

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**Bureau of Reclamation****San Luis Unit Long-Term Contract Renewal**

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Extension of comment period for review of Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS).

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation is extending the comment period for the DEIS to January 17, 2006. The notice of availability of the DEIS and notice of public workshop and notice of public hearings was published in the **Federal Register** on September 30, 2005 (70 FR 57324). The public comment period was originally to end on November 21, 2005.

DATES: Submit comments on the DEIS on or before January 17, 2006.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the DEIS to Mr. Shane Hunt, Bureau of Reclamation, South-Central California Area Office, 1243 N Street, Fresno, CA 93721. Comments may also be e-mailed to shunt@mp.usbr.gov. Copies of the DEIS may be requested by calling Mr. Hunt at 559-487-5138, TDD 559-487-5933.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Shane Hunt at 559-487-5138, TDD 559-487-5933.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: November 29, 2005.

Michael Nepstad,

Deputy Regional Environmental Officer, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. E5-6871 Filed 12-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-669 (Second Review)]

Cased Pencils From China**Determination**

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on cased pencils from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on July 1, 2005 (70 FR 38192) and determined on October 4, 2005 that it would conduct an expedited review (70 FR 60557, October 18, 2005).

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on November 30, 2005. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3820 (November 2005), entitled Cased Pencils from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-669 (Second Review).

Issued: November 30, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E5-6895 Filed 12-6-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-58,280]

TRW Jackson, MI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on November 4, 2005, in response to a worker petition filed by the United Steelworkers of America, Local 2-670, on behalf of workers at TRW, Jackson, Michigan.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 16th day of November 2005.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-6878 Filed 12-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-58,119]

Cole Hersee Company, South Boston, MA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on October 12, 2005 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of Cole Hersee Company, South Boston, Massachusetts.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 18th day of November 2005.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-6875 Filed 12-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training Administration****Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA-W) number issued during the periods of November 2005.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for directly-impacted (primary) worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm,

have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. There has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. There has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as an adversely affected secondary group to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) Significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) The workers' firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) either—

(A) The workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) A loss or business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B) (No shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-58,031; ComTal Machine and Engineering, White Bear Township, MN.

TA-W-58,047; Plasti-Coil, Inc., Lake Geneva, WI.

TA-W-58,061; Atfab Company, Painesville, OH.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.B.) (Sales or production, or both, did not decline) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B) (No shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

TA-W-58,140; Samuel Son and Company, Detroit, MI.

The investigation revealed that criterion (a)(2)(A)(I.A) and (a)(2)(B)(II.A) (no employment decline) has not been met.

TA-W-58,107; Century Furniture Industries, Case Goods Division, Hickory, NC.

The workers firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

TA-W-58,066; Agere Systems, Inc., Allentown, PA.

TA-W-58,117; George Weston Bakeries, Accounts Payable Department, Bay Shore, NY.

TA-W-58,270; UTI Integrated Logistics, d/b/a Standard Corp., Greenville, SC.

TA-W-58,272; Sun Shade Holding, El Cerrito, CA.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (Increased imports and (a)(2)(B) (II.C) (has shifted production to a foreign country) have not been met.

None

The investigation revealed that criteria (2) has not been met. The

workers firm (or subdivision) is not a supplier or downstream producer to trade-affected companies.

TA-W-58,071; EEEA, Inc., Mauldin, SC.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of (a)(2)(A) (increased imports) of Section 222 have been met.

TA-W-58,034; Highland Mills, Inc., Charlotte, NC: September 26, 2004.

TA-W-58,051; Miker Companies, Cheektowaga, NY: September 22, 2004.

TA-W-58,056; Neilsen Manufacturing, Inc., Salem, OR: September 30, 2004.

TA-W-58,076; T P Corporation, Duryea, PA: October 5, 2004.

TA-W-58,142; Vishay Roederstein Electronics, Inc., Statesville, NC: October 13, 2004.

TA-W-58,162; Style Setter Fashions, Inc., Philadelphia, PA: June 7, 2005.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of (a)(2)(B) (shift in production) of Section 222 have been met.

TA-W-58,020; Southwest Corset Corporation, Trading as Southwest Cupid, Access Employer, Blackwell, OK: September 1, 2004.

TA-W-58,075; Paxar Americas, Inc., Paxar Corporation, Sayre, PA: October 4, 2004.

TA-W-58,153; Druck, Inc., a/k/a GE Sensing, Adecco, Viking Accountemps, New Fairfield, CT: October 17, 2004.

TA-W-58,157; High Cotton Enterprises, Inc., Seaming Department, Fort Payne, AL: October 7, 2004.

The following certification has been issued. The requirement of supplier to a trade certified firm has been met.

TA-W-58,260; Gemtron Corp., Manpower, Holland, MI: November 2, 2004.

The following certification has been issued. The requirement of downstream producer to a trade certified firm has been met.

None

Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for

Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

The Department has determined that criterion (2) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm possess skills that are easily transferable.

TA-W-58,075; Paxar Americas, Inc., Paxar Corporation, Sayre, PA.

The Department has determined that criterion (1) of Section 246 has not been met. Workers at the firm are 50 years of age or older.

None

Since the workers are denied eligibility to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

TA-W-58,107; Century Furniture Industries, Case Goods Division, Hickory, NC.

TA-W-58,140; Samuel Son and Company, Detroit, MI.

TA-W-58,031; ComTal Machine and Engineering, White Bear Township, MN.

TA-W-58,047; Plasti-Coil, Inc., Lake Geneva, WI.

TA-W-58,061; Atfab Company, Painesville, OH.

TA-W-58,066; Agere Systems, Inc., Allentown, PA.

TA-W-58,117; George Weston Bakeries, Accounts Payable Department, Bay Shore, NY.

TA-W-58,270; UTI Integrated Logistics, d/b/a Standard Corp., Greenville, SC.

TA-W-58,272; Sun Shade Holding, El Cerrito, CA.

TA-W-58,071; EEEA, Inc., Mauldin, SC.

The Department as determined that criterion (3) of Section 246 has not been met. Competition conditions within the workers' industry are not adverse.

None

Affirmative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issued a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact

date for all workers of such determinations.

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(ii) have been met.

I. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.

II. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.

III. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (i.e., conditions within the industry are adverse).

TA-W-58,142; Vishay Roederstein Electronics, Inc., Statesville, NC: October 13, 2004.

TA-W-58,051; Miker Companies, Cheektowaga, NY: September 22, 2004.

TA-W-58,056; Neilsen Manufacturing, Inc., Salem, OR: September 30, 2004.

TA-W-58,076; T P Corporation, Duryea, PA: October 5, 2004.

TA-W-58,162; Style Setter Fashions, Inc., Philadelphia, PA: June 7, 2005.

TA-W-58,153; Druck, Inc., a/k/a GE Sensing, Adecco, Viking Accountemps, New Fairfield, CT: October 17, 2004.

TA-W-58,157; High Cotton Enterprises, Inc., Seaming Department, Fort Payne, AL: October 7, 2004.

TA-W-58,260; Gemtron Corp., Manpower, Holland, MI: November 2, 2004.

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of November 2005. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: November 28, 2005.

Erica R. Cantor,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E5-6874 Filed 12-5-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, (19 U.S.C. 2273), the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to

apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA-W) number issued during the period of November 2005.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for directly-impacted (primary) worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. Increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. A significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. There has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. The country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. There has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as an adversely affected secondary group to be issued, each of the group eligibility