

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

III. After notice and opportunity for comment as provided in section 766.23 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to MI by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

IV. This Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

V. This Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until November 18, 2015.

VI. In accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, MI may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

VII. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to MI. This Order shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: March 12, 2007.

Eileen M. Albanese,

Director, Office of Exporter Services.

[FR Doc. 07-1334 Filed 3-19-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Industry and Security

Action Affecting Export Privileges; Maurice Subilia

In the Matter of: Maurice Subilia, 17 Oakwood Road, Kennebunkport, ME 04046; Respondent; Order Denying Export Privileges

A. Denial of Export Privileges of Maurice Subilia

On November 18, 2005, in the U.S. District Court in the District of Massachusetts, Maurice Subilia ("Subilia") was convicted of violating the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended (currently codified at 50 U.S.C. app. 2401-2420 (2000)) (the "Act")¹. Specifically, Subilia was convicted of knowingly exporting and causing to be exported from the United States to India, a controlled commodity, to wit, a component, accessory and controls for an isostatic press, that is, a control panel which consisted of, among other things, an operating control cabinet, a power/pressure control cabinet, and digital controllers and recorder, without having first obtained the required export license from the U.S. Department of Commerce.

In addition to the violation of the Act, the Subilia was convicted of conspiring to violate the Act in violation of 18 U.S.C. 371(2000) and aiding and abetting in violation of 18 U.S.C. 2 (2000). Subilia was ordered to serve six months community confinement, and one year home confinement followed by one and one half years probation, as well as a \$250,000 fine.

Section 11(h) of the Act and Section 766.25 of the Export Administration Regulations ("Regulations")² provide, in pertinent part, that "[t]he Director of Exporter Services, in consultation with the Director of the Office of Export Enforcement, may deny export privileges of any person who has been convicted of a violation of * * * Act, "

¹ Since August 21, 2001, the Act has been in lapse and the President, through Executive Order 13222 of August 17, 2001 (3 CFR, 2001 Comp. 783 (2002)), as extended by the Notice of August 3, 2006 (71 FR 44551, Aug. 7, 2006), has continued the Regulations in effect under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701-1706(2000)) ("IEEPA").

² The Regulations are currently codified at 15 CFR Parts 730-774 (2006).

for a period not to exceed 10 years from the date of conviction. 15 CFR 766.25(a) and (b). In addition, Section 750.8 of the Regulations states that BIS's Office of Exporter Services may revoke any BIS licenses previously issued in which the person had an interest in at the time of his conviction.

I have received notice of the Subilia's conviction for violating the Act, and I, following consultations with the Export Enforcement, including the Director, Office of Export Enforcement, have decided to deny the Subilia's export privileges under the Regulations for a period of 10 years from the date of his conviction. Due to exceptional circumstances, this Order is being issued without prior notice or opportunity to respond.

Accordingly, it is hereby
Ordered

I. Until November 18, 2015, Maurice Subilia, 17 Oakwood Road, Kennebunkport, ME 04046, his successors or assigns, and when acting for or on behalf of Subilia, his officers, representatives, agents, or employees ("Denied Person") may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported to or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

II. No person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United

States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

III. After notice and opportunity for comment as provided in section 766.23 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Subilia by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

IV. This Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

V. This Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until November 18, 2015.

VI. In accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Subilia may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

VII. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to Subilia. This Order shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: March 12, 2007.

Eileen M. Albanese,

Director, Office of Exporter Services.

[FR Doc. 07-1333 Filed 3-19-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-816]

Notice of Final Results of the Twelfth Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Republic of Korea

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 11, 2006, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review for certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products (CORE) from the Republic of Korea (Korea). *See Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Korea: Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 53370 (September 11, 2006) (*Preliminary Results*). This review covers four manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise: Union Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (Union); Pohang Iron & Steel Company, Ltd. (POSCO) and Pohang Coated Steel Co., Ltd. (POCOS) (collectively, the POSCO Group); Hyundai HYSCO (HYSCO); and Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd. (Dongbu) (collectively, respondents). The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2004, through July 31, 2005.

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, these final results differ from the preliminary results. For our final results, we have found that during the POR, Union and Dongbu sold subject merchandise at less than normal value (NV). We have also found that HYSCO and the POSCO Group did not make sales of the subject merchandise at less than NV (*i.e.*, they have a zero or *de minimis* dumping margin).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 20, 2007.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jolanta Lawska (Union), Preeti Tolani (Dongbu), Victoria Cho (the POSCO Group), and Joy Zhang (HYSCO), AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-8362, (202) 482-0395, (202) 482-5075, and (202) 482-1168, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On September 11, 2006, the Department published the *Preliminary*

Results. On January 3, 2007, the Department published the notice of extension of final results of the antidumping administrative review of CORE from Korea, extending the date for these final results to March 12, 2007. *See Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Korea: Extension of Time Limits for the Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Review*, 72 FR 102 (January 3, 2007).

Comments from Interested Parties

We invited parties to comment on our *Preliminary Results*. On October 20, 2006, Mittal Steel USA ISG, Inc. (Mittal) and United States Steel Corporation (US Steel) filed case briefs concerning all respondents and all respondents filed a case brief.¹ On October 31, 2006, Mittal filed a rebuttal brief concerning all respondents and U.S. Steel filed rebuttal briefs concerning Union, Dongbu, and POSCO. On October 31, 2006, all respondents filed a rebuttal brief.

Scope of the Order

This order covers cold-rolled (cold-reduced) carbon steel flat-rolled carbon steel products, of rectangular shape, either clad, plated, or coated with corrosion-resistant metals such as zinc, aluminum, or zinc-, aluminum-, nickel- or iron-based alloys, whether or not corrugated or painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other nonmetallic substances in addition to the metallic coating, in coils (whether or not in successively superimposed layers) and of a width of 0.5 inch or greater, or in straight lengths which, if of a thickness less than 4.75 millimeters, are of a width of 0.5 inch or greater and which measures at least 10 times the thickness or if of a thickness of 4.75 millimeters or more are of a width which exceeds 150 millimeters and measures at least twice the thickness, as currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under item numbers 7210.30.0030, 7210.30.0060, 7210.41.0000, 7210.49.0030, 7210.49.0090, 7210.61.0000, 7210.69.0000, 7210.70.6030, 7210.70.6060, 7210.70.6090, 7210.90.1000, 7210.90.6000, 7210.90.9000, 7212.20.0000, 7212.30.1030, 7212.30.1090, 7212.30.3000, 7212.30.5000, 7212.40.1000, 7212.40.5000, 7212.50.0000, 7212.60.0000, 7215.90.1000, 7215.90.3000, 7215.90.5000, 7217.20.1500, 7217.30.1530, 7217.30.1560, 7217.90.1000, 7217.90.5030,

¹ The Nucor Corporation, a domestic interested party, did not submit a case brief or a rebuttal brief.