

Advice B-6 consistent with the expanded definition of controlled account under the proposed rule change. Currently, specialists and ROTs closing-in person are not required to circle the yield field; this requirement would not change. Other controlled accounts would still be required to circle the yield field.

III. Discussion

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations under the Act applicable to a national securities exchange.⁵ In particular, the proposed rule change is consistent with sections 6(b)(5) and 6(b)(8) of the Act.⁶ The Commission finds that proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of section 6(b)(5) of the Act⁷ because the proposed rule change is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission also finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act because the proposed rule change is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. Further, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(8) of the Act⁸ because the proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In particular, the Commission finds that it is consistent with sections 6(b)(5) and 6(b)(8) of the Act⁹ to treat non-member broker-dealers and member broker-dealers similarly by generally requiring that orders for such accounts yield to customer orders. In this regard, this rule is similar to protections offered to customer orders in other contexts. Further, the Commission finds that parity between orders for non-member broker-dealers and member broker-dealers, except for members (*i.e.*, specialists and ROTs) that close in-person, is appropriate and consistent with the Act.

⁵ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered its impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5) and 78f(b)(8).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5) and 78f(b)(8).

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,¹⁰ that the proposed rule change (SR-Phlx-2001-38), as amended, is approved.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Margaret H. McFarland,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-30139 Filed 12-4-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

President's Commission To Strengthen Social Security

AGENCY: Social Security Administration (SSA).

ACTION: Announcement of meeting location.

DATES: December 11, 2001 10:00 a.m.–6:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: Park Hyatt Ballroom, Park Hyatt Washington, 24th at M Street NW., Washington, DC 20037, (202) 789-1234.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The **Federal Register** notice announcing the December 11 meeting of the President's Commission to Strengthen Social Security did not include a meeting location. The purpose of this announcement is to provide the meeting location.

The Commission will meet commencing Tuesday, December 11, at 10:00 a.m. and ending at 6:00 p.m., with a break for lunch between 12:30 p.m. and 1:30 p.m. The Commission will be discussing its draft Final Report.

Dated: November 30, 2001.

Michael A. Anzick,

Designated Federal Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-30244 Filed 12-4-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Bureau of Consular Affairs

[Public Notice 3850]

Designation of Certain Posts for Special Fee Payment Procedures

This public notice adds additional posts, located in India and Vietnam, to those already designated by the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Visa Services for two purposes related to the payment of immigrant visa fees. The first purpose

relates to the revised procedure for payment of the fee for the processing of the application for an immigrant visa set forth in the **Federal Register** on September 8, 2000, (65 FR 54598). The effective date of that notice was stayed until January 1, 2001 by a public notice in the **Federal Register** of December 14, 2000, (65 FR 78243).

The second purpose is to identify the posts for which a fee pursuant to Item 61 of the Schedule of Fees for Consular Services (22 CFR 22.1) will be assessed for advance review of and assistance with the Affidavit of Support that is required in certain immigrant visa cases. Notice of this fee requirement was added to the visa regulation pertaining to the Affidavit of Support requirement in 22 CFR 40.41(b), and was effective January 1, 2001.

The Department will publish further public notices as additional designations are made.

The Deputy Assistant Secretary for Visa Services has designated the Foreign Service posts in the following cities for participation in the new immigrant visa application processing fee payment system and the fee for review of and assistance with the Affidavit of Support required under section 213A of the Immigration and Nationality Act. The effective date of this notice is October 1, 2001.

Abidjan, Cote D'Ivoire
Accra, Ghana
Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
Algiers, Algeria
Antananarivo, Madagascar
Bogota, Colombia
Cairo, Egypt
Chennai, India
Casablanca, Morocco
Ciudad Juarez, Mexico
Cotonou, Benin
Dakar, Senegal
Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania
Djibouti, Djibouti
Freetown, Sierra Leone
Georgetown, Guyana
Guangzhou, China
Harare, Zimbabwe
Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
Johannesburg, South Africa
Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of the Congo
Lagos, Nigeria
Libreville, Gabon
Lilongwe, Malawi
Lome, Togo
Lusaka, Zambia
Manila, Philippines
Monrovia, Liberia
Montreal, Canada
Mumbai, India
Nairobi, Kenya
New Delhi, India

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).