such as this one, now constitute the only notification of revisions in CONUS per diem rates to agencies.

Dated: March 3, 2008.

Russell H. Pentz,

Assistant Deputy Associate Administrator, Office of Travel, Transportation and Asset Management.

[FR Doc. E8–4593 Filed 3–6–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820–14–P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Update to the Master Plan for the Consolidation of the Food and Drug Administration Headquarters at the Federal Research Center at White Oak in Silver Spring, MD

AGENCY: General Service Administration (GSA); National Capital Region.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500-1508), GSA Order PBS P1095.1F (Environmental considerations in decisionmaking, date October 19, 1999), and the GSA Public Buildings Service NEPA Desk Guide. GSA plans to prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) for the proposed update to the Master Plan to support the consolidation of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Headquarters at the Federal Research Center at White Oak in Silver Spring, Maryland.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne Hill, NEPA Lead, General Services Administration, National Capital Region, at (202) 205–5821. Please also call this number if special assistance is needed to attend and participate in the scoping meeting. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The notice of intent is as follows:

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Supplement Environmental Impact Statement

The General Services Administration intends to prepare a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) to analyze the potential impacts resulting from the proposed Master Plan update to support the FDA Headquarters consolidation at the Federal Research Center (FRC) at White Oak in Silver Spring, Maryland.

This SEIS is a supplement to the analyses presented in the *U.S. Food and*

Drug Administration Consolidation, Montgomery County, Final Environmental Impact Statement, April 1997 and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration Headquarters Consolidation, Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, March 2005.

Background

In 1997, GSA completed an environmental impact statement that analyzed the impacts from the consolidation of 5,974 FDA employees at the FRC. In 2005, GSA also completed a supplemental environmental impact statement that analyzed the impacts of increasing the number of employees from 5,947 to 7,720 and the impacts of creating a new eastern access point into the FRC. In September 2007, new legislation was enacted that expanded FDA's mandate to support the Prescription Drug User Fee Act (PDUFA) and the Medical Device User Fee and Modernization Act (MDUFMA). In order for FDA to fulfill the legislated mandates, additional employees may be needed, and the new legislation will likely result in an increase of employees at the FRC from 7,720 to 8,889. The increase in the campus population is needed to conduct the complex and comprehensive reviews necessary for new drugs and medical devices.

The purpose of the proposed action is to update the Master Plan for the FDA Campus at FRC to accommodate employee growth from 7,720 to 8,889 within the 130 acres appropriated by Congress for the FDA Campus. Need for the proposed action is to continue to support FDA Headquarters consolidation at FRC and provide the necessary office and laboratory space to support the expanded PDUFA and MDUFMA programs.

Alternatives Under Consideration

GSA will analyze a range of alternatives including the no action alternative for the proposed Master Plan update of the FDA headquarters to support PDUFA and MDUFMA programs. As part of the SEIS, GSA will study the impacts of each alternative on the human environment.

Scoping Process

In accordance with NEPA, a scoping process will be conducted to aid in determining the alternatives to be considered and the scope of issues to be addressed, as well as for identifying the significant issues related to the proposed update of the Master Plan to accommodate the additional increase in employees at the FDA Headquarters at White Oak, Maryland. Scoping will be

accomplished through a public scoping meeting, direct mail correspondence to potentially interested persons, agencies, and organizations, and meetings with agencies having an interest in the FRC. It is important that Federal, regional, State, and local agencies, and interested individuals and groups take this opportunity to identify environmental concerns that should be addressed during the preparation of the Draft SEIS.

Public Scoping Meeting

The public scoping meeting will be held on Thursday, March 27, 2008, from 6:30 until 8:30 p.m. at the CHI Center (Multipurpose Room) located at 10501 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland. The meeting will be an informal open house along with a brief presentation, where visitors may come, receive information, and give comments. GSA will publish notices in the Washington Post and local newspapers announcing this meeting approximately two weeks prior to the meeting. GSA will prepare a scoping report, available to the public, that will summarize the comments received and facilitate their incorporation into the SEIS process.

Written Comments: Agencies and the public are encouraged to provide written comments on the scoping issues in addition to or in lieu of giving their comments at the public scoping meeting. Written comments regarding the environmental analysis for the proposed Master Plan update must be postmarked no later than April 7, 2008, and sent to the following address: General Services Administration, Attention: Suzanne Hill, NEPA Lead, 301 7th Street, SW., Room 7600, Washington, DC 20407, (202) 205–5821. E-mail: Suzanne.Hill@gsa.gov.

Dated: March 3, 2008.

Patricia T. Ralston.

Director, Portfolio Management. [FR Doc. E8–4579 Filed 3–6–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-14-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60 Day-08-08AR]

Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call 404–639–5960 or send comments to Maryam Daneshvar, CDC Acting Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS–D74, Atlanta, GA 30333 or send an e-mail to omb@cdc.gov.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

CDC Cervical Cancer Study—New— National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

The National Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Program (NBCCEDP) is the only organized national screening program in the United States that offers breast and cervical cancer screening to

underserved women. Given resource limitations, the screening policies for cervical cancer in the program include an annual Pap test until a woman has had three consecutive normal Pap tests, at which time the Pap test frequency is reduced to every three years. Human papillomavirus (HPV) DNA testing has been approved in the U.S. as a secondary screening tool for Atypical Squamous Cells of Undetermined Significance (ASCUS), and as a primary screening tool for women 30 years of age and older, but it is not currently a reimbursable expense under NBCCEDP guidelines. Adopting HPV DNA testing along with Pap testing in women over 30 could help the program better utilize resources by extending the screening interval of women who are cytology negative and HPV test negative, which is estimated to be 80–90% of women.

In 2005, the NBCCEDP convened an expert panel to evaluate policies on reimbursement of the HPV DNA test as an adjunct to the Pap test for primary screening. The panel recommended that the program not reimburse for the HPV DNA test but instead requested that pilot studies be performed to measure the feasibility, acceptability and barriers to use of the test.

In response to the expert panel's recommendations, CDC proposes to conduct a pilot study at 18 clinics in the state of Illinois. The proposed study will examine whether or not there is an increase in the cervical cancer screening interval to three years for women in the target age range with a normal Pap test and a negative HPV DNA test. Primary goals of the study are to: (1) Assess whether provider and patient education will lead to extended screening intervals for women who have negative screening results; (2) identify facilitators and

barriers to acceptance and appropriate use of the HPV test and longer screening intervals; (3) track costs associated with HPV testing and educational interventions; and (4) identify the HPV genotypes among this sample of low income women. Secondary goals of the study are to: (1) Assess follow-up of women with positive test results and (2) determine provider knowledge and acceptability of the HPV vaccine.

Approximately 8,000 women between the ages of 35 and 60 who are visiting one of 18 participating clinics for routine cervical cancer screening will be recruited for the study. Approximately 10,000 women must be screened in order to identify 8,000 who are both eligible and willing to be enrolled in the study. The study design calls for data collection over a five-year period. Information will be collected primarily from a total of 70 clinical care providers, 18 clinic coordinators, and a sample of 2,600 patients.

CDC plans to request OMB approval for data collection activities to be conducted during the first three years (Phase I) of the five-year project. The results of this study will provide information about knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, and cervical cancer screening practices involving low-income, underserved women, who represent the demographic most needy of highly sensitive screening methodologies that can increase the likelihood of detecting cervical dysplasia at less frequent screening intervals. The findings from this study will help inform policy regarding the HPV DNA test on a national level for cervical cancer screening in the NBCCEDP.

There are no costs to respondents other than their time.

ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Type of respondents	Form name	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hr)	Total burden (in hr)
Health Care Providers	Baseline Survey for Providers.	23	1	30/60	12
	Follow-up Survey for Providers.	23	2	30/60	23
Patients	Screening Script for Patients	3,333	1	5/60	278
	Enrollment Form	2,667	1	5/60	222
	Baseline Survey for Patients	867	1	15/60	217
	Follow-up Survey for Pa- tients.	624	1	10/60	104
Clinic Coordinators	Baseline Survey for Clinic Coordinators.	6	1	2	12
	Follow-up Survey for Clinic	6	11	1	66
	Coordinators.				_
Total					934

Dated: February 28, 2008.

Maryam I. Daneshvar,

Acting Reports Clearance Officer, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. E8–4492 Filed 3–6–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority

Part F of the Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority for the Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), (Federal Register, Vol. 72, No. 248, pp. 73847– 73850, dated Friday, December 28, 2007) is amended to reflect updates to the functions for the Center for Beneficiary Choices and the Office of E-Health Standards and Services.

Part F. is described below:

• Section F. 20. (Functions) reads as follows:

Center for Beneficiary Choices (FAE)

- Serves as Medicare Beneficiary Ombudsman, as well as the focal point for all Agency interactions with beneficiaries, their families, care givers, health care providers, and others operating on their behalf concerning improving beneficiary's ability to make informed decisions about their health and about program benefits administered by the Agency. These activities include strategic and implementation planning, execution, assessment and communications.
- · Assesses beneficiary and other consumer needs, develops and oversees activities targeted to meet these needs, and documents and disseminates results of these activities. These activities focus on Agency beneficiary service goals and objectives and include: Development of baseline and ongoing monitoring information concerning populations affected by Agency programs; development of performance measures and assessment programs; design and implementation of beneficiary services initiatives; development of communications channels and feedback mechanisms within the Agency and between the Agency and its beneficiaries and their representatives; and close collaboration with other Federal and State agencies and other stakeholders with a shared interest in better serving our beneficiaries.

- Develops national policy for all Medicare Parts A, B, C and D beneficiary eligibility, enrollment, entitlement; premium billing and collection; coordination of benefits; rights and protections; dispute resolution process; as well as policy for managed care enrollment and disenrollment to assure the effective administration of the Medicare program, including the development of related legislative proposals.
- Coordinates beneficiary-centered information, education, and service initiatives.
- Develops and tests new and innovative methods to improve beneficiary aspects of health care delivery systems through Title XVIII, XIX, and XXI demonstrations and other creative approaches to meeting the needs of Agency beneficiaries.
- Assures, in coordination with other Centers and Offices, the activities of Medicare contractors, including managed care plans, agents, and State Agencies meet the Agency's requirements on matters concerning beneficiaries and other consumers.
- Plans and administers the contracts and grants related to beneficiary and customer service, including the State Health Insurance Assistance Program grants.
- Formulates strategies to advance overall beneficiary communications goals and coordinates the design and publication process for all beneficiary-centered information, education, and service initiatives.
- Builds a range of partnerships with other national organizations for effective consumer outreach, awareness, and education efforts in support of Agency programs.
- Serves as the focal point for all Agency interactions with managed health care organizations for issues relating to Agency programs, policy and operations.
- Develops national policies and procedures related to the development, qualification and compliance of health maintenance organizations, competitive medical plans and other health care delivery systems and purchasing arrangements (such as prospective pay, case management, differential payment, selective contracting, etc.) necessary to assure the effective administration of the Agency's programs, including the development of statutory proposals.
- Handles all phases of contracts with managed health care organizations eligible to provide care to Medicare beneficiaries.
- Coordinates the administration of individual benefits to assure appropriate focus on long term care, where

- applicable, and assumes responsibility for the operational efforts related to the payment aspects of long term care and post-acute care services.
- Serves as the focal point for all Agency interactions with employers, employees, retirees and others operating on their behalf pertaining to issues related to Agency policies and operations concerning employer sponsored prescription drug coverage for their retirees.
- Develops national policies and procedures to support and assure appropriate State implementation of the rules and processes governing group and individual health insurance markets and the sale of health insurance policies that supplement Medicare coverage.
- Primarily responsible for all operations related to Medicare Prescription Drug Plans and Medicare Advantage Prescription Drug (Part D) plans.
- Performs activities related to the Medicare Parts A & B processes (42 CFR part 405, subparts G and H), part C (42 CFR part 422, subpart M), part D (42 CFR part 423, subpart M) and the PACE program for claims-related hearings, appeals, grievances and other dispute resolution processes that are beneficiary-centered.
- Develops, evaluates, and reviews regulations, guidelines, and instructions required for the dissemination of appeals policies to Medicare beneficiaries, Medicare contractors, Medicare Advantage (MA) plans, Prescription Drug Plans (PDPs), CMS regional offices, beneficiary advocacy groups and other interested parties.

Office of E-Health Standards and Services (FHA)

- Develops and coordinates implementation of a comprehensive e-health strategy for CMS. Coordinates and supports internal and external technical activities related to e-health services and ensures that individual initiatives tie to the overall agency and Federal
- e-health goals strategies.
- Promotes and leverages innovative component initiatives. Facilitates crosscomponent awareness of various e-health projects.
- Develops regulations and guidance materials, and provides technical assistance on the Administrative Simplification provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA), including transactions, code sets, identifiers, and security.
- Develops and implements the enforcement program for HIPAA