CFR 1022.5(d), DOE would identify those uses of a wetland resource that are restricted under Federal, state and local wetlands regulations, and would make the future property owner aware of those restricted uses.

**DATES:** Written comments on the proposed action must be received by the DOE at the following address on or before December 12, 2002.

ADDRESSES: For further information on the proposed action, including a site map and/or a copy of the Wetlands Assessment, contact: Ms. Sue Smiley, U.S. Department of Energy, Miamisburg Closure Project, P.O. Box 66, Miamisburg, OH 45343–0066, Phone: 937–865–3984.

FURTHER INFORMATION: For further information on general DOE wetland and floodplain environmental review requirements, contact: Ms. Carol Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance, EH–42, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20585, Phone: 202–586–4600 or 1–800–472–2756.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed action would support ultimate disposition of the MCP site. The MCP site has been determined to be excess to DOE's long-term needs. This decision is supported by the Nonnuclear Consolidation Environmental Assessment (DOE/EA-0792) and associated Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) dated September 14, 1993, and the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the DOE Defense Programs, Environmental Management, and Nuclear Energy Programs dated August 1, 1995. In order to meet the programmatic need to disposition land determined to be excess to DOE's needs, ownership of the MCP site will be transferred to a non-Federal entity. The MCP property will be transferred in phases, since certain parcels of land are still in use by DOE or are not yet suitable for transfer. This notice addresses that portion of the "Phase I" parcel of land at the MCP site which is classified as wetlands. The subject wetland covers approximately 0.03 acre of the Phase I parcel, and it is an isolated wetland contained entirely within the boundaries of the Phase I parcel.

Issued in Miamisburg, Ohio, on November 19, 2002.

### Jack R. Craig,

Acting Manager, Ohio, Field Office.
[FR Doc. 02–30094 Filed 11–26–02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP03-81-000]

### Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

November 21, 2002.

Take notice that on November 19, 2002, Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company (ESNG) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, certain revised tariff sheets listed in Appendix A to the filing, proposed an effective date of November 1, 2002.

ESNG states that the purpose of this instant filing is to track rate changes attributable to storage services purchased from Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) under its Rate Schedules GSS and LSS. The costs of the above referenced storage services comprise the rates and charges payable under ESNG's Rate Schedules GSS and LSS, respectively. This tracking filing is being made pursuant to Section 3 of ESNG's Rate Schedules GSS and LSS.

ESNG states that copies of the filing have been served upon its jurisdictional customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's website at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at

FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866) 208–3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502–8659. Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. The Commission strongly encourages

electronic filings. *See* 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–30214 Filed 11–26–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP03-12-000]

# Egan Hub Partners, L.P.; Notice of Application

November 21, 2002.

Take notice that on November 5, 2002, Egan Hub Partners, L.P. (Egan Hub), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056, filed in the above referenced docket an application, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and the Commission's regulations thereunder, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the expansion of its existing storage facility at the Jennings Salt Dome in Acadia Parish, Louisiana (Egan Storage Facility Expansion). This application is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's website at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at

FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov or toll-free at (866)208–3676, or for TTY, contact (202)502–8659.

Currently, Egan Hub has three salt caverns at its storage facility. Egan Hub seeks authorization to expand its existing salt dome storage facility working gas capacity from 16.0 Bcf to 24.0 Bcf and its maximum aggregate operating capacity from 21.0 Bcf to 31.5 Bcf. No new surface facilities are proposed. In addition, Egan Hub states that the proposed increase in operating capacity will not affect Egan Hub's existing maximum deliverability capability of 1,500 MMcfd, nor will it change the existing maximum injection capability of 800 MMcfd.

Egan Hub also proposes to continue charging market-based rates. As a result, Egan Hub requests waivers of certain of the Commission's regulations that are