

reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 26th day of January, 2011.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2011-2966 Filed 2-9-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-72,740]

#### Bruss North America Russell Springs, Kentucky; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

Following the issuance of a determination applicable to workers and former workers of Bruss North America, Russell Springs, Kentucky (subject firm), regarding their application for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA), the Department received new information relevant to the case. The initial determination was issued on May 28, 2010. The Department's Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on June 16, 2010 (75 FR 34175). The subject workers are engaged in employment related to the production of automobile parts and component parts. The worker group does not include any on-site leased workers.

New information obtained during a recent investigation for the subject firm revealed that there was a mistake of facts which were previously considered in the immediate case. Upon review, the Department has determined that the workers and former workers of Bruss North America, Russell Springs, Kentucky, who are engaged in employment related to the production of automobile parts and component parts, meet the criteria as Suppliers for secondary worker certification.

Criterion I has been met because a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened with separation.

Criterion II has been met because workers of Bruss North America, Russell Springs, Kentucky produced and sold automobile parts and component parts for a firm that employed a worker group eligible to apply for TAA and the component parts are related to the article that was the basis for the TAA certification.

Criterion III has been met because the loss of business by Bruss North

America, Russell Springs, Kentucky with the aforementioned firm, with respect to automobile parts and components sold to the firm, contributed importantly to worker separations at Bruss North America, Russell Springs, Kentucky.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on reconsideration, I determine that workers of the subject firm, who are engaged in employment related to the supply of automobile parts and components, meet the worker group certification criteria under Section 222(c) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(c). In accordance with Section 223 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273, I make the following certification:

"All workers of Bruss North America, Russell Springs, Kentucky, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 31, 2008, through two years from the date of this revised certification, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended."

Signed in Washington, DC, this 2nd day of February, 2011.

**Del Min Amy Chen,**

*Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 2011-2967 Filed 2-9-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P**

## LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

### Copyright Royalty Board

[Docket No. 2008-2 CRB CD 2000-2003 (Phase II)]

#### Distribution of 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 Cable Royalty Funds

**AGENCY:** Copyright Royalty Board, Library of Congress.

**ACTION:** Notice announcing commencement of Phase II proceeding with request for Petitions to Participate.

**SUMMARY:** The Copyright Royalty Judges are announcing the commencement of a proceeding to determine the Phase II distribution of 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 royalties collected under the cable statutory license. The Judges are also announcing the date by which a party who wishes to participate in this distribution proceeding must file its Petition to Participate and the accompanying \$150 filing fee, if applicable.

**DATES:** Petitions to Participate and the filing fee are due on or before March 14, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** An original, five copies, and an electronic copy in Portable Document Format (PDF) on a CD of the Petition to Participate, along with the \$150 filing fee, may be delivered to the Copyright Royalty Board by either mail or hand delivery. Petitions to Participate and the \$150 filing fee may not be delivered by an overnight delivery service other than the U.S. Postal Service Express Mail. If by mail (including overnight delivery), Petitions to Participate, along with the \$150 filing fee, must be addressed to: Copyright Royalty Board, P.O. Box 70977, Washington, DC 20024-0977. If hand delivered by a private party, Petitions to Participate, along with the \$150 filing fee, must be brought to the Library of Congress, James Madison Memorial Building, LM-401, 101 Independence Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20559-6000. If delivered by a commercial courier, Petitions to Participate, along with the \$150 filing fee, if applicable, must be delivered to the Congressional Courier Acceptance Site, located at 2nd and D Street, NE., Washington, DC. The envelope must be addressed to: Copyright Royalty Board, Library of Congress, James Madison Memorial Building, LM-403, 101 Independence Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20559-6000.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

LaKeshia Brent, CRB Program Specialist, by telephone at (202) 707-7658, or e-mail at [crb@loc.gov](mailto:crb@loc.gov).

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

Each year, semiannually, cable systems must submit royalty payments to the Copyright Office as required by the cable statutory license for the privilege of retransmitting over-the-air television and radio broadcast signals. 17 U.S.C. 111. These royalties are then distributed to copyright owners whose works were included in such retransmissions and who timely filed a claim for royalties. Distribution of the royalties for each calendar year are determined by the Copyright Royalty Judges ("Judges") in two phases. At Phase I, the royalties are divided among the representatives of the major categories of copyrightable content (movies, sports programming, music, etc.) requesting the distribution. At Phase II, the royalties are divided among the various copyright owners within each category.

The Judges published their final determination regarding the Phase I

distribution of the 2000–2003 cable royalties on May 12, 2010. 75 FR 26798. Thus, this Notice announcing the commencement of a proceeding under 17 U.S.C. 803(b)(1) for distribution of cable royalties collected for 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 is confined to Phase II.

### Commencement of Phase II Proceeding

Consistent with 17 U.S.C. 804(b)(8), the Copyright Royalty Judges determine that a Phase II controversy exists as to the distribution of the 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 cable royalties. We reach this determination based on a *Motion to Initiate Phase II Proceedings* by the Joint Sports, Program Suppliers, and Devotional Claimants, three Phase I claimant groups, filed with the Judges on January 14, 2011. The Motion states that “[n]o pending controversies exist with respect to Phase I. However, controversies continue to exist with regard to Phase II in the devotional, sports, and syndicated programming categories. For example, one party, Independent Producers Group (‘IPG’), claims that it represents copyright owners with [unresolved] Phase II claims in each of these categories.” *Motion* at 1. The Motion further states that “[t]he Phase I Parties are also aware of other ongoing Phase II controversies, including ongoing Phase II controversies in the syndicated programming category between the MPAA-represented Program Suppliers and the NAB-represented Program Suppliers as to the 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 royalty years, and between the MPAA-represented Program Suppliers and Home Shopping Network (‘HSN’) for the 2002 and 2003 royalty years.” *Motion* at 2. The Motion requests that the Judges commence a Phase II proceeding to resolve all outstanding Phase II controversies.<sup>1</sup> In light of the outstanding Phase II controversies with respect to cable royalties for 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003, the Motion is *granted*.

### Petitions To Participate

Petitions to Participate must be filed in accordance with 37 CFR 351.1(b)(2). Petitions to Participate submitted by interested parties whose claims do not exceed \$1,000 must contain a statement that the party will not seek a distribution of more than \$1,000.<sup>2</sup> No filing fee is required for these parties. A party whose claim(s) exceed \$1,000 must submit a filing fee of \$150 with its

Petition to Participate or the Petition will be rejected. The filing fee must be paid by check or money order payable to the “Copyright Royalty Board.” If a check is returned for insufficient funds, the corresponding Petition to Participate will be dismissed. In accordance with 37 CFR 350.2 (Representation), all parties, other than individuals, must be represented by an attorney.

Further procedural matters, including scheduling, will be addressed after Petitions to Participate have been received.

Dated: February 3, 2011.

**James Scott Sledge,**

*Chief Copyright Royalty Judge.*

[FR Doc. 2011–2940 Filed 2–9–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 1410–72–P**

## NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** NARA is giving public notice that the agency has submitted to OMB for approval the information collections described in this notice. The public is invited to comment on the proposed information collections pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to OMB at the address below on or before March 14, 2011 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Mr. Nicholas A. Fraser, Desk Officer for NARA, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202–395–5167; or electronically mailed to [Nicholas\\_A\\_Fraser@omb.eop.gov](mailto:Nicholas_A_Fraser@omb.eop.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the proposed information collections and supporting statements should be directed to Tamee Fechhelm at telephone number 301–713–1694 or fax number 301–713–7409.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13), NARA invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed information collections. NARA published a notice of proposed collection for these information collections on November 24, 2010 (75 FR 71743 and 71744). No comments

were received. NARA has submitted the described information collections to OMB for approval. In response to this notice, comments and suggestions should address one or more of the following points: (a) Whether the proposed information collections are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NARA; (b) the accuracy of NARA’s estimate of the burden of the proposed information collections; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of information technology; and (e) whether small businesses are affected by these collections. In this notice, NARA is soliciting comments concerning the following information collections:

*Title:* Standard A-File.

*OMB number:* 3095–00XX.

*Agency form numbers:* NATF Form AF1E1.

*Type of review:* Regular.

*Affected public:* Individuals or households.

*Estimated number of respondents:* 480.

*Estimated time per response:* 10 minutes.

*Frequency of response:* On occasion.

*Estimated total annual burden hours:* 80.

**Abstract:** Submission of requests on a form is necessary to handle in a timely fashion the volume of requests received for these records and the need to obtain specific information from the researcher to search for the records sought. The records, called Alien Files, or A-Files, contain all records of any active case of an alien not yet naturalized as they passed through the United States immigration and inspection process. You can also use Order Online! ([http://www.archives.gov/research\\_room/obtain\\_copies/military\\_and\\_genealogy\\_order\\_forms.html](http://www.archives.gov/research_room/obtain_copies/military_and_genealogy_order_forms.html)) to complete the forms and order the copies.

Dated: February 2, 2011.

**Charles K. Piercy,**

*Acting Assistant Archivist for Information Services.*

[FR Doc. 2011–3045 Filed 2–9–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7515–01–P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection, Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Notice.

<sup>1</sup> IPG filed an untimely response to the Motion on January 27, 2011. See 37 CFR 350.4(f) (oppositions to motions shall be filed within five business days of the filing of the motion).

<sup>2</sup> The Copyright Royalty Judges Program Technical Corrections Act, Public Law 109–303, changed the amount from \$10,000 to \$1,000.