

Joaquín Tremols, Acting Director,
Single Family Housing Guaranteed Loan
Division, Stop 0784, Room 2250, USDA
Rural Development, South Agriculture
Building, 1400 Independence Avenue,
SW., Washington, DC 20250-0784,
telephone (202) 720-1465, E-mail
joaquin.tremols@wdc.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Single Family Housing
Guaranteed Loan Program.

OMB Number: 0575-0179.

Type of Request: Reinstatement of a
Previously Approved Information
Collection.

Abstract: Under this program, loan
guarantees are provided to participating
lenders who make loans to income
eligible borrowers in rural areas. The
purpose of this program is to promote
affordable housing for low- and
moderate-income borrowers in rural
America.

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting
burden for this collection of information
is estimated to average 27 minutes per
response.

Respondents: Private sector lenders
participating in the Rural Development
Single Family Housing Guaranteed Loan
Program.

Estimated Number of Respondents:
1,800.

*Estimated Number of Responses per
Respondent:* 130.

Estimated Number of Responses:
234,000.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on
Respondents:* 105,131.

Copies of this information collection
can be obtained from Cheryl Thompson,
Regulations and Paperwork
Management Branch, Support Services
Division, at (202) 692-0043.

Comments: Comments are invited on:
(a) Whether the proposed collection of
information is necessary for the proper
performance of the functions of USDA,
including whether the information will
have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of
USDA's estimate of the burden of the
collection of information on those who
are to respond, including through the
use of appropriate automated,
electronic, mechanical, or other
technological collection techniques or
other forms of information technology.
Comments may be sent to Renita
Bolden, Regulations and Paperwork
Management Branch, Support Services
Division, U.S. Department of
Agriculture, Rural Development, Stop
0742-1400 Independence Avenue, SW.,
Washington, DC 20250-0742. All
responses to this notice will be
summarized and included in the request
for OMB approval. All comments will
also become a matter of public record.

Dated: July 10, 2008.

James C Alsop,

Acting Administrator, Rural Housing Service.

[FR Doc. E8-16612 Filed 7-18-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XV-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Housing Service

Section 538 Guaranteed Rural Rental Housing Program (GRRHP) Demonstration Program for Fiscal Year 2008

AGENCY: Rural Housing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice; amendment.

SUMMARY: The Rural Housing Service
(RHS) is amending a notice published
April 21, 2008 (73 FR 21305-21307).
This action is taken to extend the
application obligation date of eligible
applications. This amendment is to
ensure that all applications that meet
program criteria and have responded
accordingly will be considered in the
Demonstration Program.

Accordingly, the Notice published on
April 21, 2008 (73 FR 21305-21307), is
amended as follows:

On page 21306, in the second column,
second paragraph, under the heading
"Demonstration Program Selection
Process," the second paragraph is
amended to read as follows: "The first
round of selections into the
Demonstration Program will be made on
April 25, 2008. In the event there are not
enough qualified requests for selection
into the Demonstration Program to
utilize all the available Demonstration
Program set-aside funds of
approximately \$13 million, then the
selection process for any remaining
funds will be conducted again on July
11, 2008. If needed, an additional
selection process will be conducted
again on September 29, 2008. All
applicants will be notified of the
selection results no later than 30
business days from the date of
selection."

Dated: July 3, 2008.

Russell T. Davis,

Administrator, Rural Housing Service.

[FR Doc. E8-16344 Filed 7-18-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XV-P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to
the provisions of the rules and

regulations of the U.S. Commission on
Civil Rights (Commission), and the
Federal Advisory Committee Act
(FACA), that a planning meeting of the
District of Columbia Advisory
Committee to the Commission will
convene at 12 p.m. and adjourn at
1 p.m. on Wednesday, August 6, 2008,
at the Heritage Foundation, 214
Massachusetts Avenue, NE.,
Washington, DC 20002. The purpose of
the meeting is to plan for a briefing on
education issues in the District of
Columbia.

Members of the public are entitled to
submit written comments; the
comments must be received in the
regional office by Friday, September 5,
2008. The address is Eastern Regional
Office, 624 Ninth Street, NW., Suite 740,
Washington, DC 20425. Persons wishing
to email their comments or to present
their comments verbally at the meeting,
or who desire additional information
should contact Alfreda Greene,
Secretary, at 202-376-7533, or by
e-mail: agreene@usccr.gov.

Hearing-impaired persons who will
attend the meeting and require the
services of a sign language interpreter
should contact the Regional Office at
least ten (10) working days before the
scheduled date of the meeting.

Records generated from this meeting
may be inspected and reproduced at the
Eastern Regional Office, as they become
available, both before and after the
meeting. Persons interested in the work
of this advisory committee are advised
to go to the Commission's Web site,
<http://www.usccr.gov>, or to contact the
Eastern Regional Office at the above
e-mail or street address.

The meeting will be conducted
pursuant to the provisions of the rules
and regulations of the Commission and
FACA.

Dated in Washington, DC, July 16, 2008.

Christopher Byrnes,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. E8-16635 FILED 7-18-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-939]

Certain Tow Behind Lawn Groomers and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 21, 2008.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Martin or Maisha Cryor, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3936 or (202) 482-5831, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**The Petition**

On June 24, 2008, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") received a Petition concerning imports of certain non-motorized tow behind lawn groomers and certain parts thereof ("lawn groomers") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") filed in proper form by Agri-Fab Inc. ("Agri-Fab", hereafter referred to as "Petitioner"). See Petition for the Imposition of Antidumping Duties: Certain Tow Behind Lawn Groomers and Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China, dated June 24, 2008 ("Petition"). On June 27, July 3, July 7, and July 8, 2008, the Department issued requests for additional information and clarification of certain areas of the Petition. Based on the Department's requests, Petitioner filed supplemental information on the following topics: general issues (*i.e.*, scope, injury, and industry support) and U.S. price and normal value ("NV") calculations on July 1, 2008; U.S. price and NV calculations on July 8, 2008; and scope and certain revisions to NV calculations on July 9, 2008. In addition, Petitioner provided additional information regarding an adjustment to NV on July 9, 2008, and additional clarification of the scope of the Petition on July 10, 2008. See Memorandum from Mark Manning, Program Manager, to the File, "Phone Conversation With Agri-Fab Concerning Line-Item in Normal Value Calculation," dated July 9, 2008; and Memorandum from Maisha Cryor, Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, to the File, "Request to Agri-Fab, Inc. via Telephone Conversation, July 10, 2008." Petitioner also provided additional information on industry support on July 10, 2008. See Memorandum from Meredith A.W. Rutherford to the File, Petitions for the Imposition of Antidumping and Countervailing Duties—Certain Tow Behind Lawn Groomers and Certain Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Phone Call with Petitioner Regarding Industry Support, dated July 9, 2008. Lastly, Petitioner provided an additional clarification to the scope on July 11, 2008. See Memorandum from Maisha Cryor,

Senior International Trade Compliance Analyst, to the File, "Scope Clarification," dated July 11, 2008.

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), Petitioner alleges that imports of lawn groomers from the PRC are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value, within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that such imports are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, an industry in the United States.

The Department finds that Petitioner filed this Petition on behalf of the domestic industry because Petitioner is an interested party as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act, and has demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to the antidumping duty investigation. See "Determination of Industry Support for the Petition" section, *infra*.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation ("POI") is October 1, 2007, through March 31, 2008. See 19 CFR 351.204(b)(1).

Scope of Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation is certain lawn groomers and certain parts thereof. See Appendix I to this notice for a complete description of the merchandise covered by this investigation.

Comments on Scope of Investigation

During our review of the Petition, we discussed the scope with Petitioner to ensure that it is an accurate reflection of the products for which the domestic industry is seeking relief. Moreover, as discussed in the preamble to the regulations, we are setting aside a period for interested parties to raise issues regarding product coverage. See Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties; Final Rule, 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997). The Department encourages all interested parties to submit such comments by August 4, 2008, which is 21 calendar days from the date of signature of this notice.¹ Comments should be addressed to Import Administration's APO/Dockets Unit, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The period of scope consultations is intended to provide the Department with ample opportunity to consider all comments and to consult with parties prior to the issuance of the preliminary determination.

¹ Twenty calendar days after the date of signature is Sunday, August 3, 2008.

Comments on Product Characteristics for Antidumping Duty Questionnaire

The Department is requesting comments from interested parties regarding the appropriate physical characteristics of lawn groomers to be reported in response to the Department's antidumping questionnaire. This information will be used to identify the key physical characteristics of the subject merchandise to allow respondents to accurately report the relevant factors of production, as well as develop appropriate product reporting criteria, in accordance with the Department's non-market economy ("NME") methodology, as described in the "Normal Value" section, *infra*.

Interested parties may provide any information or comments that they believe are relevant to the development of an accurate listing of physical characteristics. Specifically, interested parties may provide comments as to which characteristics are appropriate to use as: (1) General product characteristics; and (2) product reporting criteria. The Department notes that it is not always appropriate to use all product characteristics as product reporting criteria. While there may be some physical product characteristics that manufacturers use to describe lawn groomers, it may be that only a select few product characteristics take into account commercially meaningful physical characteristics of lawn groomers.

In order to consider the suggestions of interested parties in developing and issuing the antidumping duty questionnaire, the Department must receive public comments at the above-referenced address by August 4, 2008, and receive rebuttal comments by August 11, 2008.

Determination of Industry Support for the Petition

Section 732(b)(1) of the Act requires that a petition be filed on behalf of the domestic industry. Section 732(c)(4)(A) of the Act provides that a petition meets this requirement if the domestic producers or workers who support the petition account for: (i) At least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like product; and (ii) more than 50 percent of the production of the domestic like product produced by that portion of the industry expressing support for, or opposition to, the petition. Moreover, section 732(c)(4)(D) of the Act provides that, if the petition does not establish support of domestic producers or workers accounting for more than 50 percent of the total

production of the domestic like product, the Department shall: (i) Poll the industry or rely on other information in order to determine if there is support for the petition, as required by subparagraph (A), or (ii) determine industry support using a statistically valid sampling method.

Section 771(4)(A) of the Act defines the “industry” as the producers as a whole of a domestic like product. Thus, to determine whether a petition has the requisite industry support, the statute directs the Department to look to producers and workers who produce the domestic like product. The U.S. International Trade Commission (“ITC”), which is responsible for determining whether “the domestic industry” has been injured, must also determine what constitutes a domestic like product in order to define the industry. While both the Department and the ITC must apply the same statutory definition regarding the domestic like product (section 771(10) of the Act), they do so for different purposes and pursuant to a separate and distinct authority. In addition, the Department’s determination is subject to limitations of time and information. Although this may result in different definitions of the like product, such differences do not render the decision of either agency contrary to law. *See USEC, Inc. v. United States*, 132 F. Supp. 2d 1, 8 (CIT 2001), *citing Algoma Steel Corp. Ltd. v. United States*, 688 F. Supp. 639, 644 (CIT 1988), *aff’d* 865 F.2d 240 (Fed. Cir. 1989), *cert. denied* 492 U.S. 919 (1989).

Section 771(10) of the Act defines the “domestic like product” as “a product which is like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the article subject to an investigation under this subtitle.” Thus, the reference point from which the domestic like product analysis begins is “the article subject to an investigation” (*i.e.*, the class or kind of merchandise to be investigated, which normally will be the scope as defined in the petition).

With regard to the domestic like product, Petitioner does not offer a definition of domestic like product distinct from the scope of the investigation. Based on our analysis of the information submitted on the record, we have determined that certain tow behind lawn groomers and certain lawn groomer parts constitute a single domestic like product; and we have analyzed industry support in terms of that domestic like product. For a discussion of the domestic like product analysis in this case, *see* “Antidumping Duty Investigation Initiation Checklist: Certain Tow Behind Lawn Groomers

and Certain Parts Thereof from the People’s Republic of China (“Initiation Checklist”), Analysis of Industry Support for the Petition at Attachment II, on file in the Central Records Unit (“CRU”), Room 1217 of the main Department of Commerce building.

With regard to section 732(c)(4)(A) of the Act, in determining whether Petitioner has standing (*i.e.*, the domestic workers and producer supporting the Petition account for (1) at least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like product and (2) more than 50 percent of the production of the domestic like product produced by that portion of the industry expressing support for, or opposition to, the Petition), we considered the industry support data contained in the Petition with reference to the domestic like product as defined in the “Scope of Investigation” section, above. To establish industry support, Petitioner provided its sales volume of the domestic like product for calendar year 2007, and compared that to total sales volume of the domestic like product for the industry. Petitioner stated that it “used sales volumes * * * as a surrogate for production, because it does not have access to the actual production data of other domestic {lawn groomer} producers.” *See* Petition, Volume 1, at 2. We have relied upon the data Petitioner provided for purposes of measuring industry support. For further discussion, *see* Initiation Checklist at Attachment II (Analysis of Industry Support for the Petition).

The Department’s review of the data provided in the Petition, supplemental submissions, and other information readily available to the Department indicates that Petitioner has established industry support. First, the Petition establishes support from domestic producers (or workers) accounting for more than 50 percent of the total production of the domestic like product and, as such, the Department is not required to take further action in order to evaluate industry support (*e.g.*, polling). *See* section 732(c)(4)(D) of the Act and PRC Initiation Checklist at Attachment II (Analysis of Industry Support for the Petition). Second, the domestic producers (or workers) have met the statutory criteria for industry support under section 732(c)(4)(A)(i) of the Act because the domestic producers (or workers) who support the Petition account for at least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like product. *See* Initiation Checklist at Attachment II (Analysis of Industry Support for the Petition). Finally, the domestic producers (or workers) have met the statutory criteria for industry

support under section 732(c)(4)(A)(ii) of the Act because the domestic producers (or workers) who support the Petition account for more than 50 percent of the production of the domestic like product produced by that portion of the industry expressing support for, or opposition to, the Petition. Accordingly, the Department determines that the Petition was filed on behalf of the domestic industry within the meaning of section 732(b)(1) of the Act. *See* Initiation Checklist at Attachment II (Analysis of Industry Support for the Petition).

The Department finds that Petitioner filed the Petition on behalf of the domestic industry because it is an interested party as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act and has demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to the antidumping investigation that it is requesting the Department initiate. *See* PRC Initiation Checklist at Attachment II (Analysis of Industry Support for the Petition).

Allegations and Evidence of Material Injury and Causation

Petitioner alleges that the U.S. industry producing the domestic like product is being materially injured, or is threatened with material injury, by reason of the imports of the subject merchandise sold at less than NV. Petitioner contends that the industry’s injured condition is illustrated by reduced market share, underselling and price depressing and suppressing effects, lost sales and revenue, reduced production and capacity utilization, reduced shipments, reduced employment, and an overall decline in financial performance. We have assessed the allegations and supporting evidence regarding material injury, threat of material injury, and causation, and we have determined that these allegations are properly supported by adequate evidence and meet the statutory requirements for initiation. *See* Initiation Checklist at Attachment III (Analysis of Injury Allegations and Evidence of Material Injury and Causation).

Allegations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

The following is a description of the allegations of sales at less than fair value upon which the Department based its decision to initiate this investigation of imports of lawn groomers from the PRC. The sources of data for the deductions and adjustments relating to the U.S. price, and the factors of production are also discussed in the initiation checklist. *See* Initiation Checklist. Should the need arise to use any of this information as facts available under

section 776 of the Act in our preliminary or final determination, we will reexamine the information and revise the margin calculations, if appropriate.

Export Price

Petitioner relied on one U.S. price quote for lawn groomers manufactured in the PRC and offered for sale in the United States. The price quoted was for one type of lawn groomer, *i.e.*, lawn sweeper, falling within the scope of the Petition. *See* Petition, Volume II, at 8 and Exhibit II-1. Petitioner deducted foreign inland freight and foreign brokerage and handling from this price. *See* Petition, Volume II, at 7-8 and Exhibit II-2.

Normal Value

Petitioner notes that the PRC is a non-market economy country ("NME") and that no determination to the contrary has yet been made by the Department. *See* Petition, Volume II, at 2. The Department has previously examined the PRC's market status and determined that NME status should continue for the PRC. *See* Memorandum from the Office of Policy to David M. Spooner, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, regarding The People's Republic of China Status as a Non-Market Economy, dated May 15, 2006 (available online at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/download/prc-nme-status/prc-nme-status-memo.pdf>). In addition, in recent investigations, the Department has continued to determine that the PRC is an NME country. *See* *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Partial Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 19690 (April 19, 2007); *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China*, 72 FR 9508 (March 2, 2007).

In accordance with section 771(18)(C)(i) of the Act, the presumption of NME status remains in effect until revoked by the Department. The presumption of NME status for the PRC has not been revoked by the Department and, therefore, remains in effect for purposes of the initiation of this investigation. Accordingly, the NV of the product is appropriately based on factors of production valued in a surrogate market economy country, in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act. In the course of this investigation, all parties will have the opportunity to provide relevant information related to the issues of the PRC's NME status and the granting of separate rates to individual exporters.

Petitioner argues that India is the appropriate surrogate country for the PRC because it is at a comparable level of economic development and it is a significant producer of comparable merchandise, specifically hand trucks. *See* Petition, Volume II, at 3. Petitioner asserts that no potential surrogate countries manufacture lawn groomers. *See* Petition, Volume II, at 2. Based on the information provided by Petitioner, the Department believes that the use of India as a surrogate country is appropriate for purposes of initiation. However, after initiation of the investigation, interested parties will have the opportunity to submit comments regarding surrogate country selection and, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(i), will be provided an opportunity to submit publicly available information to value factors of production within 40 days after the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

Petitioner calculated NV and a dumping margin for the U.S. price, discussed above, using the Department's NME methodology as required by 19 CFR 351.202(b)(7)(i)(C) and 19 CFR 351.408. Petitioner calculated NV based on its own consumption rates for producing 42-inch lawn sweepers in 2007. *See* Petition, Volume II, at 5, and Initiation Checklist. Petitioner states that its production experience is representative of the production process used in the PRC because all of the material inputs and processing are unlikely to be materially different for a Chinese producer of lawn groomers. *See* Petition, Volume II, at 3-5.

Petitioner valued the factors of production based on reasonably available, public surrogate country data, including official Indian government import statistics and sources recently used in other PRC proceedings conducted by the Department. Since Petitioner was unable to find input prices contemporaneous with the POI for electricity and gas, it adjusted for inflation using the wholesale price index for India, as published by the International Monetary Fund, *International Financial Statistics*. *See* July 8, 2008, supplemental to the Petition, at Exhibit 3. In addition, Petitioner made currency conversions, where necessary, based on the POI-average rupee/U.S. dollar exchange rate, as reported on the Department's Web site. *See* Petition, Volume II, at Exhibit II-4. Petitioner calculated a labor cost for the PRC based upon its own experience. *See* Petition, Volume II, at 6. To value labor, Petitioner used a labor rate of \$1.04 per hour, as published on the Department's Web site, in

accordance with the Department's regulations. *See* 19 CFR 351.408(c)(3) and the Initiation Checklist. For purposes of initiation, the Department determines that the surrogate values used by Petitioner are reasonably available and, thus, acceptable for purposes of initiation.

Petitioner based factory overhead expenses, selling, general and administrative expenses, and profit, based on the experience of Rexello Castors Private Ltd. ("Rexello"), an Indian manufacturer of comparable merchandise, namely hand trucks. *See* Petition, Volume II, at 7. For purposes of initiation, the Department finds Petitioner's use of Rexello's most recently available financial statement to calculate the surrogate financial ratios appropriate.

Fair Value Comparison

Based on the data provided by Petitioner, there is reason to believe that imports of lawn groomers from the PRC are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value. Based on comparisons of EP to NV, calculated in accordance with section 773(c) of the Act, the revised estimated dumping margin for lawn groomers from the PRC is 154.72 percent. *See* *Initiation Checklist* at II-9.

Initiation of Antidumping Investigation

Based upon the examination of the Petition on lawn groomers from the PRC, the Department finds that the Petition meets the requirements of section 732 of the Act. Therefore, we are initiating an antidumping duty investigation to determine whether imports of lawn groomers from the PRC are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value. In accordance with section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Act, unless postponed, we will make our preliminary determination no later than 140 days after the date of this initiation.

Respondent Selection

In this investigation, the Department will request quantity and value information from all known exporters and producers identified in the Petition. The quantity and value data received from NME exporters/producers will be used as the basis to select the mandatory respondents. The Department requires that the respondents submit a response to both the quantity and value questionnaire and the separate-rate application by the respective deadlines in order to receive consideration for separate-rate status. *See Circular Welded Austenitic Stainless Pressure Pipe From the People's Republic of*

China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation, 73 FR 10221, 10225 (February 26, 2008); and *Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Artist Canvas From the People's Republic of China*, 70 FR 21996, 21999 (April 28, 2005). Appendix II of this notice contains the quantity and value questionnaire that must be submitted by all NME exporters/producers no later than August 4, 2008. In addition, the Department will post the quantity and value questionnaire along with filing instructions on the Import Administration Web site, at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/ia-highlights-and-news.html>. The Department will send the quantity and value questionnaire to those PRC companies identified in the July 8, 2008, supplement to the Petition, at Exhibit 2.

Separate Rates

In order to obtain separate-rate status in NME investigations, exporters and producers must submit a separate-rate status application. See Policy Bulletin 05.1: Separate-Rates Practice and Application of Combination Rates in Antidumping Investigations Involving Non-Market Economy Countries (April 5, 2005) (Separate Rates/Combination Rates Bulletin), available on the Department's Web site at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/policy/bull05-1.pdf>. The specific requirements for submitting the separate-rate application in this investigation are outlined in detail in the application itself, available on the Department's Web site at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/ia-highlights-and-news.html> on the date of publication of this initiation notice in the **Federal Register**. The separate-rate application will be due 60 days from the date of publication of this initiation notice in the **Federal Register**. As noted in the "Respondent Selection" section above, the Department requires that respondents submit a response to both the quantity and value questionnaire and the separate-rate application by the respective deadlines in order to receive consideration for separate-rate status.

Use of Combination Rates in an NME Investigation

The Department will calculate combination rates for certain respondents that are eligible for a separate rate in this investigation. The Separate Rates/Combination Rates Bulletin states:

{w}hile continuing the practice of assigning separate rates only to exporters, all separate rates that the Department will now assign in its NME investigations will be specific to those producers that supplied the exporter during the period of investigation. Note,

however, that one rate is calculated for the exporter and all of the producers which supplied subject merchandise to it during the period of investigation. This practice applies both to mandatory respondents receiving an individually calculated separate rate as well as the pool of non-investigated firms receiving the weighted-average of the individually calculated rates. This practice is referred to as the application of "combination rates" because such rates apply to specific combinations of exporters and one or more producers. The cash-deposit rate assigned to an exporter will apply only to merchandise both exported by the firm in question and produced by a firm that supplied the exporter during the period of investigation.

See Separate Rates/Combination Rates Bulletin, at 6.

Distribution of Copies of the Petition

In accordance with section 732(b)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.202(f), copies of the public version of the Petition have been provided to the representatives of the Government of the PRC. We will attempt to provide a copy of the public version of the Petition to the foreign producers/exporters, consistent with 19 CFR 351.203(c)(2).

International Trade Commission Notification

We have notified the ITC of our initiation, as required by section 732(d) of the Act.

Preliminary Determination by the International Trade Commission

The ITC will preliminarily determine, no later than August 8, 2008, whether there is a reasonable indication that imports of lawn groomers from the PRC are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, a U.S. industry. A negative ITC determination with respect to this investigation will result in the investigation being terminated; otherwise, this investigation will proceed according to statutory and regulatory time limits.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: July 14, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I

Scope of the Investigations²—Lawn Groomers From the People's Republic of China

The scope of these investigations covers certain non-motorized tow behind lawn groomers ("lawn groomers"), manufactured from any material, and certain parts thereof.

² The scope is applicable to both the antidumping duty and countervailing duty investigations of lawn groomers from the People's Republic of China.

Lawn groomers are defined as lawn sweepers, aerators, dethatchers, and spreaders. Unless specifically excluded, lawn groomers that are designed to perform at least one of the functions listed above are included in the scope of these investigations, even if the lawn groomer is designed to perform additional non-subject functions (e.g., mowing).

All lawn groomers are designed to incorporate a hitch, of any configuration, which allows the product to be towed behind a vehicle. Lawn groomers that are designed to incorporate both a hitch and a push handle, of any type, are also covered by the scope of these investigations. The hitch and handle may be permanently attached or removable, and they may be attached on opposite sides or on the same side of the lawn groomer. Lawn groomers designed to incorporate a hitch, but where the hitch is not attached to the lawn groomer, are also included in the scope of the investigations.

Lawn sweepers consist of a frame, as well as a series of brushes attached to an axle or shaft which allows the brushing component to rotate. Lawn sweepers also include a container (which is a receptacle into which debris swept from the lawn or turf is deposited) supported by the frame. Aerators consist of a frame, as well as an aerating component that is attached to an axle or shaft which allows the aerating component to rotate. The aerating component is made up of a set of knives fixed to a plate (known as a "plug aerator"), a series of discs with protruding spikes (a "spike aerator"), or any other configuration, that are designed to create holes or cavities in a lawn or turf surface. Dethatchers consist of a frame, as well as a series of tines designed to remove material (e.g., dead grass or leaves) or other debris from the lawn or turf. The dethatcher tines are attached to and suspended from the frame. Lawn spreaders consist of a frame, as well as a hopper (i.e., a container of any size, shape, or material) that holds a media to be spread on the lawn or turf. The media can be distributed by means of a rotating spreader plate that broadcasts the media ("broadcast spreader"), a rotating agitator that allows the media to be released at a consistent rate ("drop spreader"), or any other configuration.

Lawn dethatchers with a net fully-assembled weight (i.e., without packing, additional weights, or accessories) of 100 pounds or less are covered by the scope of the investigations. Other lawn groomers—sweepers, aerators, and spreaders—with a net fully-assembled weight (i.e., without packing, additional weights, or accessories) of 200 pounds or less are covered by the scope of the investigations.

Also included in the scope of the investigations are modular units, consisting of a chassis that is designed to incorporate a hitch, where the hitch may or may not be included, which allows modules that perform sweeping, aerating, dethatching, or spreading operations to be interchanged. Modular units—when imported with one or more lawn grooming modules—with a fully assembled net weight (i.e., without packing, additional weights, or accessories) of 200 pounds or less when including a single module, are included in the scope of the

investigations. Modular unit chasses, imported without a lawn grooming module and with a fully assembled net weight (*i.e.*, without packing, additional weights, or accessories) of 125 pounds or less, are also covered by the scope of the investigations. When imported separately, modules that are designed to perform subject lawn grooming functions (*i.e.*, sweeping, aerating, dethatching, or spreading), with a fully assembled net weight (*i.e.*, without packing, additional weights, or accessories) of 75 pounds or less, and that are imported with or without a hitch, are also covered by the scope.

Lawn groomers, assembled or unassembled, are covered by these investigations. For purposes of these investigations, “unassembled lawn groomers” consist of either (1) all parts necessary to make a fully assembled lawn groomer, or (2) any combination of parts, constituting a less than complete, unassembled lawn groomer, with a minimum of two of the following “major components”:

- (1) An assembled or unassembled brush housing designed to be used in a lawn sweeper, where a brush housing is defined as a component housing the brush assembly, and consisting of a wrapper which covers the brush assembly and two end plates attached to the wrapper;
- (2) A sweeper brush;
- (3) An aerator or dethatcher weight tray, or similar component designed to allow weights of any sort to be added to the unit;
- (4) A spreader hopper;
- (5) A rotating spreader plate or agitator, or other component designed for distributing media in a lawn spreader;

- (6) Dethatcher tines;
- (7) Aerator spikes, plugs, or other aerating component; or
- (8) A hitch.

The major components or parts of lawn groomers that are individually covered by these investigations under the term “certain parts thereof” are: (1) Brush housings, where the wrapper and end plates incorporating the brush assembly may be individual pieces or a single piece; and (2) weight trays, or similar components designed to allow weights of any sort to be added to a dethatcher or an aerator unit.

The products for which relief is sought specifically exclude the following: (1) Agricultural implements designed to work (*e.g.*, churn, burrow, till, etc.) soil, such as cultivators, harrows, and plows; (2) lawn or farm carts and wagons that do not groom lawns; (3) grooming products incorporating a motor or an engine for the purpose of operating and/or propelling the lawn groomer; (4) lawn groomers that are designed to be hand held or are designed to be attached directly to the frame of a vehicle, rather than towed; (5) “push” lawn grooming products that incorporate a push handle rather than a hitch, and which are designed solely to be manually operated; (6) dethatchers with a net assembled weight (*i.e.*, without packing, additional weights, or accessories) of more than 100 pounds, or lawn groomers—sweepers, aerators, and spreaders—with a net fully-assembled weight (*i.e.*, without packing, additional weights, or accessories) of more than 200 pounds; and (7) lawn rollers designed to flatten grass and turf, including lawn rollers which

incorporate an aerator component (*e.g.*, “drum-style” spike aerators).
The lawn groomers that are the subject of these investigations are currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) statistical reporting numbers 8432.40.0000, 8432.80.0000, 8432.90.0030, 8432.90.0080, 8479.89.9897, 8479.90.9496, and 9603.50.0000. These HTSUS provisions are given for reference and customs purposes only, and the description of merchandise is dispositive for determining the scope of the product included in these investigations.

Appendix II

Where it is not practicable to examine all known producers/exporters of subject merchandise, section 777A(c)(2) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (as amended) permits us to investigate (1) a sample of exporters, producers, or types of products that is statistically valid based on the information available at the time of selection, or (2) exporters and producers accounting for the largest volume and value of the subject merchandise that can reasonably be examined.

In the charts below, please provide the total quantity (in pieces) and total value (in U.S. dollars) of all your sales of merchandise covered by the scope of this investigation (*see* Appendix I of this notice), produced in the PRC, and exported/shipped to the United States during the period October 1, 2007, through March 31, 2008.

Market	Dethatchers			Sweepers			Aerators			Spreaders		
	Total quantity	Terms of sale	Total value (USD)	Total quantity	Terms of sale	Total value (USD)	Total quantity	Terms of sale	Total value (USD)	Total quantity	Terms of sale	Total value (USD)
United States												
1. Export Price Sales												
2. a. Exporter name												
b. Address												
c. Contact												
d. Phone No												
e. Fax No												
3. Constructed Export Price Sales												
4. Further Manufactured Sales												
Total Sales												

TOTAL QUANTITY AND VALUE OF ALL LAWN GROOMERS AND PARTS THEREOF

Market	Total quantity (pieces)	Terms of sale	Total value (U.S. dollars)
United States			
1. Export Price Sales			
2. a. Exporter name			
b. Address			
c. Contact			
d. Phone No			
e. Fax No			
3. Constructed Export Price Sales			
4. Further Manufactured Sales			
Total Sales			

Total Quantity

Please report quantity on a piece basis.

Terms of Sales

Please report all sales on the same terms, such as "free on board" at port of export.

Total Value

All sales values should be reported in U.S. dollars. Please provide any exchange rates used and their respective dates and sources.

Export Price Sales

Generally, a U.S. sale is classified as an export price sale when the first sale to an unaffiliated customer occurs before importation into the United States.

Please include any sales exported by your company directly to the United States.

Please include any sales exported by your company to a third-country market economy reseller where you had knowledge that the merchandise was destined to be resold to the United States.

If you are a producer of subject merchandise, please include any sales manufactured by your company that were subsequently exported by an affiliated exporter to the United States.

Please *do not* include any sales of merchandise manufactured in Hong Kong in your figures.

Constructed Export Price Sales

Generally, a U.S. sale is classified as a constructed export price sale when the first sale to an unaffiliated customer occurs after importation. However, if the first sale to the unaffiliated customer is made by a person in the United States affiliated with the foreign exporter, constructed export price applies even if the sale occurs prior to importation.

Please include any sales exported by your company directly to the United States.

Please include any sales exported by your company to a third-country market economy reseller where you had knowledge that the merchandise was destined to be resold to the United States.

If you are a producer of subject merchandise, please include any sales manufactured by your company that were subsequently exported by an affiliated exporter to the United States.

Please *do not* include any sales of merchandise manufactured in Hong Kong in your figures.

Further Manufactured Sales

Further manufacture or assembly (including re-packing) sales ("further manufactured sales") refers to merchandise that undergoes further manufacture or assembly in the United States before being sold to the first unaffiliated customer.

Further manufacture or assembly costs include amounts incurred for direct materials, labor and overhead, plus amounts for general and administrative expense, interest expense, and additional packing expense incurred in the country of further manufacture, as well as all costs involved in moving the product from the U.S. port of entry to the further manufacturer.

[FR Doc. E8-16625 Filed 7-18-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-863]

Honey from the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Rescission, In Part, of Aligned Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On January 16, 2008, the Department published the preliminary results of the aligned fifth administrative review and tenth new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on honey from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). See *Honey from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 73 FR 2890 (January 16, 2008) ("Preliminary Results"). These aligned reviews cover seven exporters or producer/exporters: (1) Dongtai Peak Honey Industry Co., Ltd. ("Dongtai Peak"); (2) Zhejiang Native Produce & Animal By-Products I/E Group Corporation ("Zhejiang Native"); (3) Wuhu Qinshi Tangye Co., Ltd. ("Wuhu Qinshi"); (4) Jiangsu Light Industry Products Imp & Exp (Group) Corp. ("Jiangsu Light"); (5) Qinhuangdao Municipal Dafeng Industrial Co., Ltd. ("QMD"); (6) Inner Mongolia Altin Bee-Keeping ("IMA"), and (7) QHD Sanhai Honey Co., Ltd. ("QHD Sanhai"). For these final results, the Department finds that Wuhu Qinshi, Jiangsu Light, QMD, and IMA failed to cooperate by not acting to the best of their ability to comply with the Department's request for information and, as a result, have been assigned a rate based on adverse facts available ("AFA"). The Department has assigned Dongtai Peak and Zhejiang Native a separate rate for non-selected entities based on the calculation proposed by the Department.¹ Finally, after reexamining the *bona fides* of QHD Sanhai's single sale, the Department finds that sale is not a *bona fide* transaction; therefore, for these final results, the Department has rescinded the review with respect to QHD Sanhai. The period of review ("POR") is December 1, 2005, through November 30, 2006. See "Final Results of Review" section below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 21, 2008.

¹ See April 18, 2008, letter from the Department of Commerce, to All Interested Parties, regarding 2005/2006 Administrative Review of Honey from the People's Republic of China ("April 2008, Letter").

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bobby Wong or Susan Pulongbarit, AD/ CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0409 or (202) 482-4031, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On January 16, 2008, we published in the *Federal Register* the preliminary results of the aligned 2005/2006 administrative and new shipper reviews. See *Preliminary Results*. The POR is December 1, 2005, through November 30, 2006.

On April 18, 2008, the Department invited parties to comment in their case briefs on the Department's proposed methodology to calculate: 1) a rate for Zhejiang Native and Dongtai Peak, the separate rate entities in the instant review that were not selected for individual examination; and 2) a per-kilogram cash deposit rate for the separate rate entities and the PRC-wide entity. See *Changes Since the Preliminary Results* section below.

On April 25, 2008, the Department received case briefs from QHD Sanhai, Zhejiang Native, and the American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association (collectively, "petitioners"). On May 6, 2008, the Department received rebuttal briefs from QHD Sanhai and petitioners. On May 20, 2008, the petitioners submitted new factual information on the record of the review regarding QHD Sanhai's U.S. customer. On June 13, 2008, the Department accepted petitioners' submission of new factual information and invited comments from parties regarding the new information. On June 23, 2008, the Department received comments from QHD Sanhai regarding the new factual information.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are natural honey, artificial honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, preparations of natural honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, and flavored honey. The subject merchandise includes all grades and colors of honey whether in liquid, creamed, comb, cut comb, or chunk form, and whether packaged for retail or in bulk form.

The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable under subheadings 0409.00.00, 1702.90.90, and 2106.90.99 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS