

all citations to the Department's regulations are to the provisions codified at 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

Background

On March 8, 2001, the Department published the preliminary results of review for the period February 1, 1999 through January 31, 2000 (66 FR 13891). We invited parties to comment on our preliminary results of review. On April 9, 2001, Komatsu submitted a case brief alleging that the Department had erroneously omitted home market indirect selling expenses from its analysis, and that the preliminary results as published in the **Federal Register** contained an error. On April 12, 2001, Komatsu withdrew its allegation regarding home market indirect selling expenses, but maintained its allegation of an error in the **Federal Register** notice. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review include MTPs currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item numbers 8462.99.0035 and 8466.94.5040. The HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of this order is dispositive. The term "mechanical transfer presses" refers to automatic metal-forming machine tools with multiple die stations in which the work piece is moved from station to station by a transfer mechanism designed as an integral part of the press and synchronized with the press action, whether imported as machines or parts suitable for use solely or principally with these machines. These presses may be imported assembled or unassembled. This review does not cover certain parts and accessories, which were determined to be outside the scope of the order. (See "Final Scope Ruling on Spare and Replacement Parts," U.S. Department of Commerce, March 20, 1992; and "Final Scope Ruling on the Antidumping Duty Order on Mechanical Transfer Presses (MTPs) from Japan: Request by Komatsu, Ltd.," U.S. Department of Commerce, October 3, 1996.) This review covers one manufacturer of MTPs, and the period February 1, 1999 through January 31, 2000.

Comments From Interested Parties and Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Komatsu has alleged that the **Federal Register** notice publishing the Department's preliminary results did not accurately state Komatsu's margin.

Komatsu alleged that, while the notice stated that its margin was 0.99 percent, the Department had calculated its margin to be 0.00 percent. We agree with Komatsu. The Department calculated a preliminary margin of 0.00 percent for Komatsu, as can be seen in the *Memorandum to the File from Mark Hoadley through Sally Gannon; Analysis of Komatsu, Ltd.* (Feb. 14, 2001), and as can also be inferred from the surrounding context of the preliminary notice. Therefore, as there are no other allegations or comments from interested parties regarding our preliminary results of review, we find a margin of 0.00 percent for Komatsu for purposes of these final results.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following percentage weighted-average margin exists for the period February 1, 1999 through January 31, 2000:

Manufacturer/ exporter	Time period	Margin percent
Komatsu, Ltd. ...	02/01/99– 01/31/00	0.00

Because the weighted-average dumping margin is zero, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate entries made during this review period without regard to antidumping duties for the subject merchandise that Komatsu exported.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of MTPs from Japan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate shown above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 14.51 percent. This rate is the "All Others" rate from the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final

results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: May 14, 2001.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-12751 Filed 5-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-580-825]

Oil Country Tubular Goods From the Republic of Korea; Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On March 1, 2001, the Department of Commerce published a notice of initiation in the above-named case. As a result of this review, the Department of Commerce preliminarily finds for the purposes of this proceeding that Hyundai Steel Company ("Hyundai Hysco") is the successor-in-interest to Hyundai Pipe Company, Ltd. ("HDP").
EFFECTIVE DATE: May 21, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mike Strollo or Scott Lindsay, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5255 and (202) 482-3782, respectively.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department") regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On March 1, 2001, the Department published a notice of initiation in this changed circumstances review (see *Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 66 FR 12925). On March 20, 2001, the Department conducted a verification of Hyundai Hysco at its headquarters in Seoul. See *Memorandum to the File: Verification of Hyundai Hysco in the Changed Circumstance Review of Oil Country Tubular Goods and Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from South Korea*, dated April 13, 2001. Verification results are outlined in the public version of the verification report on file in Room B-099 of the main Commerce building.

Scope of the Review

The products covered by this order are oil country tubular goods ("OCTG"), hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including only oil well casing and tubing, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute ("API") or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing or tubing pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium, or drill pipe. The products subject to this order are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") under item numbers:

7304.29.10.10, 7304.29.10.20, 7304.29.10.30, 7304.29.10.40, 7304.29.10.50, 7304.29.10.60, 7304.29.10.80, 7304.29.20.10, 7304.29.20.20, 7304.29.20.30,

7304.29.20.40, 7304.29.20.50, 7304.29.20.60, 7304.29.20.80, 7304.29.30.10, 7304.29.30.20, 7304.29.30.30, 7304.29.30.40, 7304.29.30.50, 7304.29.30.60, 7304.29.30.80, 7304.29.40.10, 7304.29.40.20, 7304.29.40.30, 7304.29.40.40, 7304.29.40.50, 7304.29.40.60, 7304.29.40.80, 7304.29.50.15, 7304.29.50.30, 7304.29.50.45, 7304.29.50.60, 7304.29.50.75, 7304.29.60.15, 7304.29.60.30, 7304.29.60.45, 7304.29.60.60, 7304.29.60.75, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00, 7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.20.10.30, 7306.20.10.90, 7306.20.20.00, 7306.20.30.00, 7306.20.40.00, 7306.20.60.10, 7306.20.60.50, 7306.20.80.10, and 7306.20.80.50. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive of the scope of this review.

Preliminary Results

In making successor-in-interest determinations, the Department examines several factors including, but not limited to, changes in: (1) Management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base. See e.g., *Brass Sheet and Strip from Canada; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 57 FR 20460, 20461 (May 13, 1992). While no single factor, or combination of factors, will necessarily prove dispositive, the Department will generally consider the new company to be the successor to its predecessor company if the resulting operations are essentially the same as the predecessor company. See e.g., *Id.* and *Industrial Phosphoric Acid from Israel; Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 59 FR 6944, 6945 (February 14, 1994). Thus, if the evidence demonstrates that, with respect to the production and sale of the subject merchandise, the new company operates as the same business entity as its predecessor, the Department will assign the new company the cash-deposit rate of its predecessor.

Based on the information submitted by Hyundai Hysco during the initiation stages of this changed circumstances review and the information examined during verification, we preliminarily determine that Hyundai Hysco is the successor-in-interest to HDP. We find the company's organizational structure, senior management, production facilities, supplier relationships, and customers have remained essentially unchanged. Furthermore, Hyundai Hysco has provided sufficient internal

and public documentation of the name change. Based on all the evidence reviewed, we find that Hyundai Hysco operates as the same business entity as HDP. Thus, we preliminarily determine that Hyundai Hysco should be excluded from the antidumping duty order as was its predecessor company, HDP.

Public Comment

Any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 28 days after the date of publication of this notice, or the first workday thereafter. Case briefs and/or written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 14 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to the issues raised in those comments, may be filed not later than 21 days after the date of publication of this notice. All written comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303. Persons interested in attending the hearing, if one is requested, should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing. The Department will publish the final results of this changed-circumstances review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any written comments.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and §§ 351.216 and 351.222 of the Department's regulations.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01-12749 Filed 5-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**National Institute of Standards and Technology****Visiting Committee on Advanced Technology**

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of partially closed meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. app. 2, notice is hereby given that the Visiting Committee on Advanced Technology, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), will meet Tuesday, June 5, 2001 from 8:15 a.m. to 5:15 p.m. and Wednesday, June