

This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (NTTAA), Public Law 104-113, section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note). Since tolerances and exemptions that are established on the basis of a petition under section 408(d) of the FFDCA, such as the exemption in this final rule, do not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) do not apply. In addition, the Agency has determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132, entitled *Federalism* (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). Executive Order 13132 requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure “meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications.” “Policies that have federalism implications” is defined in the Executive order to include regulations that have “substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.” This final rule directly regulates growers, food processors, food handlers and food retailers, not States. This action does not alter the relationships or distribution of power and responsibilities established by Congress in the preemption provisions of section 408(n)(4) of the FFDCA. For these same reasons, the Agency has determined that this rule does not have any “tribal implications” as described in Executive Order 13175, entitled *Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments* (65 FR 67249, November 6, 2000). Executive Order 13175, requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure “meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications.” “Policies that have tribal implications” is defined in the Executive order to include regulations that have “substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and the Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and

responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.” This rule will not have substantial direct effects on tribal governments, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified in Executive Order 13175. Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this rule.

XII. Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of this rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: July 10, 2006.

Lois Rossi,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

■ Therefore, 40 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

PART 180—[AMENDED]

■ 1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346a and 371

■ 2. In § 180.960 the table is amended by alphabetically adding a polymer to read as follow:

§ 180.960 Polymers; exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance.

Polymer	CAS No.
* * *	* *
2H-Azepin-2-one, 1-ethenylhexahydro-, homopolymer	25189-83-7
* * *	* *

[FR Doc. E6-11953 Filed 7-25-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0556; FRL-8077-5]

2-Propenoic Acid, 2-Methyl-, Polymer with Ethenylbenzene, 2-Ethylhexyl 2-Propenoate, 2-Hydroxyethyl 2-Propenoate, N-(Hydroxymethyl) -2-Methyl-2-Propenamide and Methyl 2-Methyl-2-Propenoate, Ammonium Salt; Tolerance Exemption

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This regulation establishes an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt when used as an inert ingredient in a pesticide chemical formulation. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc. submitted a petition to EPA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), as amended by the Food Quality Protection Act of 1996 (FQPA) requesting an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance. This regulation eliminates the need to establish a maximum permissible level for residues of 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt.

DATES: This regulation is effective July 26, 2006. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before September 25, 2006, and must be filed in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178 (see also Unit I.C. of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**).

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0556. All documents in the docket are listed in the index for the docket. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as

copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available in the electronic docket at <http://www.regulations.gov>, or, if only available in hard copy, at the OPP Regulatory Public Docket in Rm. S-4400, One Potomac Yard (South Building), 2777 S. Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA. The Docket Facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The Docket telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bipin Gandhi, Registration Division (7505P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (703) 308-8380; e-mail address: gandhi.bipin@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. General Information

A. Does this Action Apply to Me?

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. Potentially affected entities may include, but are not limited to:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

This listing is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. Other types of entities not listed in this unit could also be affected. The North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes have been provided to assist you and others in determining whether this action might apply to certain entities. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

B. How Can I Access Electronic Copies of this Document?

In addition to accessing an electronic copy of this **Federal Register** document through the electronic docket at <http://www.regulations.gov>, you may access this "**Federal Register**" document electronically through the EPA Internet under the "**Federal Register**" listings at <http://www.epa.gov/fedrgstr>. You may also access a frequently updated electronic version of 40 CFR part 180 through the Government Printing

Office's pilot e-CFR site at <http://www.gpoaccess.gov/ecfr>.

C. Can I File an Objection or Hearing Request?

Under section 408(g) of the FFDCA, as amended by the FQPA, any person may file an objection to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. The EPA procedural regulations which govern the submission of objections and requests for hearings appear in 40 CFR part 178. You must file your objection or request a hearing on this regulation in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, you must identify docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0556 in the subject line on the first page of your submission. All requests must be in writing, and must be mailed or delivered to the Hearing Clerk on or before September 25, 2006.

In addition to filing an objection or hearing request with the Hearing Clerk as described in 40 CFR part 178, please submit a copy of the filing that does not contain any CBI for inclusion in the public docket that is described in **ADDRESSES**. Information not marked confidential pursuant to 40 CFR part 2 may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. Submit your copies, identified by docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2006-0556, by one of the following methods.

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.
- **Mail:** Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP) Regulatory Public Docket (7502P), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20460-0001.
- **Delivery:** OPP Regulatory Public Docket (7502P), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. S-4400, One Potomac Yard (South Building), 2777 S. Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA. Deliveries are only accepted during the Docket's normal hours of operation (8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays). Special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information. The Docket telephone number is (703) 305-5805.

II. Background and Statutory Findings

In the **Federal Register** of April 26, 2006 (71 FR 24693) (FRL-8066-3), EPA issued a notice pursuant to section 408 of the FFDCA, 21 U.S.C. 346a, as amended by the FQPA (Public Law 104-170), announcing the filing of a pesticide petition (PP 6E7037) by E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Inc., 1007 Market St., Wilmington, DE 19898.

The petition requested that 40 CFR 180.960 be amended by establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt; CAS Reg. No. 146753-99-3. That notice included a summary of the petition prepared by the petitioner. There were no comments in response to the notice of filing.

Section 408(c)(2)(A)(i) of FFDCA allows EPA to establish an exemption from the requirement for a tolerance (the legal limit for a pesticide chemical residue in or on a food) only if EPA determines that the tolerance is "safe." Section 408(c)(2)(A)(ii) of FFDCA defines "safe" to mean that "there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information." This includes exposure through drinking water and in residential settings, but does not include occupational exposure. Section 408(b)(2)(C) of the FFDCA requires EPA to give special consideration to exposure of infants and children to the pesticide chemical residue in establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance and to "ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue. . ." and specifies factors EPA is to consider in establishing an exemption.

III. Inert Ingredient Definition

Inert ingredients are all ingredients that are not active ingredients as defined in 40 CFR 153.125 and include, but are not limited to, the following types of ingredients (except when they have a pesticidal efficacy of their own): Solvents such as alcohols and hydrocarbons; surfactants such as polyoxyethylene polymers and fatty acids; carriers such as clay and diatomaceous earth; thickeners such as carrageenan and modified cellulose; wetting, spreading, and dispersing agents; propellants in aerosol dispensers; microencapsulating agents; and emulsifiers. The term "inert" is not intended to imply nontoxicity; the ingredient may or may not be chemically active. Generally, EPA has exempted inert ingredients from the requirement of a tolerance based on the

low toxicity of the individual inert ingredients.

IV. Risk Assessment and Statutory Findings

EPA establishes exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance only in those cases where it can be shown that the risks from aggregate exposure to pesticide chemical residues under reasonably foreseeable circumstances will pose no appreciable risks to human health. In order to determine the risks from aggregate exposure to pesticide inert ingredients, the Agency considers the toxicity of the inert in conjunction with possible exposure to residues of the inert ingredient through food, drinking water, and through other exposures that occur as a result of pesticide use in residential settings. If EPA is able to determine that a finite tolerance is not necessary to ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the inert ingredient, an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance may be established.

Consistent with section 408(b)(2)(D) of the FFDCA, EPA has reviewed the available scientific data and other relevant information in support of this action and considered its validity, completeness and reliability and the relationship of this information to human risk. EPA has also considered available information concerning the variability of the sensitivities of major identifiable subgroups of consumers, including infants and children. In the case of certain chemical substances that are defined as polymers, the Agency has established a set of criteria to identify categories of polymers that should present minimal or no risk. The definition of a polymer is given in 40 CFR 723.250(b). The following exclusion criteria for identifying these low risk polymers are described in 40 CFR 723.250(d).

1. The polymer, 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt, is not a cationic polymer nor is it reasonably anticipated to become a cationic polymer in a natural aquatic environment.

2. The polymer, 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt, does contain as an integral part of its

composition the atomic elements carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen and oxygen.

3. The polymer, 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt, does not contain as an integral part of its composition, except as impurities, any element other than those listed in 40 CFR 723.250(d)(2)(ii).

4. The polymer, 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt, is neither designed nor can it be reasonably anticipated to substantially degrade, decompose, or depolymerize.

5. The polymer, 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt, is manufactured or imported from monomers and/or reactants that are already included on the TSCA Chemical Substance Inventory or manufactured under an applicable TSCA section 5 exemption.

6. The polymer, 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt, is not a water absorbing polymer with a number average molecular weight (MW) greater than or equal to 10,000 daltons.

Additionally, the polymer, 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt, also meets as required the following exemption criteria specified in 40 CFR 723.250(e).

7. The number average molecular weight (MW) of polymer, 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt, is 29,296 which is greater than or equal to 10,000 daltons. The polymer contains less than 2% oligomeric material below MW 500 and less than 5% oligomeric material below MW 1,000.

Thus, 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt meet all the criteria for a polymer to be considered low risk under 40 CFR 723.250. Based on its conformance to the above criteria, no mammalian toxicity is anticipated from dietary, inhalation, or dermal exposure to 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt.

V. Aggregate Exposures

For the purposes of assessing potential exposure under this exemption, EPA considered that 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt could be present in all raw and processed agricultural commodities and drinking water, and that non-occupational non-dietary exposure was possible. The number average MW of 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt is 29,296 daltons. Generally, a polymer of this size would be poorly absorbed through the intact gastrointestinal tract or through intact human skin. Since 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt conform to the criteria that identify a low risk polymer, there are no concerns for risks associated with any potential exposure scenarios that are reasonably foreseeable. The Agency has determined that a tolerance is not necessary to protect the public health.

VI. Cumulative Effects

Section 408 (b)(2)(D)(v) of FFDCA requires that, when considering whether to establish, modify, or revoke a tolerance or tolerance exemption, the Agency consider "available information" concerning the cumulative effects of a particular chemical's residues and "other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity."

EPA does not have, at this time, available data to determine whether 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt has a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances. Unlike other pesticides for which EPA has followed a cumulative risk approach based on a common mechanism of toxicity, EPA has not made a common mechanism of toxicity finding as to 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt and any other substances and 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt does not appear to produce a toxic metabolite produced by other substances. For the purposes of this tolerance action, therefore, EPA has not assumed that 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt has a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances. For information regarding EPA's efforts to determine which chemicals have a common mechanism of toxicity and to evaluate the cumulative effects of such chemicals, see the policy statements released by EPA's Office of Pesticide Programs concerning common mechanism determinations and procedures for cumulating effects from substances found to have a common mechanism on EPA's website at <http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/cumulative>.

VII. Additional Safety Factor for the Protection of Infants and Children

Section 408 of FFDCA provides that EPA shall apply an additional tenfold margin of safety for infants and children in the case of threshold effects to account for prenatal and postnatal toxicity and the completeness of the data base unless EPA concludes that a different margin of safety will be safe for infants and children. Due to the expected low toxicity of 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-

propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt, EPA has not used a safety factor analysis to assess the risk. For the same reasons the additional tenfold safety factor is unnecessary.

VIII. Determination of Safety

Based on the conformance to the criteria used to identify a low risk polymer, EPA concludes that there is a reasonable certainty of no harm to the U.S. population, including infants and children, from aggregate exposure to residues of 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt.

IX. Other Considerations

A. Endocrine Disruptors

There is no available evidence that 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt is an endocrine disruptor.

B. Analytical Enforcement Methodology

An analytical method is not required for enforcement purposes since the Agency is establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance without any numerical limitation.

C. International Tolerances

The Agency is not aware of any country requiring a tolerance for 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt nor have any CODEX Maximum Residue Levels (MRLs) been established for any food crops at this time.

X. Conclusion

Accordingly, EPA finds that exempting residues of 2-propenoic acid, 2-methyl-, polymer with ethenylbenzene, 2-ethylhexyl 2-propenoate, 2-hydroxyethyl 2-propenoate, N-(hydroxymethyl) -2-methyl-2-propenamide and methyl 2-methyl-2-propenoate, ammonium salt from the requirement of a tolerance will be safe.

XI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

This final rule establishes an exemption from the tolerance requirement under section 408(d) of FFDCA in response to a petition submitted to the Agency. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866, entitled *Regulatory Planning and Review* (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993). Because this rule has been exempted from review under Executive Order 12866 due to its lack of significance, this rule is not subject to Executive Order 13211, *Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use* (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001). This final rule does not contain any information collections subject to OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, or impose any enforceable duty or contain any unfunded mandate as described under Title II of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMRA) (Public Law 104-4). Nor does it require any special considerations under Executive Order 12898, entitled *Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations* (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994); or OMB review or any Agency action under Executive Order 13045, entitled *Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks* (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997). This action does not involve any technical standards that would require Agency consideration of voluntary consensus standards pursuant to section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (NTTAA), Public Law 104-113, section 12(d) (15 U.S.C. 272 note). Since tolerances and exemptions that are established on the basis of a petition under section 408(d) of the FFDCA, such as the exemption in this final rule, do not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) do not apply. In addition, the Agency has determined that this action will not have a substantial direct effect on States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132, entitled *Federalism* (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999). Executive Order 13132 requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input

by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications." "Policies that have federalism implications" is defined in the Executive order to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government." This final rule directly regulates growers, food processors, food handlers and food retailers, not States. This action does not alter the relationships or distribution of power and responsibilities established by Congress in the preemption provisions of section 408(n)(4) of the FFDCA. For these same reasons, the Agency has determined that this rule does not have any "tribal implications" as described in Executive Order 13175, entitled *Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments* (65 FR 67249, November 6, 2000). Executive Order 13175, requires EPA to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input by tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have tribal implications." "Policies that have tribal implications" is defined in the Executive order to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and the Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes." This rule will not have substantial direct effects on tribal governments, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified in Executive Order 13175. Thus, Executive Order 13175 does not apply to this rule.

XII. Congressional Review Act

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of this rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a

"major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: July 10, 2006.

Lois Rossi,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

■ Therefore, 40 CFR chapter I is amended as follows:

PART 180—AMENDED

■ 1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346a and 371.

■ 2. Section 180.960 is amended by adding alphabetically to the table a polymer to read as follows:

§ 180.960 Polymers; exemptions from the requirement of a tolerance.

Polymer	CAS No.
* * * *	*
2-Propenoic Acid, 2-Methyl-, Polymer with Ethenylbenzene, 2-Ethylhexyl 2-Propenoate, 2-Hydroxyethyl 2-Propenoate, N-(Hydroxymethyl)-2-Methyl-2-Propenamide and Methyl 2-Methyl-2-Propenoate, Ammonium Salt	146753-99-3
* * * *	*

[FR Doc. E6-11951 Filed 7-25-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-S

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Institutes of Health

42 CFR Part 63a

RIN 0925-AA28

National Institutes of Health Training Grants

AGENCY: National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The National Institutes of Health (NIH) is amending the current regulations governing its training grants to reflect applicability of the regulations to institutional training grants supporting pediatric research training.

DATES: *Effective Date:* This final rule is effective August 25, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry Moore, NIH Regulations Officer, Office of Management Assessment, National Institutes of Health, 6011 Executive Boulevard, Suite 601, MSC 7669, Rockville, Maryland 20892, telephone 301-496-4607 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 17, 2000, Congress enacted the Children's Health Act of 2000, Public Law 106-310. Title X, section 1002, of this law amended the Public Health Service (PHS) Act by adding section 452G (42 U.S.C. 285g-10). Section 452G directs the Director of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, after consultation with the Administrator of the Health Resources and Services Administration, to support activities to provide for an increase in the number and size of institutional training grants to institutions supporting pediatric training. We are amending the current regulations codified at 42 CFR part 63a, "National Institutes of Health Training Grants," to implement this pediatric research training grants authority. More specifically, we are amending part 63a to reference section 452G of the PHS Act in the authority section and in paragraph (a)(2) of § 63a.1 of the regulations, and update information in the 18th, 19th, and 20th undesignated paragraphs of § 63a.11.

We announced our intention to amend the training grants regulations by publishing the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM), "National Institutes of Health Training Grants," in the **Federal Register** of January 28, 2005 (70 FR 4080-4081). The NPRM provided for a 60-day public comment period. The comment period expired on March 29, 2005. We received no comments. Therefore, the amending action reflected in this final rule is the same as what we proposed in the NPRM.

We provide the following as public information.

Executive Order 12866

Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, requires that all regulatory actions reflect consideration of the costs and benefits they generate, and that they meet certain standards, such as avoiding the imposition of unnecessary burdens on the affected public. If a regulatory action is deemed to fall within the scope of the definition of the term "significant regulatory action" contained in section 3(f) of the Order, prepublication review by the Office of Management and Budget's Office of Information and Regulatory