Thomas J. Curry (Appointive), seconded by Director John G. Walsh (Acting Comptroller of the Currency), and concurred in by Acting Chairman Martin J. Gruenberg, that Corporation business required its consideration of the matters which were to be the subject of this meeting on less than seven days' notice to the public; that no earlier notice of the meeting was practicable; that the public interest did not require consideration of the matters in a meeting open to public observation; and that the matters could be considered in a closed meeting by authority of subsections (c)(2), (c)(4), (c)(6), (c)(8), (c)(9)(A)(ii), (c)(9)(B), and (c)(10) of the"Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2), (c)(4), (c)(6), (c)(8), (c)(9)(A)(ii), (c)(9)(B), and (c)(10)).

The meeting was held in the Board Room of the FDIC Building located at 550—17th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Dated: October 11, 2011.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Robert E. Feldman,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2011–26686 Filed 10–12–11; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 et seq.) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States. Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than November 10, 2011.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Kenneth Binning, Vice President, Applications and Enforcement) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105–1579:

1. FS Bancorp, Inc., Mountlake Terrace, Washington; to become a bank holding company upon the conversion of 1st Security Bank of Washington, Mountlake Terrace, Washington, from a state savings bank to a commercial bank.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, October 11, 2011.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Deputy Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 2011–26604 Filed 10–13–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[PBS-N01; Docket 2011-0006; Sequence 19]

Notice of Availability To Distribute a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Construction of a New Land Port of Entry in International Falls, MN

AGENCY: Public Buildings Service, General Services Administration (GSA). **ACTION:** Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The GSA announces its intent to distribute a FEIS under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 to assess the potential impacts from the construction and operation of a new land port of entry (LPOE) in International Falls, Minnesota (the "Proposed Action").

DATES: October 14, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Donald R. Melcher, Jr., GSA Public Buildings Service, Great Lakes Region, Land Ports of Entry Service Center, 230 South Dearborn Street, Room 3600, Chicago, IL 60604, (312) 353–1237, donald.melcher@gsa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

At the request of Customs and Border Protection, the GSA is proposing to construct and operate a larger and improved LPOE which meets their needs and the design requirements of the GSA.

The existing facilities are undersized and functionally obsolete and,

consequently, incapable of providing the level of security required. The Proposed Action includes: (a) Acquisition of adjoining land; (b) demolition of existing government structures at the existing LPOE; (c) construction of a main administration building and ancillary support buildings; and (d) incorporation of the principles of sustainable design through the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design Green Building Rating System of the U.S. Green Building Council.

Alternatives studied include alternative locations and layouts for the components of the LPOE that are identified in the concurrent Feasibility Study including the main administration and ancillary support buildings, the associated transportation network, and parking. A no-build alternative also is being studied that evaluates the consequences of not constructing and operating the LPOE. This alternative is included to provide a basis for comparison to the action alternatives described above as required by the NEPA regulations (40 CFR 1002.14(d)).

The GSA announced the availability of the Draft EIS for the International Falls LPOE Improvements Study on January 14, 2010. A 45-day comment period immediately followed, during which the GSA invited Federal, State and local agencies, organizations and individuals to submit comments on the Draft EIS. A public hearing was held at the Rainy River Community College on January 27, 2010 and a transcript of the hearing was prepared. An advertisement for the public hearing appeared in International Falls' The Daily Journal on two occasions prior to the hearing and advertisements for the public hearing were placed at Boise, Inc., and other prominent locations. Two attendees offered substantive comments during the public hearing. The public hearing was preceded by an open house to allow attendees to view plans of the build alternatives in detail, review the Draft EIS and discuss its content with the GSA, and ask questions. The GSA received eight comment letters and one comment e-mail copies which are contained in the FEIS along with responses to the substantive comments.

After careful consideration of the comments received on the Draft EIS, the GSA identified Alternative 10 as best satisfying the proposed action's purpose and programmatic needs and has the least impact on the human and natural environment. Alternative 10 is identified as the Preferred Alternative in the FEIS and in the Record of Decision (ROD) subject also to Congressional