

the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”).¹ The period of review (“POR”) is November 1, 2013, through October 31, 2014. This review was initiated with respect to four companies. After rescinding the review with respect to three of the four companies, one company, Shaoxing Xiangyu Green Packing Co., Ltd. (“Green Packing”), remains under review. The Department invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. No parties commented. Our final results remain unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 16, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jonathan Hill, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3518.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 12, 2015, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. We invited interested parties to submit comments on the *Preliminary Results*, but no comments were received.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are all gauges of raw, pre-treated, or primed PET film, whether extruded or co-extruded. Excluded are metalized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer more than 0.00001 inches thick. Also excluded is roller transport cleaning film which has at least one of its surfaces modified by application of 0.5 micrometers of SBR latex. Tracing and drafting film is also excluded. PET film is classifiable under subheading 3920.62.00.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Separate Rates

In the *Preliminary Results*, we determined that because Green Packing did not provide separate rate information, it did not establish its

eligibility for separate rate status. Accordingly, the Department preliminarily determined that Green Packing is part of the PRC-wide entity, and determined a rate consistent with the Department’s current practice regarding conditional review of the PRC-wide entity.²

No party commented on the *Preliminary Results*. For these final results, the Department continues to find that Green Packing is part of the PRC-wide entity.

Final Results of Review

The Department determines that Green Packing is part of the PRC-wide entity.

Assessment

The Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.³ The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review. The Department intends to instruct CBP to liquidate entries of subject merchandise from Green Packing at the PRC-wide rate of 76.72 percent.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters which are not under review in this segment of the proceeding but which have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (2) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, including Green Packing, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 76.72 percent; and (3) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which

have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 9, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015-29209 Filed 11-13-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-028]

Hydrofluorocarbon Blends and Components Thereof From the People’s Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective date:* November 16, 2015.

¹ See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Administrative Review; 2013-2014*, 80 FR 48293 (August 12, 2015) (“*Preliminary Results*”).

² See *Preliminary Results* and accompanying Decision Memorandum at 4. See also *Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 65963, 65970 (November 4, 2013). Under this practice, the PRC-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or the Department self-initiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the PRC-wide entity, the entity is not under review and the entity’s rate is not subject to change.

³ See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dennis McClure or Elizabeth Eastwood at (202) 482–5973 and (202) 482–3874, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On July 22, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of antidumping duty investigation of hydrofluorocarbon blends and components thereof from the People's Republic of China.¹ The notice of initiation stated that the Department, in accordance with section 733(b)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(1), would issue its preliminary determination for this investigation, unless postponed, no later than 140 days after the date of the initiation. The preliminary determination of this antidumping duty investigation is currently due no later than December 2, 2015.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation is October 1, 2014, through March 31, 2015.

Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act permits the Department to postpone the time limit for the preliminary determination if it receives a timely request from the petitioner for postponement. The Department may postpone the preliminary determination under section 733(c)(1) of the Act no later than the 190th day after the date on which the administering authority initiates an investigation.

On October 28, 2015, American HFC Coalition and its individual members,² as well as District Lodge 154 of the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers (collectively, the petitioners), made a timely request pursuant to section 733(c)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(e) for postponement of the preliminary determination in this investigation. The petitioners requested a 50-day postponement of the preliminary determination in order to allow the

¹ See *Hydrofluorocarbon Blends and Components Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation*, 80 FR 43387 (July 22, 2015).

² The individual members of the American HFC Coalition are: Amtrol Inc., Arkema Inc., The Chemours Company FC LLC, Honeywell International Inc., Hudson Technologies, Mexichem Fluor Inc., and Worthington Industries, Inc.

petitioners additional time to review and comment on the questionnaire responses submitted in this case, as well as to consider the Department's recent inclusion of Mexico and Romania on the list of potential surrogate countries. The petitioners submitted a request for postponement of the preliminary determination more than 25 days before the scheduled date of the preliminary determination.³

Because the petitioners' request was timely and provided reasons for the request, and since the Department finds no compelling reasons to deny the request, the Department is postponing the deadline for the preliminary determination in accordance with section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(2) and (e) by 50 days to January 21, 2016. The deadline for the final determination will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination unless postponed at a later date.

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: November 4, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015–29172 Filed 11–13–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration**

[A–570–970]

Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On September 24, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) published its preliminary results of a changed circumstances review¹ of the antidumping duty (“AD”) order on multilayered wood flooring (“MLWF”) from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”).² The Department preliminarily

³ See 19 CFR 351.205(e).

¹ See *Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Changed Circumstances Review of Sino-Maple (JiangSu) Co., Ltd.*, 80 FR 57576 (September 24, 2015) (“*Preliminary Results*”), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

² See *Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order*, 76 FR 76690 (December 8, 2011).

determined that Sino-Maple (JiangSu) Co., Ltd. (“Sino-Maple”) is the successor-in-interest to Jiafeng Wood (Suzhou) Co., Ltd. (“Jiafeng”) for purposes of the AD order on MLWF from the PRC and, as such, is entitled to Jiafeng's cash deposit rate with respect to entries of subject merchandise. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. As no parties submitted comments, and there is no other information or evidence on the record calling into question our *Preliminary Results*, the Department is making no changes to the *Preliminary Results*.

DATES: *Effective Date:* November 16, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Krishna Hill, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4037.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On March 13, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) initiated a changed circumstance review to determine whether Sino-Maple, an exporter of subject merchandise to the United States, is the successor-in-interest to Jiafeng for purposes of the AD order on MLWF from the PRC.³ On September 24, 2015, the Department made a preliminary finding that Sino-Maple is the successor-in-interest to Jiafeng, and is entitled to Jiafeng's cash deposit rate with respect to entries of merchandise subject to the AD order on MLWF from the PRC.⁴ We also provided interested parties 30 days from the date of publication of the *Preliminary Results* to submit case briefs in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii). No interested parties submitted case briefs or requested a hearing. On October 19, 2015, the Department issued to interested parties draft customs instructions and solicited comments.⁵ No comments were received.

Scope of the Order

Multilayered wood flooring is composed of an assembly of two or

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China*, 80 FR 13328 (March 13, 2015) (“*Initiation Notice*”).

⁴ See *Preliminary Results*, 80 FR at 57576.

⁵ See Memorandum to the File from Krishna Hill, International Trade Compliance Analyst, regarding “*Changed Circumstances Review of Sino-Maple (JiangSu) Co., Ltd: Multilayered Wood Flooring from the People's Republic of China: Draft Customs Instructions*,” dated October 19, 2015.