

Act on September 13, 2000 (65 FR 55283).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 28, 2005. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 30, 2005 (70 FR 16306).

**Dorothy B. Fountain,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 05-11014 Filed 6-2-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

Notice is hereby given that, on May 16, 2005, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers ("IEEE") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, seven new standards have been initiated and three existing standards are being revised. More detail regarding these changes can be found at <http://standards.ieee.org/bearer/sba/05-10-05.html>.

On September 17, 2004, IEEE filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 3, 2004 (69 FR 64105).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 29, 2005. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on April 14, 2005 (70 FR 19786).

**Dorothy B. Fountain,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 05-11011 Filed 6-2-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—National Board for Certification in Occupational Therapy, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on April 29, 2005, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), National Board for Certification in Occupational Therapy, Inc. ("NBCOT") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, a cut-score study was completed to determine the passing standard (score) for the OTR and COTA certification examinations that are constructed using the test specifications of the Practice Analysis Study.

On September 21, 2004, NBCOT filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 2, 2005 (70 FR 5486).

**Dorothy B. Fountain,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 05-11015 Filed 6-2-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Open Systemc Initiative

Notice is hereby given that, on May 9, 2005, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Open Systemc Initiative ("OSCI") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Atrenta, Inc., San Jose, CA; ChipVision Design Systems, AG, San

Ramon, CA; Intel, Inc., Santa Clara, CA; Royal Philips Electronics, Eindhoven, The Netherlands; and Synfora, Inc., Mountain View, CA have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Fujitsu Microelectronics, Inc., Tokyo, Japan; and Motorola, Schaumburg, IL have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and OSCI intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 9, 2001, OSCI filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on January 3, 2002 (67 FR 350).

The last notification was filed with the Department on June 21, 2004. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on July 23, 2004 (69 FR 44062).

**Dorothy B. Fountain,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 05-11013 Filed 6-2-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—USB Flash Drive Alliance

Notice is hereby given that, on May 9, 2005, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), USB Flash Drive Alliance ("UFDA") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, International Microsystems, Inc., Milpitas, CA; Add On Technology Co., Ltd., Taipei, Taiwan; Alcor Micro Corp., Taipei, Taiwan; Global Ware Solutions, Inc., Havenhill, MA; and Peripheral Enhancements Corp., Dallas, TX have been added as parties to this venture. Also, DataFab, Taipei, Taiwan; and Viking Interworks, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned

activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and UFDA intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 12, 2003, UFDA filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 12, 2003 (68 FR 69423).

The last notification was filed with the Department on June 21, 2004. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on July 23, 2004 (59 FR 44063).

**Dorothy B. Fountain,**

*Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 05-11012 Filed 6-2-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 60-Day Emergency Notice of Information Collection Under Review: Sixth Annual DNA Grantees Workshop Evaluation Form.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), National Institute of Justice (NIJ), has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with emergency review procedures of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. OMB approval has been requested by June 26, 2005. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. If granted, the emergency approval is only valid for 180 days. Comments should be directed to OMB, Office of Information and Regulation Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until August 2, 2005.

During the first 60 days of this same review period, a regular review of this information collection is also being undertaken. All comments and suggestions, or questions regarding additional information, to include obtaining a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, should be directed to

Rhonda Jones, Program Executive, National Institute of Justice, 202-616-3233.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information

- (1) *Type of information collection:* New Collection.
- (2) *The title of the form/collection:* Sixth Annual DNA Grantees Workshop Evaluation Form.
- (3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the department sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: None. Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice.
- (4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: State, Local, or Tribal Government. Other: Not-for-profit Institutions, and Federal Government. The information collected in this assessment will be used to help plan future DOJ DNA workshops. Attendees of the workshop are asked to assess the panel topics, offered sessions, and overall benefits of the workshop. Additionally, the attendees are asked to provide any general comments they may have regarding the workshop.
- (5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that 7200 respondents will complete the form in approximately 10 minutes.
- (6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated total annual public burden associated with this form is 1200 hours.

*If additional information is required contact:* Brenda E. Dyer, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: May 26, 2005.

**Brenda E. Dyer,**

*Department Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 05-11038 Filed 6-2-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

May 25, 2005.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection requests (ICRs) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of each ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting Darrin King on 202-693-4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: [king.darrin@dol.gov](mailto:king.darrin@dol.gov).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employment Standards Administration (ESA), Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202-395-7316 (this is not a toll-free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or