

Persons filing written submissions must file with the Office of the Secretary the original and 12 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment is granted by the Commission will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

The Commission has extended the target date for completion of this investigation by 30 days, *i.e.*, until March 1, 2006.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42–.46 and section 210.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42–.46, 51).

Issued: December 16, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E5–7714 Filed 12–21–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–287 (Review)]

Raw In-Shell Pistachios From Iran

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on raw in-shell pistachios from Iran would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on March 1, 2005,² and

determined on June 6, 2005, that it would conduct a full review.³ Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on June 30, 2005.⁴ The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on October 11, 2005, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on December 15, 2005. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3824 (December 2005), entitled *Raw In-Shell Pistachios from Iran: Investigation No. 731–TA–287 (Review)*.

Issued: December 19, 2005.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E5–7719 Filed 12–21–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–510 (Advisory Opinion Proceedings)]

Systems for Detecting and Removing Viruses or Worms, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination to Institute Advisory Opinion Proceedings

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to institute advisory opinion proceedings in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–3152. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E

Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), was instituted by the Commission on June 3, 2004, based on a complaint filed by Trend Micro Inc. ("Trend Micro") of Cupertino, California. 69 FR 32044–45 (June 8, 2004). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation into the United States, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain systems for detecting and removing computer viruses or worms, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of claims 1–22 of U.S. Patent No. 5,623,600 ("the '600 patent'"). The notice of investigation named Fortinet of Sunnyvale, California as the sole respondent.

On May 9, 2005, the ALJ issued his final initial determination ("ID") finding a violation of section 337 based on his findings that claims 4, 7, 8, and 11–15 of the '600 patent are not invalid or unenforceable, and are infringed by respondent's products. The ALJ also found that claims 1 and 3 of the '600 patent are invalid as anticipated by prior art and that a domestic industry exists. He also issued a recommended determination on remedy and bonding.

On July 8, 2005, the Commission issued notice that it had determined not to review the ALJ's final ID on violation, thereby finding a violation of Section 337. 70 FR 40731 (July 14, 2005). The Commission also requested briefing on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Id. Submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding were filed on July 18, 2005, by all parties. All parties filed response submissions on July 25, 2005. On August 8, 2005, the Commission terminated the investigation, and issued a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order covering respondent's systems for detecting and removing viruses or worms, components thereof, and products containing same covered by claims 4, 7, 8, and 11–15 of the '600 patent.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

² 70 FR 9976.

³ 70 FR 35116, June 16, 2005 (Chairman Koplan, Commissioner Miller, and Commissioner Hillman dissenting).

⁴ 70 FR 37867.