#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application (03–09–C–00–SLC) To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Salt Lake City International Airport, Submitted by the Salt Lake City Department of Airports, Salt Lake City, UT

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to rule on application.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use PFC revenue at Salt Lake City International Airport under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 158).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before December 3, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Mr. Craig A. Sparks, Manager; Denver Airports District Office, DEN–ADO, Federal Aviation Administration; 26805 East 68th Avenue, Suite 224, Denver, Colorado 80249.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Timothy L. Campbell, Executive Director, at the following address: Salt Lake City Department of Airports, 776 N. Terminal Dr., TUI, Suite 250, Salt Lake City, Utah 84122.

Åir Carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to Salt Lake City International Airport, under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Christopher J. Schaffer, (303) 342–1258, 26805 East 68th Avenue, Suite 224, Denver, Colorado 80249, The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application 03–09–C–00–SLC to impose and use PFC revenue at Salt Lake City International Airport, under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 40117 and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 158).

On October 22, 2003, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the Salt Lake City Department of Airports, Salt Lake City, Utah, was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or

disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than January 22, 2004.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$4.50. Proposed charge effective date: August 1, 2006.

Proposed charge expiration date: May 31, 2007.

Total requested for use approval: \$25,459,000.

Brief description of proposed projects: Concourse E improvements, Concourse B remodel, Terminal Unit II east expansion, Terminal Unit II outbound baggage system, Terminal Unit I bag claim expansion, airfield equipment, and glycol land application piping.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFC's: All air taxi/ commercial operators filing or required to file FAA Form 1800–31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT and at the FAA Regional Airports Office located at: Federal Aviation Administration, Northwest Mountain Region, Airports Division, ANM–600, 1601 Lind Avenue SW, Suite 315, Renton, WA 98055–4056.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at Salt Lake City International Airport.

Issued in Renton, Washington on October 22, 2003.

# Carolyn T. Read,

Acting Manager, Planning, Programming and Capacity Branch, Northwest Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 03–27509 Filed 10–31–03; 8:45 am]

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### **Federal Highway Administration**

Environmental Impact Statement: Chisago County, MN and Polk County, WI

**AGENCY:** Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to terminate EIS.

**SUMMARY:** The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) process for proposed transportation improvements in the Trunk Highway (TH) 8 corridor between Interstate 35 (I–35) to the west in Chisago County, Minnesota and the TH 8/Highway 35

intersection to the east in Polk County, Wisconsin is terminated. The original Notice of Intent for this EIS process was published in the **Federal Register** on May 14, 2002.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cheryl Martin, Environmental Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, Galtier Plaza, Suite 500, 380 Jackson Street, St. Paul, Minnesota 55101, Telephone (651) 291–6120; or Tod Sherman, Project Manager, Minnesota Department of Transportation—Metro Division, Waters Edge Building, 1500 West County Road B–2, Roseville, Minnesota 55113, Telephone (651) 582–1548; (651) 296–9930 TTY.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the Minnesota Department of Transportation (Mn/DOT) and the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (Wis/DOT), has terminated the EIS process begun in 2002 to provide safety, operational and capacity improvements to the TH 8 Corridor from I-35 to the west in Chisago County, Minnesota to the intersection of TH 8/Highway 35 to the east in Polk County, Wisconsin. The original proposed project could have included capacity expansion on sections of TH 8, upgrading existing roadway systems in the Corridor, providing geometric/traffic control access improvements along TH 8, and providing new roadway facilities including some alternatives that utilize the TH 243 bridge crossing over the St. Croix River.

The "Trunk Highway 8 Scoping Document/Draft Scoping Decision Document" was published in September 2002, and copies of the document were distributed to agencies, interested persons and libraries for review to aid in identifying issues and analyses to be contained in the EIS. A 45-day comment period for review of the document was provided to afford an opportunity for all interest persons, agencies and groups to comment on the proposed action. A public Scoping Meeting was also on October 21, 2002. Public notice was given for the time and place of the meeting, and approximately 400 people were in attendance.

As a result of the scoping process, including agency and public comments, FHWA and Mn/DOT, in consultation with Wis/DOT, Chisago County, the TH 8 Task Force and TH 8 Technical Advisory Committee, decided to eliminate alternatives that included proposed transportation facilities on a new location from further consideration. The proposed action has been modified to include only transportation