

ML17332A148 and ML17332A150, respectively. A summary of the amendment documents is provided in Section III of this document.

II. Exemption

Reproduced below is the exemption document issued to VEGP Units 3 and Unit 4. It makes reference to the combined safety evaluation that provides the reasoning for the findings made by the NRC (and listed under Item 1) in order to grant the exemption:

1. In a letter dated November 30, 2016, as revised by letters dated June 16, and October 6, 2017, Southern Nuclear Operating Company requested from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) an exemption to allow departures from Tier 1 information in the certified Design Control Document (DCD) incorporated by reference in 10 CFR part 52, appendix D, "Design Certification Rule for the AP1000 Design," as part of license amendment request (LAR) 16–031, "Shield Building Roof Changes."

For the reasons set forth in Section 3.1 of the NRC staff's Safety Evaluation which can be found in (ADAMS Accession No. ML17332A154) the Commission finds that:

A. The exemption is authorized by law;

B. the exemption presents no undue risk to public health and safety;

C. the exemption is consistent with the common defense and security;

D. special circumstances are present in that the application of the rule in this circumstance is not necessary to serve the underlying purpose of the rule;

E. the special circumstances outweigh any decrease in safety that may result from the reduction in standardization caused by the exemption; and

F. the exemption will not result in a significant decrease in the level of safety otherwise provided by the design.

2. Accordingly, the licensee is granted an exemption from the certified DCD Tier 1 information, allowing changes to the plant-specific DCD Tier 1 with corresponding changes to Appendix C of the Facility Combined License as described in the request dated November 30, 2016, as revised by letters dated June 16, and October 6, 2017. This exemption is related to, and necessary for, the granting of License Amendment No. 106, which is being issued concurrently with this exemption.

3. As explained in Section 5.0 of the NRC staff's Safety Evaluation (ADAMS Accession No. ML17332A154), this exemption meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR 51.22(c)(9). Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental

impact statement or environmental assessment needs to be prepared in connection with the issuance of the exemption.

4. This exemption is effective as of the date of its issuance.

III. License Amendment Request

By letter dated November 30, 2016, (ADAMS Accession No. ML16335A453) and revised by letters dated June 16 and October 6, 2017, (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML17167A335 and ML17279B086, respectively), the licensee requested that the NRC amend the COLs for VEGP, Units 3 and 4, COLs NPF–91 and NPF–92. The proposed amendment is described in Section I of this **Federal Register** notice.

The Commission has determined for these amendments that the application complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

A notice of consideration of issuance of amendment to facility operating license or COL, as applicable, proposed no significant hazards consideration determination, and opportunity for a hearing in connection with these actions, was published in the **Federal Register** on March 8, 2017 (82 FR 13019). No comments were received during the 30-day comment period.

The Commission has determined that these amendments satisfy the criteria for categorical exclusion in accordance with 10 CFR 51.22. Therefore, pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared for these amendments.

IV. Conclusion

Using the reasons set forth in the combined safety evaluation, the staff granted the exemption and issued the amendment that the licensee requested on November 30, 2016, as revised by letters dated June 16, and October 6, 2017.

The exemption and amendment were issued to the licensee on January 11, 2018, as part of a combined package (ADAMS Accession No. ML17332A146).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of February 2018.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jennifer L. Dixon-Herrity,
Chief, Licensing Branch 4, Division of New
Reactor Licensing, Office of New Reactors.

[FR Doc. 2018–02472 Filed 2–6–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Parcel Select Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

DATES: *Date of notice required under 39 U.S.C. 3642(d)(1):* February 7, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth A. Reed, 202–268–3179.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on February 2, 2018, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Parcel Select Contract 30 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at www.prc.gov, Docket Nos. MC2018–122, CP2018–165.

Elizabeth A. Reed,

Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law.

[FR Doc. 2018–02459 Filed 2–6–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Priority Mail and First-Class Package Service Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

DATES: *Date of required notice:* February 7, 2018.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth A. Reed, 202–268–3179.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on February 2,

2018, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *USPS Request to Add Priority Mail & First-Class Package Service Contract 74 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at www.prc.gov, Docket Nos. MC2018–121, CP2018–164.

Elizabeth A. Reed,

Attorney, Corporate and Postal Business Law.

[FR Doc. 2018–02458 Filed 2–6–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–82614; File No. SR–CboeBZX–2018–006]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Rule 21.1, Definitions, To Adopt a New Time in Force and To Modify an Existing Time in Force Applicable to the Exchange's Equity Options Platform

February 1, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on January 25, 2018, Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BZX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act³ and Rule 19b–4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder,⁴ which renders it effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend Rule 21.1 to adopt a new Time in Force and to modify an existing Time in Force applicable to the Exchange's equity options platform (“BZX Options”).

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange's website at www.markets.cboe.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange propose to adopt a new Time in Force under Rule 21.1, Definitions. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to adopt the Time in Force of “Good Til Cancelled”, or “GTC”, which, as proposed shall mean, for an order so designated, that if after entry into the System, the order is not fully executed, the order (or the unexecuted portion thereof) shall remain available for potential display and/or execution unless cancelled by the entering party, or until the option expires, whichever comes first. The Exchange proposes to adopt the Time in Force of GTC in sub-paragraph (f)(4) of Rule 21.1, which is currently reserved. The proposed definition of GTC is based on and identical to Rule 21.1(f)(4) of the Exchange's affiliate, EDGX.

The Exchange also proposes to amend sub-paragraph (f)(1) of Exchange Rule 21.1, to modify the Good Til Day (or “GTD”) Time in Force. Currently, GTD orders are limited to the specific trading day on which they are entered, as the Exchange does not currently offer any orders that continue to remain on the Exchange for more than a single trading day (*i.e.*, does not carry any orders overnight). Specifically, in connection with the adoption of the Time in Force of GTC, the Exchange proposes to modify the GTD Time in Force to also allow GTD orders to remain in effect past the day on which they were entered, and therefore proposes to remove language that refers to the time of expiration as needing to be “during such trading day”. In addition, to avoid confusion, the Exchange proposes to modify the name of the GTD Time in Force to “Good Til Date”, which is more reflective of a Time in Force that can last for more than one trading day.

The Exchange does not believe that offering GTD functionality that allows orders to remain with the Exchange for more than one trading day raises any issues that are not already present with GTC orders. In turn, GTC is a common time in force and is typically implemented to allow orders to remain for more than one trading day.⁵ The Exchange simply has not offered such functionality previously and therefore has had specific language reflecting that an expiration time must be during the trading day. The Exchange notes that EDGX recently filed to make the same change to its definition and functionality related to GTD.⁶ The Exchange also notes that a GTD modifier providing a Time in Force that could last more than one day has been previously offered by at least one equities exchange not affiliated with the Exchange.⁷

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act⁸ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act⁹ in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes the proposed amendment will provide additional flexibility to Users that wish to enter an order that will last past the trading day on which it is entered by allowing such Users to either enter an order with the GTC Time in Force, without a specific expiration time, or to use the GTD Time in Force to set a specific expiration time on an order. As noted above, the Exchange proposes to adopt the GTC Time in Force in the near future, which will persist over multiple trading days unless cancelled, and believes that the Time in Force of GTD should similarly be able to persist over multiple trading days. The Exchange believes it could be confusing and inconsistent to offer a GTC Time in Force that can persist for longer than a single trading day and a GTD Time in Force, which commonly means “Good Til Date”, but that would

⁵ See, *e.g.*, C2 Rule 6.10(d)(2).

⁶ See SR–CboeEDGX–2018–003, filed January 25, 2018, available at: https://markets.cboe.com/us/options/regulation/rule_filings/edgx/.

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 75497 (July 21, 2015), 80 FR 45022 (July 28, 2015) (SR–NYSEArca–2015–56) (notice of filing by NYSE Arca describing proposed changes in connection with migration of technology to new platform, including retirement of GTD modifier).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b–4(f)(6)(iii).