the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to the attention of the Desk Officer, Department of Transportation/FAA, and sent via electronic mail to oira\_submission@omb.eop.gov,or faxed to (202) 395–6974, or mailed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimates of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Issued in Washington, DC, on December 28, 2009.

#### Carla Mauney,

FAA Information Collection Clearance Officer, IT Enterprises Business Services Division, AES–200.

[FR Doc. E9–31292 Filed 1–5–10; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–13–M** 

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

# Agency Information Collection Activity Seeking OMB Approval

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA invites public comments about our intention to request the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) revision of a current information collection. The Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on the following collection of information was published on October 16, 2009, vol. 74, no. 199, pages 53311-53312. The FAA Aviation Research and **Development Grants Program** establishes uniform policies and procedures for the award and administration of research grants to colleges, universities, not for profit organizations, and profit organizations for security research.

**DATES:** Please submit comments by February 5, 2010.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Carla Mauney at Carla.Mauney@faa.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)**

*Title:* Aviation Research Grants Program.

Type of Request: Extension without change of a currently approved collection.

OMB Control Number: 2120–0559. Forms(s): SF–3881, 9550–5. SF–269, SF–270, SF–272, SF–424.

Affected Public: An estimated 100 Respondents.

Frequency: This information is collected on occasion.

Estimated Average Burden per Response: Approximately 6.5 hours per response.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: An estimated 650 hours annually.

Abstract: The FAA Aviation Research and Development Grants Program establishes uniform policies and procedures for the award and administration of research grants to colleges, universities, not for profit organizations, and profit organizations for security research. This program implements OMB Circular A–110, Public Law 101–508, Section 9205 and 9208 and Public Law 101–604, Section 107(d).

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed information collection to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to the attention of the Desk Officer, Department of Transportation/FAA, and sent via electronic mail to oira\_submission@omb.eop.gov, or faxed to (202) 395–6974, or mailed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Comments are invited on: Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimates of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Issued in Washington, DC, on December 28, 2009.

#### Carla Mauney,

FAA Information Collection Clearance Officer, IT Enterprises Business Services Division, AES–200.

[FR Doc. E9–31293 Filed 1–5–10; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–13–M** 

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Highway Administration**

# **Environmental Impact Statement;** Maricopa County, AZ

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of Intent.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an Environmental Impact Statement will be prepared for a proposed highway project in Maricopa County, Arizona.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kenneth Davis, Senior Engineering Manager for Operations, Federal Highway Administration, 4000 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1500, Phoenix, Arizona 85012–1906, Telephone (602) 382–8970, Fax: (602) 382–8998, e-mail: Ken.davis@dot.gov; or Mary Frye, Environmental Coordinator, Federal Highway Administration, Arizona Division, 4000 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1500, Phoenix, Arizona 85012–1906, Telephone (602) 382–8979, Fax: (602) 382–8998, e-mail: Mary.frye@dotgov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT), will prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on proposed operational improvements to the Interstate 17 (I-17) corridor in Maricopa County, Arizona. The proposed improvements for I-17 would occur along a 21-mile stretch of highway through metropolitan Phoenix from the I-17 merge with Interstate 10 near Sky Harbor Airport and extend north to the I-17 interchange with State Route 101L. The proposed project evaluation will include, but will not be limited to, potential impacts to residential and commercial development, cultural resources, threatened and endangered species, jurisdictional waters of the U.S., air and noise quality, hazardous materials, and secondary and cumulative impacts.

Improvements to the corridor are considered necessary to address current traffic volumes that exceed the existing roadway capacity resulting in heavy congestion and to accommodate the projected traffic demand associated with regional growth. The proposed I–17