions regarding the application may be directed to Jacquelyne Rocan, Senior Counsel, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, 1001 Louisiana Street, Houston, Texas 77002, *phone:* (713) 420-4544, *fax:* (713) 420-1601, *e-mail:* jacquelyne.rocان@elpaso.com, or Thomas Joyce, Manager, Rates and Regulatory Affairs, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, 1001 Louisiana Street, Houston, Texas 77002, *phone:*

(713) 420-3299, *fax:* (713) 420-1605, *e-mail:* tom.joyce@elpaso.com.

On July 20, 2010, the Commission staff granted Tennessee's request to use the pre-filing process and assigned Docket No. PF10-23-000 for this proceeding during the pre-filing review of the Northeast Upgrade Project. Now, as of the filing of Tennessee's application on March 31, 2011, the pre-filing process for this project has ended. From this time forward, Tennessee's proceeding will be conducted in Docket No. CP11-161-000, as noted in the caption of this Notice.

Pursuant to section 157.9 of the Commission's rules, 18 CFR 157.9, within 90 days of this Notice the Commission staff will either: complete its environmental assessment (EA) and place it into the Commission's public record (eLibrary) for this proceeding; or issue a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review. If a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review is issued, it will indicate, among other milestones, the anticipated date for the Commission staff's issuance of the final environmental impact statement (FEIS) or EA for this proposal. The filing of the EA in the Commission's public record for this proceeding or the issuance of a Notice of Schedule for Environmental Review will serve to notify federal and state agencies of the timing for the completion of all necessary reviews, and the subsequent need to complete all federal authorizations within 90 days of the date of issuance of the Commission staff's FEIS or EA.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before the comment date stated below, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit seven copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and