

health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the U.S. Trade Representative, as delegated by the President, has 60 days to approve, disapprove, or take no action on the Commission's determination. *See* Presidential Memorandum of July 21, 2005, 70 FR 43251 (July 26, 2005). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed if a remedy is ordered.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation are requested to file written submissions limited to the briefing questions above. Parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such initial written submissions should include views on the recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Complainant and the Commission Investigative Attorney are also requested to identify the form of remedy sought and to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration in their initial written submissions. Complainant is further requested to state the date that the asserted patent expires and the HTSUS numbers under which the accused products are imported, and to supply the names of known importers of the products at issue in this investigation.

Initial written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than close of business on February 18, 2020. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on February 25, 2020 and must be limited to issues raised in the initial written submissions. No further submissions on any of these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit eight (8) true

paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 337-TA-1120") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (*See* Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf). Persons with questions regarding filing should contact the Secretary (202-205-2000).

Any person desiring to submit a document to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. *See* 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is properly sought will be treated accordingly. All information, including confidential business information and documents for which confidential treatment is properly sought, submitted to the Commission for purposes of this Investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel,¹ solely for cybersecurity purposes. All non-confidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary and on EDIS.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: January 30, 2020.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2020-02178 Filed 2-4-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

¹ All contract personnel will sign appropriate nondisclosure agreements.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Telemanagement Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on January 14, 2020, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), TeleManagement Forum ("The Forum") filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Specifically, the following entities have become members of the Forum: Adad, Sophia Antipolis, FRANCE; Beijing Qcubic Technology Co. Limited Company, Beijing, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; BMC Software, Inc., Houston, TX; Cartesian Inc., Overland Park, KS; Cellwize Wireless Technologies Pte. Ltd., Tel Aviv, ISRAEL; Cloudlite, Moscow, RUSSIA; Colt Technology Services Group Limited, London, UNITED KINGDOM; DNA Plc, Kuopio, FINLAND; Equinix, Inc, Tampa, FL; IoT Lab, Geneva, SWITZERLAND; M1 Limited, Singapore, SINGAPORE; Multichoice Support Services (Pty) Ltd, Randburg, SOUTH AFRICA; ProximaX, Singapore, SINGAPORE; TDS Telecommunications LLC, Madison, WI; Telecom Namibia Limited, Windhoek, NAMIBIA; Telenor Myanmar Limited, Yangon, MYANMAR; The Libyan International Telecommunication Company, Tripoli, LIBYA; Total Access Communication Public Company Limited, Bangkok, THAILAND; Universitas Multimedia Nusantara, Tangerang, INDONESIA; Unryo Inc., Laval, CANADA; Veschatel LLC, Perm, RUSSIA; Webcircles BV, Oosterbeek, NETHERLANDS; WorkSpan, Foster City, CA; ZDSL.com, Kuala Lumpur, MALAYSIA.

Also, the following members have changed their names: ArchiTelco to EA-Workings B.V., Winchester, UNITED KINGDOM; Black Tangent Pte. Ltd. to Telecta Pte. Ltd., Singapore, SINGAPORE; GDi GISDATA LLC to GDi LLC, Zagreb, CROATIA; SigScale Global Inc. to SigScale, Toronto, CANADA; Telenor Myanmar to Telenor Myanmar Limited, Yangon, MYANMAR; T-Mobile Austria GmbH to Magenta Telekom, Vienna, AUSTRIA; WeDo Technologies to Mobileum Inc., Cupertino, CA.

In addition, the following parties have withdrawn as parties to this venture:

ABITEL Consulting GmbH, Düsseldorf, GERMANY; Agama Technologies, Linköping, SWEDEN; ALTIMA d.o.o., Zagreb, CROATIA; Apigate Sdn Bhd, Kuala Lumpur Sentral, SRI LANKA; Axiros GmbH, Munich Hoehenkirchen, GERMANY; City of Utrecht, Utrecht, NETHERLANDS; Ekinno Lab Sp. Z o.o., Gliwice, POLAND; GeoSpock Ltd., Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM; Guangzhou Sunrise Technology Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; HCL Hong Kong SAR Limited, Wan Chai, HONG KONG—CHINA; ITS Telco Services GmbH, Köln, GERMANY; John P. Reilly Sole Trader, Plano, TX; Minim Inc., Manchester, NH; NetComp, Lima, PERU; NetScout Systems, Westford, MA; NetworkedAssets GmbH, Berlin, GERMANY; NTS Retail KG, Wilhering, AUSTRIA; Open Systems S.A., Quito, ECUADOR; OS Group, St.Petersburg, RUSSIA; Pinplay, Seoul, SOUTH KOREA; Skylogic S.p.A., Torino, ITALY; Steward Bank, Harare, ZIMBABWE; The OpenNMS Group, Inc., Apex, NC; TV-7, Seversk, RUSSIA; VF Consulting SAC, Lima, PERU.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open and The Forum intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 21, 1988, The Forum filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 8, 1988 (53 FR 49615).

The last notification was filed with the Department on November 25, 2019. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on December 20, 2019 (84 FR 70210).

Suzanne Morris,

Chief, Premerger and Division Statistics Unit, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2020-02221 Filed 2-4-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction

Notice is hereby given that, on January 16, 2020, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Countering Weapons of Mass Destruction (“CWMD”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Apogee Group, LLC, Kennewick, WA; Blackthorne Services Group, Hanover, MA; eSpin Technologies, Inc., Chattanooga, TN; Lufburrow & Company, Inc., Havre De Grace, MD; Polestar Technologies, Inc., Needham Heights, MA; Proportional Technologies, Inc., Houston, TX; RingIR, Inc., Albuquerque, NM; Shipcom Federal Solutions, Balcamp, MD; Signalscape, Inc., Cary, NC; Systems Planning and Analysis, Inc. (SPA), Alexandria, VA; The Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona, Tuscon, AZ; University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI; Xilectric, Inc., Fall River, MA; and Xtallized Intelligence, Inc., Nashville, TN; have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, CogniTech Corporation, Salt Lake City, UT; CritiTech Particle Engineering Solutions, LLC, Lawrence, KS; Forge AI, Cambridge, MA; Interclype, Inc., Annapolis Junction, MD; Management Services Group, Inc., dba Global Technical Systems, Virginia Beach, VA; Offset Strategic Services, Fayetteville, TN; and Strategic Alliances Group, Inc., Havre de Grace, MD; have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open and CWMD intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On January 31, 2018, CWMD filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 12, 2018 (83 FR 10750).

The last notification was filed with the Department on October 23, 2019. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 18, 2019 (83 FR 63678).

Suzanne Morris,

Chief, Premerger and Division Statistics Unit, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2020-02222 Filed 2-4-20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Partial Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

On January 30, 2020, the Department of Justice lodged a partial consent decree (“Partial Consent Decree”) with the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in the lawsuit entitled *United States et al. v. Kohler Co.*, Civil Action No. C 20–00683.

The complaint in this case was filed against Defendant Kohler Co. (“Kohler”) concurrently with the lodging of the Partial Consent Decree and a separate consent decree to which Kohler and the People of the State of California, ex rel. California Air Resources Board (“CARB”) are parties (“State CD”). The complaint alleges that Kohler is liable for violations of Section 203 of the Clean Air Act (“Act”), 42 U.S.C. 7522. The People of the State of California, ex rel. CARB also alleges in the complaint that Kohler is liable for violations of California law.

Together, the Partial Consent Decree and the State CD would fully address Kohler’s alleged manufacture and sale of millions of small, nonroad, nonhandheld, spark-ignition engines that did not conform to the certification applications Kohler submitted covering the engines. Some of these engines were also equipped with a fueling strategy that is alleged to have significantly reduced emissions of oxides of nitrogen (“NO_x”) during certification testing when compared to real-world operation (commonly referred to as a “defeat device”). The United States and California seek civil penalties and injunctive relief for the violations jointly alleged in the complaint. Separately, the People of the State of California, ex rel. CARB seeks civil penalties and injunctive relief for alleged violations of California’s evaporative emissions standards.

The Partial Consent Decree is entered into by the United States, the People of the State of California, ex rel. CARB and Kohler. It would require Kohler to pay