

comments by the close of the 60-day comment period, which ended on July 17, 2006. There were 1,708 comments that were opposed to a determination of nonregulated status for the C5 plum and 17 comments that supported it. The majority of comments in opposition to deregulation were the same or similar and came from organic grower or consumer groups, individual organic growers, individuals who favor organic agriculture, or individuals who oppose genetic engineering in general. The majority of those comments supporting the determination of nonregulated status were from academic researchers and State farm bureaus. APHIS has addressed the issues raised during the comment period and has provided responses to these comments as attachments to the finding of no significant impact.

#### Determination

Based on APHIS' analysis of the field, greenhouse, and laboratory data submitted by ARS, references provided in the petition, other relevant information described in the EA, and comments provided by the public, APHIS has determined that the C5 plum will not pose a plant pest risk for the following reasons: (1) The potential for gene flow and introgression are insignificant, therefore, the potential impacts from these are also insignificant; (2) the C5 plum is unlikely to thrive in unmanaged ecosystems, therefore, it is unlikely that there would be any weed impact from deregulation; (3) it does not produce any novel proteins or have any toxic properties that are likely to impact non-target organisms, including beneficial organisms and threatened or endangered species; (4) there is no apparent potential for significant impact on biodiversity; (5) the likelihood that the C5 plum would increase the development of new viruses or viruses with novel or altered properties is very low; and (6) if C5 plums were to be grown commercially, the impact on the environment would likely be no different than from cultivation of other domesticated plums.

APHIS has concluded that the subject plum and any progeny derived from hybrid crosses with other non-transformed plum varieties will be no more of a plant pest than plum varieties in traditional breeding programs that are not subject to regulation under 7 CFR part 340. The effect of this determination is that ARS C5 plum is no longer considered a regulated article under APHIS' regulations in 7 CFR part 340. Therefore, the requirements pertaining to regulated articles under

those regulations no longer apply to the field testing, importation, or interstate movement of C5 plum or its progeny.

#### National Environmental Policy Act

To provide the public with documentation of APHIS' review and analysis of any potential environmental impacts associated with the determination of nonregulated status for ARS C5 plum, an EA was prepared. The EA was prepared in accordance with (1) The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), (2) regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), (3) USDA regulations implementing NEPA (7 CFR part 1b), and (4) APHIS' NEPA Implementing Procedures (7 CFR part 372). Based on that EA, APHIS has reached a finding of no significant impact with regard to the determination that ARS plum line C5 and lines developed from it are no longer regulated articles under its regulations in 7 CFR part 340. Copies of the EA and finding of no significant impact are available as indicated in the **ADDRESSES** and **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** sections of this notice.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 7701–7772 and 7781–7786; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.3.

Done in Washington, DC, this 29th day of June 2007.

**Kevin Shea,**

*Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.*

[FR Doc. E7–13649 Filed 7–12–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–34–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Notice of New Fee Site; Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act, (Title VII, Pub. L. 108–447)

**AGENCY:** Coconino National Forest, USDA Forest Service, Arizona.

**ACTION:** Notice of new fee site.

**SUMMARY:** The Coconino National Forest proposes to begin charging a new \$75.00 per day fee for rental of the Apache Maid Cabin located 24 miles northeast of Rimrock, Arizona. Rental of the Cabin includes overnight use. Rental of the cabin and other facilities within the Arizona National Forests has shown that the public appreciates and enjoys the availability of historic rental facilities. Funds from the rentals will be used for the continued operation and maintenance of the Apache Maid Cabin.

**DATES:** Apache Maid Cabin will become available for rent January, 2008.

**ADDRESSES:** Red Rock Ranger District, Coconino National Forest, P.O. Box 20429, Sedona, AZ 86341.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bill Stafford, District Recreation Staff, Red Rock Ranger District, 928–203–7529.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Recreation Lands Enhancement Act (Title VII, Pub. L. 108–447) directed the Secretary of Agriculture to public a six month advance notice in the **Federal Register** whenever new recreation fee areas are established. The Coconino National Forest currently has three other rental facilities. These facilities are booked regularly throughout the rental season. A business analysis for the rental of the Apache Maid Cabin shows that people desire having this sort of recreation experience on the Coconino National Forest. A market analysis indicates that the \$75 daily fee is both reasonable and acceptable for this sort of unique recreation experience. People wanting to rent the Apache Maid Cabin will need to do so through the National Recreation Reservation Service, at <http://www.recreation.gov> or by calling 1–877–444–6777. The National Recreation Reservation Service charges a \$9 fee per reservation.

Dated: July 5, 2007.

**Mark R. Sensibaugh,**

*Forest Supervisor, Coconino National Forest.*

[FR Doc. 07–3429 Filed 7–12–07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410–11–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Notice of New Fee Site; Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act, (Title VIII, Pub. L. 108–447)

**AGENCY:** Coronado National Forest, USDA Forest Service, Arizona.

**ACTION:** Notice of new fee site.

**SUMMARY:** The Coronado National Forest proposes to begin charging a new \$200.00 fee for the daily rental of the Headquarters Building adjacent to an existing small rental cabin at Kentucky Camp near Sonoita, Arizona. Rental of the Headquarters Building may eventually expand to include overnight use, but will initially be managed for day-use events. The existing small rental cabin currently rents for an overnight fee of \$75.00 a night and can be rented independently of the Headquarters Building. Rental of the cabin and other facilities within the Arizona National Forests has shown that