

Violations of this closure are punishable by a fine not to exceed \$1,000 and/or imprisonment not to exceed 12 months.

Stan McKee,

Field Manager.

[FR Doc. 00-22288 Filed 8-30-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[AK-040-00-1410-00; AA-082598]

Realty Action; FLPMA Section 302 Lease, Petersburg, Alaska

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of realty action, lease of public land.

SUMMARY: Michelle Stevens (proponent) submitted a proposal for a Residential Occupancy Lease of public land pursuant to Section 302 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 and regulations at Title 43 CFR Part 2920. The lease would allow for established improvements to remain on the land for the duration of the lease.

The land is approximately 24 miles Northwest of Talkeetna, Alaska, at Petersburg; located in Section 21 and Section 28, T. 28 N., R. 8 W., Seward Meridian. The leased property would contain portions of the Seattle No.1 and Contact No.1 mining claims as shown on Mineral Survey 2384. The area is described as:

Seattle No.1, N. 1/2, N. 1/2 S. 1/2

Contact No. 1, beginning at corner 1, south along line 1-2 for 985.35 feet, thence N. 87° 50' W. for 200 feet, thence N. 3° 43' E. for 985.35 feet to North Boundary of Contact No. 1, thence S. 87° 50' E. for 200 feet to corner no. 1.

The proposed lease contains approximately 20 acres.

DATES: Interested parties may submit comments on or before October 16, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Nick Douglas, Field Manager, Anchorage Field Office, 6881 Abbott Loop Road, Anchorage, Alaska 99507-2599.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rodney Huffman, (907) 267-1244 or (800) 478-1263.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a notice of a proposal for a Residential Occupancy Lease. No additional proposals will be accepted. The proponent will reimburse the United States for reasonable administrative fees and other costs incurred by the United States in processing the proposed lease.

The proposed lease would authorize the proponent's improvements to remain on the land.

- 1 Frame House
- 1 Nodwell Trailer
- 2 Frame Cabins
- 1 Frame Storage Shed
- 1 Cook Shack
- 1 Shop

No new construction or improvements would be authorized. The proposed lease would be offered to the Applicant for a term of 10 years and would require rent to be paid to the United States at fair market value. In the absence of a timely objection, this proposal may become the final decision of the Department of the Interior.

Nicholas Douglas,

Field Manager.

[FR Doc. 00-22251 Filed 8-30-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JA-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Concession Contract Negotiations; ME

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Public notice.

SUMMARY: Public notice is hereby given that the National Park Service proposes to award a temporary concession contract authorizing the operation of carriage rides, horse camp, day use parking, facilities and services for the public at Acadia National Park, Maine for a term not to exceed October 31, 2000.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 2, 2000.

ADDRESSES: National Park Service, Concession Management Program, Boston Support Office, 15 State Street, Boston, MA 02109-3572, Telephone (617) 223-5209.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This temporary concession contract is being awarded to Mr. Edward Winterberg, Seal Harbor, Maine. It is necessary to award the contract in order to avoid interruption of visitor services.

This action is issued pursuant to 36 CFR Part 51.24(a). This is not a request for proposals and no prospectus is being issued at this time. The Secretary intends to issue a competitive solicitation of offers for a long-term operator to begin in 2001. You may be placed on a mailing list for receiving information regarding the competitive solicitation by sending a written request to the above address.

Dated: July 18, 2000.

Chrysandra L. Walter,

Acting Regional Director, Northeast Region.

[FR Doc. 00-22254 Filed 8-30-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

August 23, 2000.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of the ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor. To obtain documentation for BLS, ETA, PWBA, and OASAM contact Karin Kurz (202) 219-5096 ext. 159 or by E-mail to Kurz-Karin@dol.gov). To obtain documentation for ESA, MSHA, OSHA, and VETS contact Darrin King (202) 219-5096 ext. 151 or by E-Mail to King-Darrin@dol.gov).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for BLS, DM, ESA, ETA, MSHA, OSHA, PWBA, or VETS, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 (202) 395-7316, within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Enhance the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology,

e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Agency: Bureau of Labor Statistics.
Title: Labor Market Information (LMI) Cooperative Agreement.
OMB Number: 1220-0079.

Affected Public: State, Local or Tribal Government.

Information collection	Respondents	Frequency	Total responses	Average time	Total hours
Work Statements	55	1	55	1-2 hr	55-110
BIF (LMI 1A & B)	55	1	55	1-6 hr	55-330
Quarterly Automated Financial Reports	48	4	192	10-50 min	32-160
Monthly Automated Financial Reports	48	*8	348	5-25 min	32-160
BLS Cooperative Financial Report (LMI 2A)	7	12	84	1-5 hr	84-420
Quarterly Status Report (LMI 2B)	1-30	4	4-120	1 hr	4-12
Total	1-55		774-890		264-1300
Avg. totals	1055		832		781

*Reports are not received for end-of-quarter months, i.e., December, March, June, September.

Total annualized capital/startup costs: \$0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): \$0.

Description: The LMI Cooperative Agreement includes all information needed by the State Employment Security Agencies to apply for funds to assist them to operate one or more of the five LMI programs operated by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, and, once awarded, report on the status of obligation and expenditure of funds, as well as close out the Cooperative Agreement.

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 00-22331 Filed 8-30-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-24-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-37,735 and NAFTA-3842]

International Business Machines Corporation (IBM), Storage Technology Division, Disk Substrate Manufacturing, Rochester, Minnesota; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application postmarked July 28, 2000, petitioners request administrative reconsideration of the Department's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) petition number TA-W-37,735 and North American Free Trade Agreement-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA-TAA) petition number NAFTA-3842, applicable to workers and former workers of International Business Machines Corporation (IBM), Storage

Technology Division, Disk Substrate Manufacturing, Rochester, Minnesota. The denial notices were signed on June 29, 2000, and published in the **Federal Register** on July 24, 2000, TA-W-37,735 (65 FR 45620) and NAFTA-3842 (65 FR 45621).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) if it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) if in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The petitioners report that IBM lost a contract to build disk drives for EMC; the contract was awarded to an overseas company. IBM then decided to use glass disks in their computers. The Rochester glass plant now supplies 10% of the glass disks in IBM computers and disk drives, with the remainder being sourced from abroad. The petitioners add that they were informed the Rochester plant would never be a major supplier of these disks because the foreign competition was much cheaper, and the plant was now for research purposes. The petitioners also state that it is doubtful that the subject firm is out of the aluminum business because IBM recently signed a major contract with Compaq to be able to use each other's storage devices. Compaq uses aluminum disks and imports them.

The Department did not investigate the petitioners allegation of the subject firm's reliance on imports of disks because the Rochester, Minnesota, worker group produced disk substrates, which is a component for IBM's further production of storage disks at other

locations. The Department is required to examine the impact of imports of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the workers' firm.

The workers were denied eligibility to apply for TAA based on the finding that the contributed importantly criterion of the worker group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not met. Layoffs of workers producing disk substrates was attributable to the change in technology. Fewer workers are required to produce glass disk substrates than the aluminum magnesium material.

The NAFTA-TAA petition investigation for the same worker group revealed that criteria (3) and (4) of paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, were not met. The subject firm did not import from Mexico or Canada, articles like or directly competitive with the disk substrates produced by the workers of the firm. There was no shift in production from the Warrensburg plant to Mexico or Canada. The major contributing factor to the reduction in employment at the Rochester, Minnesota plant was a change in technology. The IBM Rochester plant is using glass for manufacturing disk substrates which requires fewer workers than aluminum magnesium material.

Conclusion

After review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.