(Guernsey County); and, Proposed Site 6 (74 acres)—within the Eagleton Industrial Park (owned by MTB Corporation and Building Systems Transportation), S.R.142 and west of Spring Valley Road, London (Madison County). This expansion is being requested as part of a local economic development project known as the Greater Columbus Inland Port Program. No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is August 28, 2000. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period September 11, 2000.

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce, Export Assistance Center, Two Nationwide Plaza, Suite 1400, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 4008, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: June 22, 2000.

Pierre Duy,

Acting Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 00–16375 Filed 6–27–00; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-822 and A-122-823]

Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products and Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Canada: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Extension of Time Limits For Preliminary Results of

Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 28, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mike Strollo, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5255.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (1999).

Background

On August 19, 1993, the Department published in the Federal Register (58 FR 44162) the antidumping duty orders on certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products and certain cut-tolength carbon steel plate from Canada. The Department initiated these reviews for Stelco, Inc., Dofasco, Inc., Sorevco, Inc., Continuous Colour Coat, Ltd., and National Steel Corp., (corrosionresistant) and Clayson Steel Inc., Metaux Russel Inc. and Stelco, Inc. (cutto-length) on October 1, 1999 (64 FR 53318).1 We initiated a review of Gerdau MRM Steel (cut-to-length) on November 4, 1999 (64 FR 60161).2 These reviews cover the period of August 1, 1998 through July 31, 1999. On April 27, 2000, the Department published an extension of these preliminary results of review until July 21, 2000 (65 FR 24678).

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

For the reasons described in the Memorandum from Edward C. Yang to Joseph A. Spetrini, Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews of Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products and Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate From Canada, dated June 22, 2000, it is not practical to complete these reviews

within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review until August 30, 2000.

Dated: June 22, 2000.

Robert M. James,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 00–16374 Filed 6–27–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-848]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of New Shipper Antidumping Review: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 28, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sarah Ellerman or Maureen Flannery, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4106 and (202) 482–3020, respectively.

Time Limits

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to make a preliminary determination within 180 days after the date on which the review is initiated, and a final determination within 90 days after the date the preliminary determination is issued. However, if the Department concludes that the case is extraordinarily complicated, section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination from 180 days to 300 days and may extend the time limit for the final determination from 90 days to 150 days from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

Background

On March 30, 1999, the Department received a request from Yancheng Haiteng Aquatic Products & Foods Co., Ltd. to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China. On May 6,

¹ Petitioners withdrew their request for a review of Stelco under both orders. Stelco did not request that its sales be reviewed. National withdrew its request to be reviewed. Petitioners did not request that National be reviewed.

 $^{^2\,\}mathrm{We}$ inadvertently failed to include Gerdau MRM Steel in our October 1, 1999 notice.