

the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, and United States consumers, it finds that such articles should not be excluded from entry. 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1). A similar provision applies to cease and desist orders. 19 U.S.C. 1337(f)(1).

The Commission is soliciting submissions on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief should the Commission find a violation, specifically: A limited exclusion order directed to certain plant-derived recombinant human serum albumins ("rHSA") and products containing imported, sold for importation, and/or sold after importation by respondents Wuhan Healthgen Biotechnology Corp. of Wuhan, China; Aspira Scientific, Inc. of Milpitas, California ("Aspira"); eEnzyme LLC of Gaithersburg, Maryland ("eEnzyme"); and ScienCell Research Laboratories, Inc., of Carlsbad, California ("ScienCell"); and cease and desist orders directed to Aspira, eEnzyme, and ScienCell. Parties are to file public interest submissions pursuant to 19 CFR 210.50(a)(4).

The Commission is interested in further development of the record on the public interest in this investigation. Accordingly, members of the public are invited to file submissions of no more than five (5) pages, inclusive of attachments, concerning the public interest in light of the ALJ's Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding issued in this investigation on April 7, 2022. Comments should address whether issuance of the recommended remedial orders in this investigation, should the Commission find a violation, would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

- (i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the recommended remedial orders are used in the United States;
- (ii) identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the recommended orders;
- (iii) identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;
- (iv) indicate whether complainant, complainant's licensees, and/or third-

party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the recommended orders within a commercially reasonable time; and

(v) explain how the recommended orders would impact consumers in the United States.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business on May 9, 2022.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above. The Commission's paper filing requirements in 19 CFR 210.4(f) are currently waived. 85 FR 15798 (Mar. 19, 2020). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337-TA-1238") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See *Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures*, https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment by marking each document with a header indicating that the document contains confidential information. This marking will be deemed to satisfy the request procedure set forth in Rules 201.6(b) and 210.5(e)(2) (19 CFR 201.6(b) & 210.5(e)(2)). Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. Any non-party wishing to submit comments containing confidential information must serve those comments on the parties to the investigation pursuant to the applicable Administrative Protective Order. A redacted non-confidential version of the document must also be filed simultaneously with any confidential filing and must be served in accordance with Commission Rule 210.4(f)(7)(ii)(A) (19 CFR 210.4(f)(7)(ii)(A)). All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel, solely for cybersecurity

purposes. All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection on EDIS.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: April 8, 2022.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022-07904 Filed 4-12-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request, the Substance Use-Disorder Prevention That Promotes Opioid Recovery and Treatment for Patients and Communities (SUPPORT) Act Grants Evaluation, New Collection

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy, Chief Evaluation Office, Department of Labor.

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor (DOL), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents is properly assessed. Currently, the Department of Labor is soliciting comments concerning the collection of data about the SUPPORT Act Grant Program Evaluation. A copy of the proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) can be obtained by contacting the office listed below in the addressee section of this notice.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted to the office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section below on or before June 13, 2022.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by either one of the following methods:

Email: ChiefEvaluationOffice@dol.gov; Mail or Courier: Kuang-chi Chang, Chief Evaluation Office, OASP, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S-2312, 200 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20210.

Instructions: Please submit one copy of your comments by only one method. All submissions received must include the agency name and OMB Control Number identified above for this information collection. Comments, including any personal information provided, become a matter of public record. They will also be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the information collection request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kuang-chi Chang by email at ChiefEvaluationOffice@dol.gov or by phone at (202)693-5992.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Chief Evaluation Office (CEO) in partnership with the Employment and Training Administration (ETA) is sponsoring an implementation evaluation of the Substance Use-Disorder Prevention that Promotes Opioid Recovery and Treatment for Patients and Communities (SUPPORT) Act Grants. The implementation evaluation will inform program administrators and practitioners on innovative practices and implementation challenges in providing services that address both employment and treatment needs for people with substance use disorders (SUDs). This **Federal Register** Notice provides the opportunity to comment on proposed data collection instruments that will be used in the implementation evaluation. The proposed information collection activity consists of:

1. *Data collection planning interviews.* Interviews with grantees and sub-grantees will be used to obtain background information for planning site visits to grantees and sub-grantees, identify partners to participate in the

site visits, and identify key areas of interest for implementation learning activities.

2. *Web-based surveys.* The surveys will collect information from grantees about key relationships for program implementation that will be used for a social network analysis. The surveys will collect consistent information from sub-grantees about local implementation of grant-funded services across all sub-grantees. It will also collect information from community and employer partners about their involvement in grant-funded services, employer engagement, and relationships with the sub-grantee and other partners.

3. *Implementation study site visits.* Site visits will document the program context, program organization and staffing, program components, and other relevant aspects of grant activities. During the visits, site teams will interview key grantee administrators and staff, sub-grantee program managers and staff, and key community and employer partners using a modular interview guide that will be tailored for each respondent.

4. *In-depth participant interviews.* During implementation study site visits, site teams will interview program participants to learn about their experiences and attitudes about the SUPPORT Act grant-funded programs. Participants will also complete a brief participant information form to document demographic information about those responding to interviews.

5. *Final reflection interviews.* Approximately one year after site visits, grantee and sub-grantee program managers will be interviewed about changes to implementation, sustainability of grant-funded services, and to reflect on implementation learning activities.

Much of this data collection will occur during site visits to each grantee and selected sub-grantees. Surveys will be web-based and the data collection planning interviews and final reflection interviews will be conducted via video-conference.

II. Desired Focus of Comments

Currently, the Department of Labor is soliciting comments concerning the above data collection for the SUPPORT Act Grants Evaluation. DOL is particularly interested in comments that do the following:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- evaluate the accuracy of the agency's burden estimate of the proposed information collection, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions;
- enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology—for example, permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Actions

At this time, the Department of Labor is requesting clearance for the data collection planning interviews, web-based surveys, implementation study site visits, in-depth participant interviews, and final reflection interviews.

Type of Review: New information collection request.

OMB Control Number: 1290-0NEW.

Affected Public: SUPPORT Act grantee and sub-grantee staff, sub-grantee partners involved in providing training, employment, and treatment and recovery services, and SUPPORT Act grant program participants.

Comments submitted in response to this request will be summarized and/or included in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval of the information collection request; they will also become a matter of public record.

ESTIMATED ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS

Type of instrument (form/activity)	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden time per response (hours)	Estimated burden hours
Interview Guide for Data Collection Planning—Grantee Director	14	1	2	1	2
Interview Guide for Data Collection Planning—Sub-grantee Director	18	1	4	1.5	6.5
Survey—Grantee Director	24	1	2	0.5	1
Survey—Sub-grantee Director	218	1	9	1	9
Survey—Sub-Grantee Community Partner	272	1	36	0.5	18
Survey—Sub-Grantee Employer Partner	218	1	9	0.5	4.5
Interview Guide—Grantee Director	34	1	2	1.5	3
Interview Guide—Grantee Staff	316	1	8	1	8
Interview Guide—Sub-grantee Director	48	1	4	1.5	6
Interview Guide—Sub-grantee Staff	440	1	20	1	20

ESTIMATED ANNUAL BURDEN HOURS—Continued

Type of instrument (form/activity)	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Average burden time per response (hours)	Estimated burden hours
Interview Guide—Sub-grantee Community Partner	⁴ 24	1	12	1	12
Interview Guide—Sub-Grantee Employer Partner	⁴ 8	1	4	1	4
In-depth Participant Interview Consent Form	⁵ 40	1	20	.12	2.4
In-depth Participant Interview Guide	⁵ 40	1	20	1	20
Participant Interview Information Form	⁵ 40	1	20	.12	2.4
Final Reflection Interview Guide—Grantee Director	⁶ 4	1	2	1.5	3
Final Reflection Interview Guide—Sub-grantee Director	⁶ 8	1	4	1.5	6
Total	356	178	127.8

¹ Assumes planning interviews with 4 grantees and the 8 sub-grantees selected for site visits.

² Assumes survey responses for 4 grantees, 18 sub-grantees, 4 community partners per sub-grantee, and 1 employer partner per sub-grantee.

³ Assumes site visits to 4 grantees, which include 4 grantee director interviews and 4 staff interviews per grantee.

⁴ Assumes site visits to 8 sub-grantees, which include 8 sub-grantee director interviews, 5 staff interviews per sub-grantee, 3 community partners and 1 employer partner per sub-grantee.

⁵ Assumes 5 in-depth participant interviews per 8 sub-grantees.

⁶ Assumes final reflection interviews with 4 grantees and 8 sub-grantees.

Christina Yancey,

Chief Evaluation Officer, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 2022-07873 Filed 4-12-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-HX-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Agency Information Collection

Activities: Announcement of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Control Numbers Under the Paperwork Reduction Act

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice; announcement of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) approval of information collection requirements.

SUMMARY: The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) announces that OMB extended approval for the information collection requirements found in OSHA's standards and its requirements on non-regulatory collections outlined in this notice. OSHA sought approval of these requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), and as required by that Act, is announcing the approval numbers and expiration dates for these requirements and regulations.

DATES: April 13, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Seleda Perryman or Theda Kenney, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, telephone (202) 693-2222.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a series of **Federal Register** notices, the agency provided 60-day comment periods for the public to respond to OSHA's burden hour and cost estimates. The various information collection (paperwork)

requirements in the safety and health standards pertain to general industry, shipyards, maritime, construction, and agriculture (*i.e.*, 29 CFR parts 1910, 1915, 1926, and 1928) and its requirements on the listed regulations.

In accordance with the PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), OMB approved these information collection requirements. The table below provides the following information for each of these requirements approved by OMB: the title of the **Federal Register** notice; the **Federal Register** citation (date, volume, and leading page); OSHA docket number; OMB's Control Number; and the new expiration date.

In accordance with 5 CFR 1320.5(b), an agency cannot conduct, sponsor, or require a response to a collection of information unless the collection displays a valid OMB control number, and the agency informs respondents that they need not respond to the collection of information.

Title of the information collection request	Date of Federal Register publication, Federal Register citation, and OSHA docket No.	OMB control No.	Expiration date
Anhydrous Ammonia Storage and Handling (29 CFR 1910.111).	June 3, 2020, 85 FR 34251, Docket No. OSHA-2010-0050.	1218-0219	09/30/2024
Asbestos in Construction Standard (29 CFR 1926.1101).	June 3, 2021, 86 FR 32980, Docket No. OSHA-2012-0002.	1218-0134	12/31/2024
Beryllium Standard for General Industry (29 CFR 1910.1024), Construction (29 CFR 1926.1124), and Maritime (29 CFR 1915.1024).	February 3, 2020, 85 FR 5996, Docket No. OSHA-2019-0010.	1218-0267	06/30/2024
Beryllium in General Industry (29 CFR 1910.1024)	July 26, 2021, 86 FR 40083, Docket No. OSHA-2019-0010.	1218-0267	03/31/2025
Bloodborne Pathogens (29 CFR 1910.1030)	April 27, 2021, 86 FR 22276, Docket No. OSHA-2010-0047.	1218-0180	03/31/2025
Concrete and Masonry Construction (29 CFR part 1926, subpart Q).	May 20, 2020, 85 FR 30740, Docket No. OSHA-2010-0040.	1218-0095	06/30/2024
Confined Spaces in Construction (29 CFR part 1926, subpart AA).	February 26, 2021, 86 FR 11796, Docket No. OSHA-2017-0014.	1218-0258	09/30/2024
Control of Hazardous Energy (Lockout/Tagout) (29 CFR 1910.147).	December 23, 2020, 85 FR 84004, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0033.	1218-0150	08/31/2024
Cotton Dust (29 CFR 1910.1043)	April 27, 2021, 86 FR 22277, Docket No. OSHA-2011-0194.	1218-0061	09/30/2024