

Activity	Estimated total annual responses	Estimated average completion time	Estimated total annual burden hours *
Form 10–168 (Part 1):			
Individuals	88	15	1,320
Private Sector	1,663	15	24,945
Form 10–168a (Part 2):			
Individuals	77	51	3,927
Private Sector	1,473	51	75,123
Form 10–168b (Amendment):			
Individuals	77	6	462
Private Sector	1,473	6	8,832
Form 10–168c (Part 3):			
Individuals	53	12	636
Private Sector	1,000	12	12,000
Forms 10–168d and 10–168e (State Review Sheets):			
Form 10–168d	1,751	3	5,253
Form 10–168e (Part 2s)	1,550	5	7,750
Form 10–168e (Part 3s)	1,053	4	4,212
Form 10–168e (for Amendments)	1,549	3	4,647
Certification of Statutes	1	5	5
Cert of Historic Districts	2	20	40
Appeals:			
Individuals	3	40	120
Private Sector	29	40	1,160
Totals	11,841	150,432

* Rounded.

An agency may not conduct, or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The authority for this action is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Phadrea Ponds,

Acting, NPS Information Collection Clearance Officer, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 2020–01673 Filed 1–29–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1115]

Certain Blow-Molded Bag-In-Container Devices, Associated Components, and End Products Containing or Using Same; Notice of a Commission Determination To Terminate the Investigation in Whole Based on Withdrawal of the Complaint; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to terminate the investigation in whole based on the withdrawal of the complaint. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3115. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on June 5, 2018, based on a complaint filed by Anheuser-Busch InBev S.A. of Leuven, Belgium and Anheuser-Busch, LLC of St. Louis, Missouri (collectively, “Complainants”). 83 FR 26088–89 (Jun. 5, 2018). Supplements to the complaint were filed on May 4, 2018, and May 15, 2018. The complaint alleges a violation of section 337 by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos.

9,162,372 (“the ‘372 patent”); 9,517,876; 9,555,572 (“the ‘572 patent”); and 9,944,453. The notice of investigation names as respondents Heineken International B.V. of Amsterdam, Netherlands; Heineken N.V. of Amsterdam, Netherlands; Heineken USA Inc. of White Plains, New York; Heineken Holding N.V. of Amsterdam, Netherlands; Heineken Beer Systems B.V. of Amsterdam, Netherlands; Heineken Brouwerijen B.V. of Amsterdam, Netherlands; Heineken Export Americas B.V. of Amsterdam, Netherlands; and Heineken Global Procurement B.V. of Amsterdam, Netherlands (collectively, “Respondents”). *Id.* at 26089. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation. Subsequently, the investigation was terminated in part as to the ‘372 and ‘572 patents. Order No. 26 (March 21, 2019), *not reviewed*, Commission Notice (April 11, 2019).

Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(a), 19 CFR 210.21(a), on April 29, 2019, Complainants moved to terminate this investigation in whole on the basis of withdrawal of the complaint. On April 30, 2019, Respondents filed a response opposing the motion. Respondents contended that termination is inappropriate given the advanced posture of the investigation and the fact that they had only temporarily halted importation of their accused products.

On May 3, 2019, the ALJ issued an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 50) granting Complainants’ motion. He found no extraordinary circumstances exist that would prevent the requested termination of this investigation. Order No. 50 at 3.

On May 10, 2019, Respondents filed a petition for review of the ID. On May 17, 2019, Complainants filed a response opposing the petition.

On June 26, 2019, the Commission determined to review the subject ID. Commission Notice (June 26, 2019).

Upon review, the Commission has determined to grant Complainants’ request to terminate the investigation based on withdrawal of its complaint allegations. The Commission has considered Respondents’ arguments regarding Complainants’ filing of their motion to terminate shortly before the hearing was scheduled to begin, after extensive proceedings, including discovery, had occurred before the ALJ. However, it would be premature at this time for the Commission to decide the effect, if any, of this termination on a future complaint that might be filed. Accordingly, the Commission need not and does not now decide what action it may take, or what conditions may apply, should Complainants file a complaint based on the same or similar alleged violations of section 337 by these Respondents in the future. Nor does the Commission now decide whether and how, if a new investigation were instituted based on the same or similar allegations, the record from the instant investigation may be used in such future investigation.

The investigation is terminated.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 24, 2020.

Katherine Hiner,
Supervisory Attorney.

[FR Doc. 2020–01635 Filed 1–29–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—National Fire Protection Association

Notice is hereby given that, on January 6, 2020, pursuant to Section 6(a)

of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), National Fire Protection Association (“NFPA”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, NFPA has provided an updated and current list of its standards development activities, related technical committee and conformity assessment activities. Information concerning NFPA regulations, technical committees, current standards, standards development and conformity assessment activities are publicly available at nfpa.org.

On September 20, 2004, NFPA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on October 21, 2004 (69 FR 61869).

The last notification was filed with the Department on September 6, 2019. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on October 17, 2019 (84 FR 55585).

Suzanne Morris,

Chief, Premerger and Division Statistics Unit,
Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2020–01750 Filed 1–29–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—CHEDE–8

Notice is hereby given that, on January 8, 2020, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), CHEDE–8 (“CHEDE–8”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, SINOTRUK Jinan Power Co., Ltd., Shandong, CHINA, has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open and CHEDE–8 intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On December 4, 2019, CHEDE–8 filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 30, 2019 (84 FR 71977).

Suzanne Morris,

Chief, Premerger and Division Statistics Unit,
Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2020–01752 Filed 1–29–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–11–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Integrated Photonics Institute for Manufacturing Innovation Operating Under the Name of the American Institute for Manufacturing Integrated Photonics

Notice is hereby given that, on December 23, 2019, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), the Integrated Photonics Institute for Manufacturing Innovation operating under the name of the American Institute for Manufacturing Integrated Photonics (“AIM Photonics”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Advantiv Technologies Inc., Fremont, CA; Nimbis Services, Inc., Oro Valley, AZ; Nonlinear Materials Corporation, Seattle, WA; and Rochester Electronics, Newport, MA, have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and AIM Photonics intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 16, 2016, AIM Photonics filed its original notification pursuant to