

voluntary consensus standards (VCS) that are relevant to this action. The Agency also contacted VCS organizations and accessed and searched their databases. Searches were conducted for the EPA Methods 1, 1A, 2, 2A, 2C, 2D, 2F, 2G, 3, 3A, 3B, 4, 18, 24, 25, and 25A of appendix A to 40 CFR part 60; EPA Methods 204, 204A, 204B, 204C, 204D, 204E, and 204F of appendix M to 40 CFR part 51; and EPA Method 311 of appendix A to 40 CFR part 63. As a result of this search, no applicable voluntary consensus standards were identified for EPA Methods 1A, 2A, 2D, 2F, 2G, 204, 204A, 204B, 204C, 204D, 204E and 204F.

During the search, if the title or abstract (if provided) of the VCS described technical sampling and analytical procedures that are similar to the EPA's reference method, the EPA considered it as a potential equivalent method. All potential standards were reviewed to determine the practicality of the VCS for this rule. This review requires significant method validation data which meets the requirements of the EPA Method 301 for accepting alternative methods or scientific, engineering and policy equivalence to procedures in the EPA reference methods. The EPA may reconsider determinations of impracticality when additional information is available for particular VCS. As a result, the EPA proposes to amend 40 CFR 60.17 to incorporate by reference (IBR) the following VCS:

- ANSI/ASME, PTC 19.10–1981, “Flue and Exhaust Gas Analyses [Part 10, Instruments and Apparatus]” as an alternative to EPA Method 3B manual portion only and not the instrumental portion.
- ASTM D6420–18, “Test Method for Determination of Gaseous Organic Compounds by Direct Interface Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry” as an alternative to EPA Method 18 only when the target compounds are all known, and the target compounds are all listed in ASTM D6420–18 as measurable. This method should not be used for methane and ethane (because atomic mass is less than 35) and it should never be specified as a total VOC method.
- ASTM Method D6093–97 (Reapproved 2016) “Standard Test Method for Percent Volume Nonvolatile Matter in Clear or Pigmented Coatings Using a Helium Gas Pycnometer” as an alternative to EPA Method 24.
- ASTM D2369–10 (Reapproved 2015) e1, “Test Method for Volatile Content of Coatings” as an alternative to EPA Method 24.

- ASTM Method D2697–03 (Reapproved 2014), “Standard Test Method for Volume Nonvolatile Matter in Clear or Pigmented Coatings” as an alternative to EPA Method 24.

- Guidelines for combining analytical VOC content and formulation solvent content presented in “Protocol for Determining the Daily Volatile Organic Compound Emission Rate of Automobile and Light-Duty Truck Topcoat Operations,” EPA–453/R–08–002, September 2008, as an alternative to EPA Method 24.

In addition to the VCS identified for EPA reference methods, we propose to amend 40 CFR 60.17 to IBR the following ASTM methods for ALDT coatings:

- ASTM D1475–13 “Standard Test Method for Density of Liquid Coatings, Inks, and Related Products.”
- ASTM D5965–02 (Reapproved 2013) test method A or test method B “Standard Test Methods for Specific Gravity of Coating Powders.”
- ASTM D5066–91 (Reapproved 2017) “Standard Test Method for Determination of the Transfer Efficiency Under Production Conditions for Spray Application of Automotive Paints-Weight Basis.”
- ASTM D5087–02 “Standard Test Method for Determining Amount of Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Released from Solventborne Automotive Coatings and Available for Removal in a VOC Control Device (Abatement).”
- ASTM D6266–00a (Reapproved 2017) “Test Method for Determining the Amount of Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Released from Waterborne Automotive Coatings and Available for Removal in a VOC Control Device (Abatement).”

Additional information for the VCS search and determinations can be found in the memorandum, *Voluntary Consensus Standard Results for Review of Standards of Performance for Automobile and Light Duty Truck Surface Coating Operations*, which is available in the docket for this action.

Under 40 CFR 60.8(b) and 60.13(i) of subpart A of the General Provisions, a source may apply to the EPA to use alternative test methods or alternative monitoring requirements in place of any required testing methods, performance specifications or procedures in the final rule or any amendments. The EPA welcomes comments on this aspect of the proposed rulemaking and, specifically, invites the public to identify potentially applicable VCS and to explain why such standards should be used in this regulation.

### *J. Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations*

This action does not have disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on minority populations, low-income populations, and/or indigenous peoples, as specified in Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The documentation for this decision is contained in section V.C and V.E of this preamble. As discussed in section V.E of this preamble, we performed a demographic analysis for the automobile and light duty truck surface coating source category, which is an assessment of the proximity of individual demographic groups living close to the facilities (within 50 km and within 5 km). Results of the demographic analysis indicate that the following groups above the national average: African Americans, People Living Below the Poverty Level, and People without a High School Diploma.

**Michael S. Regan,**  
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2022–09590 Filed 5–17–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

### **40 CFR Parts 60, 61, 62, and 70**

**[EPA–R08–OAR–2021–0732; FRL–9829–01–R8]**

### **Approval of Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program Revisions; Negative Declaration of Existing Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators and Administrative Updates; South Dakota**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or the “Agency”) is proposing full approval of revisions to the South Dakota operating permit program for stationary sources under the Clean Air Act (CAA), title V (the “title V program”) and a Clean Air Act (CAA) section 111(d)/129 negative declaration for incinerators subject to the Hospital/Medical/Infectious Waste Incinerators (HMIWI) Emissions Guidelines. EPA is proposing this action in accordance with the CAA.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before June 17, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R08–

OAR–2021–0732, to the Federal Rulemaking Portal: <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [www.regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**Docket:** All documents in the docket are listed in the [www.regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov) index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *e.g.*, CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically in [www.regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov). To reduce the risk of COVID–19 transmission, for this action we do not plan to offer hard copy review of the docket. Please email or call the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section if you need to make alternative arrangements for access to the docket.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carson Coate, Air and Radiation Division, EPA, Region 8, Mail code 8ARD, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Denver, Colorado 80202–1129, telephone number: (406) 457–5042, email address: [coate.carson@epa.gov](mailto:coate.carson@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document “we,” “us,” and “our” means EPA. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving South Dakota’s submissions and making administrative updates as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the action is set forth in the preamble to the direct final

rule. If EPA receives no adverse comments, EPA contemplates no further action. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the direct final rule of the same title which is located in the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

#### List of Subjects

##### 40 CFR Part 60

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Aluminum, Beverages, Carbon monoxide, Chemicals, Coal, Electric power plants, Fluoride, Gasoline, Glass and glass products, Grains, Greenhouse gases, Hazardous substances, Household appliances, Industrial facilities, Insulation, Intergovernmental relations, Iron, Labeling, Lead, Lime, Metals, Motor vehicle pollution, Natural gas, Nitrogen dioxide, Petroleum, Phosphate, Plastics materials and synthetics, Polymers, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rubber and rubber products, Sewage disposal, Steel, Sulfur oxides, Vinyl, Volatile organic compounds, Waste treatment and disposal, Zinc.

##### 40 CFR Part 61

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Arsenic, Asbestos, Benzene, Beryllium, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations, Mercury, Radioactive materials, Radon, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Uranium, Vinyl chloride.

##### 40 CFR Part 62

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Aluminum, Fertilizers, Fluoride, Industrial facilities, Intergovernmental relations, Methane, Ozone, Phosphate, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides, Volatile organic compounds, Waste treatment and disposal.

##### 40 CFR Part 70

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Intergovernmental relations, Title V.

Dated: May 8, 2022.

**KC Becker,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 8.*

[FR Doc. 2022–10222 Filed 5–17–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 54

[WC Docket No. 16–271; DA 22–484; FR ID 86428]

### Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Seeks Comment on Compliance Gap Drive Testing for Alaska Plan Participants

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed compliance with Alaska Plan; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Wireless Telecommunications Bureau requests comment on requiring mobile-provider participants of the Alaska Plan subject to the drive test requirement to submit new drive-test data if they fail to demonstrate compliance with their approved performance plan.

**DATES:** Comments are due on or before June 1, 2022 and replies are due on or before June 8, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties may file comments on or before the date indicated above and must reference WC Docket No. 16–271. Comments may be filed using the Commission’s Electronic Filing System (ECFS) or by filing paper copies.

- **Electronic Filers:** Comments may be filed electronically using the internet by accessing the ECFS: <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/>.

- **Paper Filers:** Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing.

- Filings can be sent by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Commission’s Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

- Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9050 Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701. U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554.