

There appear to be no legitimate sources for 4-OH-DiPT, 5-MeO-AMT, 5-MeO-MiPT, 5-MeO-DET, and DiPT as marketed drugs and no accepted medical use in the United States, but DEA notes that these substances are available for purchase from legitimate suppliers for scientific research. There is no evidence of significant diversion of 4-OH-DiPT, 5-MeO-AMT, 5-MeO-MiPT, 5-MeO-DET, and DiPT from legitimate suppliers.

DEA has identified 31 domestic suppliers of one or more of the following substances: 4-hydroxy-*N,N*-diisopropyltryptamine (4-OH-DiPT), 5-methoxy-*alpha*-methyltryptamine (5-MeO-AMT), 5-methoxy-*N*-methyl-*N*-isopropyltryptamine (5-MeO-MiPT), 5-methoxy-*N,N*-diethyltryptamine (5-MeO-DET), and *N,N*-diisopropyltryptamine (DiPT). Thirty (30) of the 31 domestic suppliers are not registered with DEA to handle controlled substances. The one registered supplier is already registered with DEA and has all security and other handling processes in place, resulting in minimal impact to this supplier. Therefore, the remaining 30 non-registered domestic suppliers are affected. Since the vast majority of DEA registrants are small entities or are employed by small entities, all 30 affected suppliers are assumed to be small entities. It is impossible to know how much 4-hydroxy-*N,N*-diisopropyltryptamine (4-OH-DiPT), 5-methoxy-*alpha*-methyltryptamine (5-MeO-AMT), 5-methoxy-*N*-methyl-*N*-isopropyltryptamine (5-MeO-MiPT), 5-methoxy-*N,N*-diethyltryptamine (5-MeO-DET), and *N,N*-diisopropyltryptamine (DiPT) are distributed by these suppliers. It is common for suppliers to have items on their catalog while not actually having any material level of sales. Based on the discussion above, DEA believes any quantity of sales from these distributors for legitimate purposes is minimal. Therefore, these suppliers are expected to remove the product from their catalog rather than incur the cost of obtaining a DEA registration and physical security for products with minimal sales. Therefore, DEA estimates the cost of this rule, in form of lost sales, if any, on the affected small entities is minimal. DEA welcomes any public comment regarding this estimate.

Because of these facts, this proposed rule will not, if promulgated, result in a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### *Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995*

On the basis of information contained in the “Regulatory Flexibility Act”

section above, DEA has determined pursuant to the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*) that this proposed action would not result in any Federal mandate that may result “in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100,000,000 or more (adjusted annually for inflation) in any 1 year . . . .” Therefore, neither a Small Government Agency Plan nor any other action is required under UMRA of 1995.

#### **List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 1308**

Administrative practice and procedure, Drug traffic control, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set out above, DEA proposes to amend 21 CFR part 1308 as follows:

#### **PART 1308—SCHEDULES OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES**

■ 1. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 1308 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 811, 812, 871(b), 956(b), unless otherwise noted.

■ 2. In § 1308.11, as proposed to be amended at 86 FR 16553 (March 30, 2021), 86 FR 37719 (July 16, 2021), and 86 FR 69187 (December 7, 2021), add paragraphs (d)(101) through (105) to read as follows:

##### **§ 1308.11 Schedule I.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) \* \* \*

(101) 4-hydroxy-*N,N*-diisopropyltryptamine (other names: 4-OH-DiPT; 3-(2-(diisopropylamino)ethyl)-1*H*-indol-4-ol) 7516.

(102) 5-methoxy-*alpha*-methyltryptamine (Other names: 5-MeO-AMT; 1-(5-methoxy-1*H*-indol-3-yl)propan-2-amine) 7506.

(103) 5-methoxy-*N*-methyl-*N*-isopropyltryptamine (Other names: 5-MeO-MiPT; *N*-(2-(5-methoxy-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethyl)-*N*-methylpropan-2-amine) 7512.

(104) 5-methoxy-*N,N*-diethyltryptamine (Other names: 5-MeO-DET; *N,N*-diethyl-2-(5-methoxy-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanamine) 7525.

(105) *N,N*-diisopropyltryptamine (Other names: DiPT; *N*-(2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethyl)-*N*-isopropylpropan-2-amine) 7522.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Anne Milgram,**  
*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 2022–00713 Filed 1–13–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–09–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **National Indian Gaming Commission**

#### **25 CFR Part 537**

**RIN 3141–AA58**

#### **Background Investigations for Persons or Entities With a Financial Interest in or Having a Management Responsibility for a Management Contract; Correction**

**AGENCY:** National Indian Gaming Commission, Department of the Interior.  
**ACTION:** Proposed rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects the preamble to a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** of December 2, 2021, regarding Background Investigations for Persons or Entities with a Financial Interest in or Having a Management Responsibility for a Management Contract. The document contained incorrect dates for submitting comments. This correction clarifies that comments are due January 31, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael Hoenig, 202–632–7003.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Correction**

In the **Federal Register** of December 2, 2021, in proposed rule FR Doc. 2021–25844, on page 68446, in the second column, change the **DATES** caption to read:

**DATES:** Written comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before January 31, 2022.

Dated: January 6, 2022.

**Michael Hoenig,**  
*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2022–00631 Filed 1–13–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7565–01–P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **National Indian Gaming Commission**

#### **25 CFR Part 537**

**RIN 3141–AA77**

#### **Fees; Correction**

**AGENCY:** National Indian Gaming Commission, Department of the Interior.  
**ACTION:** Proposed rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects the preamble to a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** of December 2, 2021, regarding Fees. The document contained incorrect dates for submitting comments. This correction clarifies that comments are due January 31, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Michael Hoenig, 202–632–7003.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Correction**

In the **Federal Register** of December 2, 2021, in proposed rule FR Doc. 2021–25838, on page 68445, in the first column, change the **DATES** caption to read:

**DATES:** Written comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before January 31, 2022.

Dated: January 6, 2022.

**Michael Hoenig,**  
*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2022–00630 Filed 1–13–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7565–01–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**National Indian Gaming Commission**

**25 CFR Part 559**

**RIN 3141–AA73**

**Submission of Gaming Ordinance or Resolution; Correction**

**AGENCY:** National Indian Gaming Commission, Department of the Interior.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects the preamble to a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** of December 9, 2021, regarding the Submission of Gaming Ordinances or Resolutions. The document contained incorrect dates for submitting comments. This correction clarifies that comments are due February 7, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Michael Hoenig, 202–632–7003.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Correction**

In the **Federal Register** of December 1, 2021, in proposed rule FR Doc. 2021–25843, on page 70067, in the third column, change the **DATES** caption to read:

**DATES:** Written comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before February 7, 2022.

Dated: January 6, 2022.

**Michael Hoenig,**  
*General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2022–00636 Filed 1–13–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7565–01–P**

**POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**39 CFR Part 3050**

[Docket No. RM2022–3; Order No. 6090]

**Periodic Reporting**

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is acknowledging a recent filing requesting the Commission initiate a rulemaking proceeding to consider changes to analytical principles relating to periodic reports (Proposal One). This document informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:**

*Comments are due:* March 14, 2022.

*Reply comments are due:* March 28, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction
- II. Proposal One
- III. Notice and Comment
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

**I. Introduction**

On January 5, 2022, the Postal Service filed a petition pursuant to 39 CFR 3050.11 requesting that the Commission initiate a rulemaking proceeding to consider changes to analytical principles relating to periodic reports.<sup>1</sup> The Petition identifies the proposed analytical changes filed in this docket as Proposal One.

<sup>1</sup> Petition of the United States Postal Service for the Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Changes in Analytical Principles (Proposal One), January 5, 2022 (Petition). The Petition was accompanied by a study supporting its proposal. See Michael D. Bradley (Bradley), *On the Estimation of a Top-Down Model for City Carrier Street Time*\*, January 5, 2022. The Postal Service also filed a notice of filing of public and non-public materials relating to Proposal One. Notice of Filing of USPS–RM2022–3–1 and USPS–RM2022–3–NP1 and Application for Nonpublic Treatment, January 5, 2022.

**II. Proposal One**

*Background.* In Docket No. RM2015–7,<sup>2</sup> the Postal Service proposed, and the Commission accepted, an approach to estimating variabilities for city carrier street time that relied upon three separate econometric equations, one for letters and flats, one for in-receptacle parcels, and one for deviation parcels and accounts. Petition, Proposal One at 1. The Commission directed the Postal Service to undertake an effort to investigate what data would be needed to estimate a unified, or “top-down,” model. *Id.* Subsequent to Docket No. RM2015–7, the Commission opened a public inquiry case, Docket No. PI2017–1,<sup>3</sup> to follow the Postal Service's progress in updating its data capabilities and modeling efforts for city carrier street time and specified the type and structure of the data set that would be appropriate to estimate a top-down model. *Id.* at 2. In response to the Commission's directives, the Postal Service compiled the appropriate data, investigated alternative methods of estimating a top-down model, and specified a model that includes an estimation of new variabilities for city carrier, letter route, and street time. *Id.* at 2–3.

*Proposal.* Proposal One would update the methodology for calculating attributable city carrier, letter route, street time costs by employing an overall top-down model that replies upon expanded operational carrier data. *Id.* at 1, 3. The Postal Service proposes that the new top-down study would improve the previous analysis of letter route street time by making use of ongoing operation data systems; incorporating seasonality effects and controls for day-of-week effects; including new characteristic variables that help control for non-volume variations in hours across ZIP Codes; relying upon a new econometric technique, called a correlated random effects model, that controls for unobserved differences across ZIP Codes while explicitly recognizing those differences are correlated with volume; taking a holistic approach to analyzing city street time; and producing marginal delivery and collection times that comport with current carrier street time operational practice. *Id.* at 3–4.

The overall levels of variabilities produced by the top-down model is lower than the current model because a

<sup>2</sup> See Docket No. RM2015–7, Order Approving Analytical Principles Used in Periodic Reporting (Proposal Thirteen), October 29, 2015, at 66 (Order No. 2792).

<sup>3</sup> See Docket No. PI2017–1, Interim Order, November 2, 2018, at 16 (Order No. 4869).