

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination of non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Also, comments protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFT 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm>.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-8489 Filed 4-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG01-161-000, et al.]

#### Haleywest L.L.C., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

March 30, 2001.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

##### 1. Haleywest L.L.C.

[Docket No. EG01-161-000]

Take notice that on March 28, 2001, 2001, Haleywest L.L.C. (Applicant), an Idaho limited liability company, whose address is P.O. Box 171, Laclede, Idaho 83851 filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Applicant intends to lease and operate a facility comprised of three (3), continuously rated 1.6-megawatt generator sets (non-road engines) fired on diesel fuel with a maximum total output of 6-megawatts (the "Facility"). The Facility is located in Plummer, Idaho. Electric energy produced by the Facility will be sold by Applicant to the wholesale power market in the Northwestern United States.

*Comment date:* April 20, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

##### 2. Fountain Valley Power, L.L.C.

[Docket No. EG01-162-000]

Take notice that on March 26, 2001, Fountain Valley Power, L.L.C. (Applicant), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Applicant is presently a wholly-owned subsidiary of Enron North America Corp., but is expected to become a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Black Hills Energy Capital, Inc.

Applicant stated that it served its application on the following: Public Service Company of Colorado, the Colorado Public Utilities Commission, South Dakota Public Utility Commission, Wyoming Public Service Commission and the Securities and Exchange Commission.

*Comment date:* April 20, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy of accuracy of the application.

##### 3. Pinnacle West Energy Corporation

[Docket No. EG01-163-000]

Take notice that on March 28, 2001, Pinnacle West Energy Corporation (PWE) filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

PWE is a wholly owned subsidiary of Pinnacle West Capital Corporation (PNW) and an associate company of Arizona Public Service Company. PWE was created to serve as PNW's competitive generation affiliate. The eligible facilities to be owned by PWE are a 120 MW natural gas-fired, combined cycle unit that is presently under construction and 10 trailer-

mounted generating units with a combined capacity of less than 200 MW.

*Comment date:* April 20, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

##### Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest such filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of these filings are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the Internet at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests, and interventions may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm>.

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-8459 Filed 4-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6963-5]

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; "Stakeholder Preferences Regarding Environmental Quality, Quality of Life, and Economic Development in Survey of Cape May County, New Jersey"

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit the following proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB):

Stakeholder Preferences Regarding Environmental Quality, Quality of Life, and Economic Development in Survey of Cape May County, New Jersey, EPA ICR No. 2019.01. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before June 5, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** The surveys as they will be received by respondents may be obtained without charge by mailing or e-mailing a request to Dr. Ann Fisher, Pennsylvania State University, AERS, 107 Armsby Building, University Park, PA 16802, email: fisherann@psu.edu; phone: (814) 865-3143. Be sure to include your name, address, telephone number, e-mail if available, and delivery preference (diskette by mail, or e-mail delivery).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Janet L. Gamble, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 8601D, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave NW., Washington, DC 20460; e-mail: gamble.janet@epa.gov; phone: (202) 564-3387; FAX: (202) 565-0075.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Affected Entities:* Entities potentially affected by this action are individuals who agree to participate in the survey. Participation is voluntary. Recruiting will be done by telephone using random-digit dialing to select households and businesses in Cape May County, NJ in a manner described by the abstract below.

*Title:* Stakeholder Preferences Regarding Environmental Quality, Quality of Life, and Economic Development in Survey of Cape May County, New Jersey (EPA ICR No. 2019.01).

*Abstract:* The Pennsylvania State University (PSU) in cooperation with the Global Change Research Program (GCRP) in the Office of Research and Development (ORD) of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to conduct a survey of individual residents and business managers in Cape May County, New Jersey. The survey will solicit Cape May County (NJ) residents' perceptions about their quality of life, how they prioritize risks to their quality of life, and their judgments about trade-offs among alternative actions that would reduce vulnerability to these risks. The focus will be on risks related to changes in land-use practices such as development of open land, and risks related to the potential for storm damages that could accompany sea-level rise or climate change. The survey approach also will

solicit business managers' perceptions of direct and indirect risks from flooding.

This data collection is motivated by the Mid-Atlantic Regional Assessment of the Potential Consequences of Climate Variability and Change (MARA), that showed that many potential impacts from climate change will exacerbate existing environmental stresses, particularly those from economic development and sea level rise. Yet little is known about how individuals and their communities are willing to make trade-offs between protection of nearby ecosystems and local economic development. This is particularly important in coastal communities where a major component of many livelihoods stems from tourism based on ecological features such as migratory bird habitat. Paradoxically, development decisions to accommodate more tourists could decrease the habitat for the ecosystems that attract tourists. This could be compounded by failing to account for climate change and for the sea-level rise that is occurring as Mid-Atlantic coastal areas subside; sea-level rise and climate change could affect both ecosystem habitat as well as developed areas. Decisions by individual citizens, businesses, developers, local planners, and environmental protection agencies could be improved by having information about the relative importance of a range of quality-of-life characteristics, including habitat and infrastructure protection, when compared with economic development. Because Cape May County has many of these features, it is an appropriate test case for identifying preferences about such trade-offs.

The data is being collected by Penn State University in collaboration with EPA/ORD Global Change Research Program, under Cooperative Agreement No. R-82840701-0. This survey is not associated with any rule-making process within the Federal government. Instead, Penn State will use this research to demonstrate the potential usefulness of such an approach for localities that want more information about tradeoffs related to development decisions. For Cape May County, the data will be used to assess the relative importance of quality-of-life characteristics and citizens' willingness to make trade-offs among actions to improve the levels and resiliency of those characteristics. The results will be useful most directly for (and are expected to be used by) the residents of Cape May County. The development, pretesting and revision, implementation, and analysis of the data will demonstrate the usefulness of

the questionnaire for implementation by other communities facing similar issues.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Burden Statement:* The (completely voluntary) survey will have two phases. Phase I will be a 10-minute phone survey, with a component tailored to each of two target groups. Identified through random-digit dialing (RDD), permanent residents and those who visit for a month or more each year ( $N = 1225$ ) will be asked to rate quality-of-life characteristics, make straight-forward judgments about future development and storm threats, and respond to standard socio-demographic questions. The other target group is a sample ( $N = 300$ ) of local businesses that will be asked about damages they might experience if a flood occurred, as well as actions they have taken or plan to take to reduce their vulnerability. Data from the business survey will provide context for interpreting the citizen survey. Phase II will be a (20-minute) mail follow-up to the phone survey, sent to residents who express interest in providing judgments comparing combinations of quality-of-life characteristics ( $N = 600$ ). Its data will provide more depth for the research analysis and for reporting back to Cape May County. The total number of respondents is 2125. The only cost to respondents will be their time, for a total of 454.17 hours. The burden estimates are based on administration of

2125 questionnaires. The total respondent cost estimate is \$9,610.24.

Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, disclose or provide information to or for a Federal Agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: March 29, 2001.

**Arthur F. Payne,**

*Acting Director, National Center for Environmental Assessment.*

[FR Doc. 01-8493 Filed 4-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6964-3]

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Continuing Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit the following continuing Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): NSPS for Asphalt Processing and Asphalt Roofing Manufacturers; EPA ICR Number 0661.06 and OMB Control Number 2060-0002, expiration date, August 30, 2001. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection as described below.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before June 5, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** United States Environmental Protection Agency; Compliance Assistance and Sector Programs Division, 2224A; 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW.; Washington, DC, 20460. A hard copy of an ICR may be obtained without charge by calling the identified information contact

individual for each ICR in Section B of the Supplementary Information.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Carolyn Young, (202) 564-7062, fax (202) 564-0009 or down load off the internet at <http://www.epa.gov/icr/icr.htm> and refer to ICR Number 0661.05 and OMB Control Number 2060-0002-NSPS.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Affected Entities:** Entities potentially affected by this action are those which are asphalt processing and roofing manufacturers (SIC Codes 2911, 2951, and 2952) which commenced construction, modification, or reconstruction after November 18, 1980, or May 26, 1981 as appropriate.

**Title:** NSPS Subpart UU: Asphalt processing and asphalt roofing manufacturers; OMB Control Number 2060-0002, expires August 31, 2001.

**Abstract:** This ICR contains recordkeeping and reporting requirements that are mandatory for compliance with 40 CFR part 60, New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), Subpart UU. The respondents of the recordkeeping and reporting requirements are asphalt processing and roofing manufacturers (SIC Codes 2911, 2951, and 2952) which commenced construction, modification, or reconstruction after November 18, 1980, or May 26, 1981 as appropriate.

The control of emissions of particulate matter from asphalt processing and asphalt roof manufacturing requires not only the installation of properly designed equipment, but also the operation and maintenance of that equipment. Particulate matter emissions from asphalt processing and asphalt roof manufacturing are the result of materials handling, fuel combustion, and storage. These standards rely on the reduction of particulate matter emissions by pollution control devices such as electrostatic precipitators, high velocity air filters, or afterburners.

In order to ensure compliance with these standards, adequate recordkeeping is necessary. In the absence of such information enforcement personnel would be unable to determine whether the standards are being met on a continuous basis, as required by the Clean Air Act.

The standards require initial notification reports with respect to construction, modification, reconstruction, startups, shutdowns, and malfunctions. The standards also require reports on initial performance tests.

Under the standard, the data collected by the affected industry is retained at

the facility for a minimum of two (2) years and made available for inspection by the Administrator of EPA.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The EPA would like to solicit comments to:

(i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(iv) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

**Burden Statement:** The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection is estimated to average 24 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

**Respondents/Affected Entities:** 86.

**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 86.

**Frequency of Response:** Initial start-up.

**Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:** 15,629 hours.

**Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden:** \$3,210,000.