Pennsylvania; and 353611 for Ohio. The number assigned to this disaster for economic injury is 9W7000 for Pennsylvania; and 9W7100 for Ohio.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008.)

Dated: August 13, 2003.

#### Hector V. Barreto,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 03–21798 Filed 8–25–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

### SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

# Rescission of Social Security Acquiescence Ruling 00–4(2)

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration. **ACTION:** Notice of Rescission of Social Security Acquiescence Ruling (AR) 00–4(2)—*Curry* v. *Apfel*, 209 F.3d 117 (2d Cir. 2000).

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with 20 CFR 402.35(b)(2), 404.985(e), and 416.1485(e), the Commissioner of Social Security gives notice of the rescission of Social Security AR 00–4(2).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** The rescission of this AR is effective on September 25, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary Sargent, Office of Acquiescence and Litigation Coordination, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235–6401, (410) 965–1695.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** An AR explains how we will apply a holding in a decision of a United States Court of Appeals that we determine conflicts with our interpretation of a provision of the Social Security Act (the Act) or regulations when the Government has decided not to seek further review of that decision or is unsuccessful on further review.

As provided by 20 CFR 404.985(e)(4) and 416.1485(e)(4), we may rescind an AR as obsolete if we subsequently clarify, modify or revoke the regulation or ruling that was the subject of the circuit court holding for which the Acquiescence Ruling was issued.

On September 11, 2000, we published

On September 11, 2000, we published AR 00–4(2) (65 FR 54879) to reflect the holding in *Curry* v. *Apfel*, 209 F.3d 117 (2d Cir. 2000). In *Curry*, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit held that, at step five of the sequential evaluation process for determining disability, we have the burden of proving that a claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform other work which exists in the national economy.

In this issue of the **Federal Register**, we are publishing final rules that,

among other things, amend Social Security Regulations No. 4 and 16 (20 CFR 404.1512(c) and (g), 416.912(c) and (g), 404.1520(g), 416.920(g), 404.1545(a)(3) and (5), 416.945(a)(3) and (5), 404.1560(c) and 416.960(c)) to clarify our rules about the responsibility that you have to provide evidence and the responsibility that we have to develop evidence in connection with your claim of disability. When we decide your case at step five of the sequential evaluation process, we are responsible for providing evidence that demonstrates other work that you can do exists in significant numbers in the national economy. However, we do not have the burden to prove what your residual functional capacity is. The final rules also explain that we use at step five the same residual functional capacity assessment that we used for determining whether you could do your past relevant work at step four of the sequential evaluation process. We explain in the preamble to the final rules that these clarifying regulatory amendments are consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Bowen* v. Yuckert, 482 U.S. 137, 146 n.5 (1987).

Because these changes in our regulations clarify our policy that was the subject of the *Curry* AR, we are rescinding AR 00–4(2) concurrently with the effective date of the final rules. The final rules and this notice of rescission restore uniformity to our nationwide system of rules, in accordance with our commitment to the goal of administering our programs through uniform national standards.

We will continue to apply this AR to your claim if it is readjudicated under our acquiescence regulations (20 CFR 404.985(b)(2) and 416.1485(b)(2)).

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance, Program Nos. 96.001 Social Security— Disability Insurance; 96.002 Social Security—Retirement Insurance; 96.004 Social Security—Survivors Insurance; 96.006—Supplemental Security Income)

Dated: May 22, 2003.

#### Jo Anne B. Barnhart,

Commissioner of Social Security.
[FR Doc. 03–21612 Filed 8–25–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4191–02–P

## SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

## Rescission of Social Security Acquiescence Ruling 90–3(4)

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration. **ACTION:** Notice of Rescission of Social Security Acquiescence Ruling (AR) 90–3(4)—*Smith* v. *Bowen*, 837 F.2d 635 (4th Cir. 1987).

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with 20 CFR 402.35(b)(2), 404.985(e), and 416.1485(e), the Commissioner of Social Security gives notice of the rescission of Social Security AR 90–3(4).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** The rescission of this AR will be effective September 25, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary Sargent, Office of Acquiescence and Litigation Coordination, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21235–6401, (410) 965–1695.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** An AR explains how we will apply a holding in a decision of a United States Court of Appeals that we determine conflicts with our interpretation of a provision of the Social Security Act (the Act) or regulations when the Government has decided not to seek further review of that decision or is unsuccessful on further review.

As provided by 20 CFR 404.985(e)(4) and 416.1485(e)(4), we may rescind an AR as obsolete if we subsequently clarify, modify or revoke the regulation or ruling that was the subject of the circuit court holding for which the Acquiescence Ruling was issued.

On July 16, 1990, we published AR 90–3(4) (55 FR 28949) to reflect the holding in *Smith* v. *Bowen*, 837 F.2d 635 (4th Cir. 1987). In *Smith*, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit held that, under 20 CFR 404.1566(e), we could not rely on a vocational expert's testimony in determining that an individual can do his or her past relevant work at step four of the sequential evaluation process for determining disability.

In this issue of the **Federal Register**, we are publishing final rules, that among other things, amend Social Security Regulations No. 4 and 16 (20 CFR 404.1560(b)) and 416.960(b)) to clarify that we may use the services of a vocational expert, vocational specialist or other vocational resources at step four of the sequential evaluation process.

Because the changes in the regulations clarify our policy on using vocational expert evidence at step four that was the subject of the *Smith* AR, we are rescinding AR 90–3(4) concurrently with the effective date of the final rules. The final rules and this notice of rescission restore uniformity to our nationwide system of rules, in accordance with our commitment to the goal of administering our programs through uniform national standards.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance, Program Nos. 96.001 Social Security— Disability Insurance; 96.002 Social Security—Retirement Insurance; 96.004 Social Security—Survivors Insurance; 96.006—Supplemental Security Income)

Dated: May 22, 2003.

Jo Anne B. Barnhart,

 $Commissioner\ of\ Social\ Security.$ 

[FR Doc. 03–21611 Filed 8–25–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

# **TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY**

# Meeting of the Regional Resource Stewardship Council

**AGENCY:** Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA).

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: TVA will convene a meeting of the Regional Resource Stewardship Council (Regional Council) to obtain views and advice on the topic of TVA's involvement in recreation. Under the TVA Act, TVA is charged with the proper use and conservation of natural resources for the purpose of fostering the orderly and proper physical, economic and social development of the Tennessee Valley region. The Regional Council was established to advise TVA on its natural resource stewardship activities. Notice of this meeting is given under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. 2, (FACA).

The meeting agenda includes the following:

- (1) Overview of current TVA role in recreation.
- (2) Review of recreation questions to be addressed by the Regional Council.
- (3) National perspective from federal recreation providers.
- (4) Regional, state, and commercial viewpoints on TVA's role with regard to recreation.
  - (5) Recreation trends.
- (6) Public comments on the topic of TVA's involvement in recreation.
- (7) Regional Council discussion on the topic of TVA's involvement in recreation.

The Regional Council will hear opinions and views of citizens by providing a public comment session. The Public Comment session will be held from 9:20 a.m. to 10:20 a.m. EDT on Thursday, September 11, 2003. Citizens who wish to express views and opinions on the topic of TVA involvement in recreation may do so during the Public Comment portion of the agenda. Public Comments participation is available on a firstcome, first-served basis. Speakers addressing the Regional Council are requested to limit their remarks to no more than 5 minutes. Persons wishing to speak are requested to register at the door and are then called on by the

Regional Council Chair during the public comment period. Handout materials should be limited to one printed page. Written comments are also invited and may be mailed to the Regional Resource Stewardship Council, Tennessee Valley Authority, 400 West Summit Hill Drive, WT 11A, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Wednesday, September 10, 2003, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. and on Thursday, September 11, 2003, from 8 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in the auditorium at the Tennessee Valley Authority headquarters, 400 West Summit Hill Drive, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902, and will be open to the public. Anyone needing special access or accommodations should let the contact below know at least a week in advance.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandra L Hill, 400 West Summit Hill Drive WT 11A, Knoxville, Tennessee 37902, (865) 632–2333.

Dated: August 19, 2003.

#### Kathryn J. Jackson,

Executive Vice President, River System Operations & Environment, Tennessee Valley Authority.

[FR Doc. 03–21739 Filed 8–25–03; 8:45 am]  $\tt BILLING$  CODE 8120–08–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# Federal Aviation Administration

Advisory Circular; Guidance Material For 14 CFR § 33.28, Reciprocating Engines, Electrical And Electronic Engine Control Systems

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of advisory circular.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces the availability of Advisory Circular (AC) Number 33.28–2, Guidance Material For 14 CFR 33.28, Reciprocating Engines, Electrical And Electronic Control Systems.

**DATES:** The Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, issued AC 33.28–2 on August 13, 2003.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mark Rumizen, Engine and Propeller Standards Staff, ANE–110, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 0183–5299; telephone: (781) 238–7113; fax: (781) 238–7199; e-mail: mark. rumizen@faa.gov. The subject AC is

available on the Internet at the following address: http://www.airweb.faa.gov/rgl.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA published a notice in the **Federal Register** on July 10, 2002 (67 FR 45780) to announce the availability of the proposed AC and invite interested parties to comment.

#### **Background**

Electrical and Electronic Engine Control (EEC) technology was initially applied to turbine engines designed for large transport aircraft applications. Therefore, the information and guidance for showing compliance with § 33.28 provided by the FAA was oriented toward these applications. However, the increasing use of EEC systems in reciprocating piston engines has created a need for guidance specifically for reciprocating engines. This AC provides a means, but not the only means, of compliance with § 33.28 that addresses these issues.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701–44702, 44704.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on August 13, 2003.

#### Marc J. Bouthillier,

Acting Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 03–21773 Filed 8–25–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

Notice of Intent to Rule on Request to Release Airport Property at the Brownsville/South Padre Island International Airport, Brownsville, TX

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of request to release airport property.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to rule and invite public comment on the release of land at the Brownsville/South Padre Island International Airport under the provisions of Section 125 of the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment Reform Act for the 21st Century (AIR 21).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before September 25, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered to the FAA at the following address: Mr. Mike Nicely, Manager, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region, Airports Division, Texas Airports Development Office, ASW–650, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0650.