

(c) The number of male and female inmates under their jurisdiction housed in privately-operated facility, either in state or out of state;

(d) The number of male and female inmates in their custody by race and Hispanic origin;

(e) The number of male and female inmates under the age of 18 held in their system; and

(f) The number of male and female non-citizen inmates held in their system.

For the NPS-1B form, 51 central reporters (one from each and the Federal Bureau of Prisons) responsible for keeping records on inmates will be asked to provide information for the following categories:

(a) As of December 31, the number of male and female inmates within their custody and under their jurisdiction with maximum sentences of more than one year, one year or less; and unsentenced inmates;

(b) The number of inmates housed in privately operated facilities, county or other local authority correctional facilities, or in other state or Federal facilities on December 31;

(c) Prison admission information in the calendar year for the following categories: New court commitments, parole violators, other conditional release violators returned, transfers from other jurisdictions, AWOLs and escapees returned, and returns from appeal and bond;

(d) Prison release information in the calendar year for the following categories: Expirations of sentence, commutations, other conditional releases, probations, supervised mandatory releases, paroles, other conditional releases, deaths by cause, AWOLs, escapes, transfers to other jurisdictions, and releases to appeal or bond;

(e) Number of inmates under jurisdiction on December 31 by race and Hispanic origin;

(f) Testing of incoming inmates for HIV; and HIV infection and AIDS cases on December 31; and

(g) The aggregate rated, operational, and design capacities, by sex, of each State's correctional facilities at year-end.

The Bureau of Justice Statistics uses this information in published reports and for the U.S. Congress, Executive Office of the President, practitioners, researchers, students, the media, and others interested in criminal justice statistics.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time needed for an average respondent to respond to both forms: 51 respondents each taking an average 8.0 total hours to

respond (1.5 hours for the NPS-1A and 6.5 hours for the NPS-1B). Burden hours are up by 255 hours under this clearance because we are adding the elements from the NPS-1 form (approved under OMB number 1121-0078), with 51 respondents each taking an estimated 6 hours to complete. However, we are also eliminating the previous NPS-1B form due to redundancy, 51 respondents at 1.5 hours each, thus reducing the overall burden of the NPS series by 76.5 hours.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 408 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required, contact: Ms. Lynn Bryant, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning staff, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: November 30, 2007.

Lynn Bryant,

Department Clearance Officer, PRA, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. E7-23677 Filed 12-5-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (07-085)]

NASA Advisory Committee; Renewal of NASA's Aerospace Safety Advisory Panel Charter

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of renewal and amendment of the Charter of the Aerospace Safety Advisory Panel.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to sections 14(b)(1) and 9(c) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), and after consultation with the Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration, the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration has determined that a renewal of the Aerospace Safety Advisory Panel is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed upon NASA by law. The structure and duties of this panel is unchanged.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. P. Diane Rausch, Office of External Relations, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358-4510.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Information regarding the Aerospace Safety Advisory Panel is available on

the World Wide Web at: <http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/oer/asap/index.html>.

Dated: November 28, 2007.

P. Diane Rausch,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. E7-23693 Filed 12-5-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notice of Permits Issued Under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978

AGENCY: National Science Foundation.

ACTION: Notice of permits issued under the Antarctic Conservation of 1978, Public Law 95-541.

SUMMARY: The National Science Foundation (NSF) is required to publish notice of permits issued under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978. This is the required notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nadene G. Kennedy, Permit Office, Office of Polar Programs, Rm. 755, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 31, 2007, the National Science Foundation published a notice in the **Federal Register** of permit applications received. A permit was issued on November 30, 2007 to: Christopher Linder, Permit No. 2008-030.

Nadene G. Kennedy,
Permit Officer.

[FR Doc. E7-23585 Filed 12-5-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meetings

NAME OF AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., Tuesday, December 11, 2007.

PLACE: Commission conference room, 901 New York Avenue, NW., Suite 200, Washington, DC 20268-0001.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Agency organization.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202-789-6820 or stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

Dated: Monday, December 4, 2007.

Steven W. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 07-5976 Filed 12-4-07; 2:25 pm]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Upon Written Request, Copies Available

From: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Investor Education and Advocacy, Washington, DC 20549-0213.

Extension:

NAR Exemptive Request; OMB Control No. 3235-XXXX; SEC File No. 270-573.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") a request for pre-approval of an exemptive request by the National Association of Realtors® ("NAR") pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*) ("Exchange Act").

Background

NAR has requested an exemption pursuant to Sections 15(a)(2) and 36(a) of the Exchange Act from the broker-dealer registration requirements of Section 15(a)(1) and the reporting and other requirements of the Exchange Act (other than Sections 15(b)(4) and 15(b)(6)), and the rules and regulations thereunder, that apply to a broker or dealer that is not registered with the Commission. Subject to the conditions specified in NAR's application ("Application"), the requested exemption would allow any licensed real estate agent or broker who is predominantly engaged in and has substantial experience in the sale of commercial real estate ("Commercial Real Estate Professional") and the real estate brokerage firm with which he or she is licensed ("Real Estate Firm") (collectively, a "RE Participant") to receive a real estate advisory fee ("Real Estate Advisory Fee") from a purchaser of an undivided tenant-in-common interest in real property ("TIC Interest") that is offered and sold together with other arrangements that cause it to be deemed to be a security under the federal securities laws ("TIC Security").

Under NAR's exemptive request, a Real Estate Advisory Fee could be paid by the purchaser directly or on behalf of

the purchaser by the sponsor or issuer of the TIC Security, which could, thereby, reduce the commission or other compensation received by a registered broker-dealer involved in the TIC Security transaction. The Real Estate Advisory Fee generally would be paid to the Real Estate Firm with which the Commercial Real Estate Professional is licensed. The Firm would distribute all or a previously agreed upon percentage of the Real Estate Advisory Fee to the Commercial Real Estate Professional that signed a buyer's agent agreement with the client and to any other Commercial Real Estate Professional or Real Estate Firm that was added to the agreement with the consent of the client.

Proposed Collections of Information

The requested exemption would contain five collections of information. First, the requested exemption would require a RE Participant to deliver a copy of the executed buyer's agent agreement to the registered broker-dealer acting as a placement agent ("Lead Placement Agent"). The purpose of the first collection is to assist in implementing the requested exemption and monitoring for compliance with the exemption's conditions. The proposed delivery requirement is designed to ensure that the Lead Placement Agent has a copy of the buyer's agent agreement in order to comply with its recordkeeping obligations discussed below, which would facilitate monitoring for compliance. Without this collection of information, the Commission and applicable self-regulatory organization ("SRO") would be unable to monitor the Lead Placement Agent's compliance.

Second, the requested exemption would require any Commercial Real Estate Professional that is to receive, directly or indirectly, a portion of a Real Estate Advisory Fee to not be subject to any "statutory disqualification," as defined in Section 3(a)(39) of the Exchange Act (other than subparagraph (E) of that section), and to deliver a representation in writing to that effect to the Lead Placement Agent at closing. The purpose of the second collection is to ensure that the Lead Placement Agent has a copy of the statutory disqualification representation in order to comply with its recordkeeping obligations, which would facilitate monitoring for compliance with the conditions of the requested exemption. Without this collection of information, the Commission and applicable SRO would be unable to monitor the Lead Placement Agent's compliance.

Third, the requested exemption would require broker-dealers that sell the TIC Securities as participating brokers ("Selling Broker-Dealers") to deliver a representation in writing that the Selling Broker-Dealer performed a suitability analysis to the Lead Placement Agent at closing, or, if the Selling Broker-Dealer is the Lead Placement Agent, to make such a representation in writing at closing. The purpose of the third collection is to ensure that the Lead Placement Agent has a copy of the suitability analysis in order to comply with its recordkeeping obligations, which would facilitate monitoring compliance with the conditions of the requested exemption. Without this collection of information, the Commission and applicable SRO would be unable to monitor the Lead Placement Agent's compliance and would be unable to ensure that the Selling Broker-Dealer had conducted an appropriate suitability analysis.

Fourth, the requested exemption would require a Selling Broker-Dealer that determines that a TIC Security transaction is not suitable to obtain a written affirmation that the customer wants to proceed with the TIC Security transaction notwithstanding the Selling Broker-Dealer's determination. It also would require the Selling Broker-Dealer to deliver the written affirmation to the Lead Placement Agent at closing or, if the Selling Broker-Dealer is the Lead Placement Agent, to maintain the written affirmation consistent with the record retention provisions of Exchange Act Rule 17a-4. The purpose of the fourth collection is to ensure that the customer is informed if a Selling Broker-Dealer determines a transaction is not suitable, and, if the customer wants to proceed with the transaction, that the customer has made such a decision in light of the broker-dealer's determination. In addition, the proposed delivery requirement is designed to ensure that the Lead Placement Agent has a copy of the customer affirmation in order to comply with its recordkeeping obligations, which would facilitate monitoring for compliance with the conditions of the requested exemption. Without this collection of information, the Commission and applicable SRO would be unable to monitor the Lead Placement Agent's compliance and would be unable to ensure that the Selling Broker-Dealer had conducted a suitability analysis and informed the client of this determination.

Fifth, the requested exemption would require the Lead Placement Agent to maintain a copy of each of the documents that is to be made and/or