

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA-R09-OAR-2009-0384; FRL-8959-7]

**Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is finalizing approval of revisions to the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions were proposed in the **Federal Register** on July 13, 2009 and concern

oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions from Stationary Gas Turbines. We are approving a local rule that regulates these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* This rule is effective on November 20, 2009.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established docket number EPA-R09-OAR-2009-0384 for this action. The index to the docket is available electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov> and in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents in the docket are listed in the index, some information may be publicly available only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material), and some may not be publicly available in either location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the

hard copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with the contact listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Idalia Perez, EPA Region IX, (415) 942-3248, [perez.idalia@epa.gov](mailto:perez.idalia@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document, “we,” “us” and “our” refer to EPA.

**Table of Contents**

- I. Proposed Action
- II. Public Comments and EPA Responses
- III. EPA Action
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. Proposed Action**

On July 13, 2009 (74 FR 33395), EPA proposed to approve the following rule into the California SIP.

| Local agency  | Rule No. | Rule title                    | Adopted  | Submitted |
|---------------|----------|-------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| SJVAPCD ..... | 4703     | Stationary Gas Turbines ..... | 09/20/07 | 03/07/08  |

We proposed to approve this rule because we determined that it complied with the relevant CAA requirements. Our proposed action contains more information on the rules and our evaluation.

**II. Public Comments and EPA Responses**

EPA's proposed action provided a 30-day public comment period. During this period, we received no comments.

**III. EPA Action**

No comments were submitted that change our assessment that the submitted rule complies with the relevant CAA requirements. Therefore, as authorized in section 110(k)(3) of the Act, EPA is fully approving this rule into the California SIP.

**IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews**

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves State law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office

of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);

- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);

- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and

- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible

methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the State, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by December 21, 2009. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time

within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: August 26, 2009.

**Laura Yoshii,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region IX.*

■ Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

#### Subpart F—California

■ 2. Section 52.220 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(354)(i)(E)(5) to read as follows:

##### § 52.220 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*  
(354) \* \* \*  
(i) \* \* \*  
(E) \* \* \*

(5) Rule 4703, “Stationary Gas Turbines,” adopted on September 20, 2007.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. E9–25173 Filed 10–20–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

##### Federal Railroad Administration

#### 49 CFR Part 213

[Docket No. FRA–2008–0036]

RIN 2130–AB90

#### Track Safety Standards; Continuous Welded Rail (CWR)

**AGENCY:** Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

**SUMMARY:** FRA published a final rule in the **Federal Register** on August 25, 2009, revising the Track Safety Standards. The final rule included compliance dates for Class I, II, and III

railroads only. The final rule inadvertently omitted compliance dates for commuter railroads, intercity passenger railroads, and any other additional railroads that have continuous welded rail (CWR). This document corrects the final rule by including compliance dates for the omitted railroads and amending a reference to the effective date in the rule text.

**DATES:** *Effective date:* This correcting amendment is effective October 21, 2009. *Compliance dates:* October 9, 2009 for Class I railroads; November 23, 2009 for Class II railroads, commuter railroads, and intercity passenger railroads; and February 22, 2010 for Class III railroads and any other additional railroads with CWR.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kenneth Rusk, Staff Director, Office of Railroad Safety, FRA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20590 (telephone: (202) 493–6236); or Sarah Grimmer Yurasko, Trial Attorney, Office of the Chief Counsel, FRA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE., Washington, DC 20950 (telephone: (202) 493–6390).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** So that the agency would be better able to review CWR plans as required by the final rule published August 25, 2009 (74 FR 42988), FRA determined that there are three different compliance dates for railroads containing CWR, based on the railroad size.<sup>1</sup> In the final rule, FRA stated that the compliance date for Class I railroads is October 9, 2009 (45 days after the publication date), the compliance date for Class II railroads is November 23, 2009 (90 days after the publication date), and the compliance date is February 22, 2010 (180 days after the publication date) for Class III railroads. FRA inadvertently left commuter railroads, intercity passenger railroads, and any other additional railroads with CWR track out of the compliance schedule; therefore, FRA is now clarifying that the compliance date for commuter railroads and intercity passenger railroads is November 23, 2009, and the compliance date for any other additional railroads with CWR is February 22, 2010.

Due to this inadvertent error, FRA is also changing the date listed at 49 CFR 213.119(c)(2). This paragraph states that, in the case of a bolted joint installed during CWR installation after August 25, 2009 (the publication date of the final rule), within 60 days the track owner must either: (1) Weld the joint; (2) install a joint with six bolts;<sup>2</sup> or (3)

anchor every tie 195 feet in both directions of the joint.

#### List of Subjects in 49 CFR Part 213

Penalties, Railroad safety, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

■ Accordingly, 49 CFR part 213 is corrected by making the following correcting amendment:

#### PART 213—TRACK SAFETY STANDARDS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 213 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 20102–20114 and 20142; 28 U.S.C. 2461, note; and 49 CFR 1.49(m).

##### § 213.119 [Amended]

■ 2. In § 213.119(c)(2), remove the date of “August 25, 2009”, and add in its place “October 21, 2009”.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 30, 2009.

**Joseph C. Szabo,**  
*Administrator.*

[FR Doc. E9–25278 Filed 10–20–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–06–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

##### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Parts 223 and 622

[Docket No. 0910141365–91366–01]

RIN 0648–AY21

#### Sea Turtle Conservation; Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule implements an area closure and associated gear restrictions applicable to the bottom longline component of the reef fish fishery in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ) of the Gulf of Mexico to reduce incidental take and mortality of sea turtles. Specifically, this rule prohibits the use of bottom longline gear for the harvest of reef fish shoreward of a line approximating the 35–fathom depth contour in the eastern Gulf of Mexico and limits bottom longline vessels operating in the reef fish fishery east of longitude 85°30'W to 1,000 hooks onboard, of which only 750

<sup>1</sup> See 49 CFR 1201.1–1(a).

<sup>2</sup> See 49 CFR 213.121(e), stating that, in the case of CWR, each rail shall be bolted with at least two

bolts at each joint. This is a total of four bolts required at each joint.