

(2) When the application of (b)(1) of this subsection results in a loss—

(i) The allowable portion of the loss is zero if the fair market value exceeds the undepreciated balance of the asset on the date the contractor becomes a lessee; and

(ii) The allowable portion of the loss is limited to the difference between the fair market value and the undepreciated balance of the asset on the date the contractor becomes a lessee if the fair market value is less than the undepreciated balance of the asset on the date the contractor becomes a lessee.

* * * * *

(d) The gain recognized for contract costing purposes shall be limited to the difference between the acquisition cost (or for assets acquired under a capital lease, the value at which the leased asset is capitalized) of the asset and its undepreciated balance (except see paragraphs (e)(2)(i) or (ii) of this subsection).

(e) * * *

(2) * * *

* * * * *

(ii) Recognize the gain or loss in the period of disposition, in which case the Government shall participate to the same extent as outlined in paragraph (e)(1) of this subsection.

* * * * *

■ 4. Amend section 31.205–36 by revising paragraph (b)(2) to read as follows:

31.205–36 Rental costs.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(2) Rental costs under a sale and leaseback arrangement only up to the amount the contractor would be allowed if the contractor retained title, computed based on the net book value of the asset on the date the contractor becomes a lessee of the property adjusted for any gain or loss recognized in accordance with 31.205–16(b).

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 05–11184 Filed 6–7–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–EP–S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

**GENERAL SERVICES
ADMINISTRATION**

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND
SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

48 CFR Chapter 1

**Federal Acquisition Regulation; Small
Entity Compliance Guide**

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DoD),
General Services Administration (GSA),

LIST OF RULES IN FAC 2005–04

Item	Subject	FAR case	Analyst
I	Notification of Employee Rights Concerning Payment of Union Dues or Fees	2004–010	Marshall.
II	Telecommuting for Federal Contractors	2003–025	Zaffos.
*III	Incentives for Use of Performance-Based Contracting for Services	2004–004	Wise.
IV	Submission of Cost or Pricing Data on Noncommercial Modifications of Commercial Items (Interim).	2004–035	Olson.
*V	Applicability of SDB and HUBZone Price Evaluation Factor	2003–015	Marshall.
VI	Labor Standards for Contracts Involving Construction	2002–004	Nelson.
VII	Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions	2001–031	Olson.
VIII	Gains and Losses	2004–005	Olson.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summaries for each FAR rule follow. For the actual revisions and/or amendments to these FAR cases, refer to the specific item number and subject set forth in the documents following these item summaries.

FAC 2005–04 amends the FAR as specified below:

**Item I—Notification of Employee Rights
Concerning Payment of Union Dues or
Fees (FAR Case 2004–010)**

This final rule adopts, without change, the interim rule published in the **Federal Register** at 69 FR 76352, December 20, 2004, and issued as Item IV of FAC 2001–26. It amends FAR parts

2, 22, and 52 to implement Executive Order (E.O.) 13201, Notification of Employee Rights Concerning Payment of Union Dues or Fees, and Department of Labor regulations at 29 CFR 470. The rule requires Government contractors and subcontractors to post notices informing their employees that under Federal law they cannot be required to join a union or maintain membership in a union to retain their jobs. The required notice also advises employees who are not union members that they can object to the use of their union dues for certain purposes. This rule applies to Federal contractors and subcontractors with contracts or subcontracts that exceed the simplified acquisition threshold, unless

and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Small Entity Compliance Guide.

SUMMARY: This document is issued under the joint authority of the Secretary of Defense, the Administrator of General Services and the Administrator for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This *Small Entity Compliance Guide* has been prepared in accordance with Section 212 of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. It consists of a summary of rules appearing in Federal Acquisition Circular (FAC) 2005–04 which amend the FAR. An asterisk (*) next to a rule indicates that a regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared. Interested parties may obtain further information regarding these rules by referring to FAC 2005–04 which precedes this document. These documents are also available via the Internet at <http://www.acqnet.gov/far>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Laurieann Duarte, FAR Secretariat, (202) 501–4755. For clarification of content, contact the analyst whose name appears in the table below.

covered by an exemption granted by the Secretary of Labor.

**Item II—Telecommuting for Federal
Contractors (FAR Case 2003–025)**

This rule finalizes without changes the interim rule published in the **Federal Register** at 69 FR 59701, October 5, 2004, and issued as Item III of FAC 2001–025. This final rule implements Section 1428 of the Services Acquisition Reform Act of 2003 (Title XIV of Public Law 108–136), which prohibits agencies from including a requirement in a solicitation that precludes an offeror from permitting its employees to telecommute or, when telecommuting is not precluded, from

unfavorably evaluating an offeror's proposal that includes telecommuting unless it would adversely affect agency requirements, such as security. Contracting officers awarding service contracts should familiarize themselves with this rule.

Item III—Incentives for Use of Performance-Based Contracting for Services (FAR Case 2004–004)

This final rule amends the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to provide Governmentwide authority to treat performance-based contracts or task orders for services as commercial items, if certain conditions are met. Agencies must report on the use of this authority. This change implements sections 1431 and 1433 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Pub. L. 108–136) and is intended to promote the use of performance-based contracting.

Item IV—Submission of Cost or Pricing Data on Noncommercial Modifications of Commercial Items (FAR Case 2004–035)

This interim rule implements an amendment to 10 U.S.C. 2306a. The change requires that the exception from the requirement to obtain certified cost or pricing data for a commercial item does not apply to noncommercial modifications of a commercial item that are expected to cost, in the aggregate, more than \$500,000 or 5 percent of the total price of the contract, whichever is greater. Section 818 applies to offers submitted, and to modifications of contracts or subcontracts made, on or after June 1, 2005. This new policy applies only to acquisitions funded by DoD, NASA, or the Coast Guard, since the statute amends 10 U.S.C. 2306a, which only applies to DoD, NASA, and the Coast Guard. The new language does not apply to acquisitions funded by other than DoD, NASA, or the Coast Guard because Section 818 did not amend 41 U.S.C. 254b, which prohibits obtaining cost or pricing data for commercial items. However, the new policy applies to contracts awarded or task or delivery orders placed on behalf of DoD, NASA, or the Coast Guard by an official of the United States outside of those agencies, because the statutory requirement of Section 818 applies to

the funds provided by DoD, NASA, or the Coast Guard.

Item V—Applicability of SDB and HUBZone Price Evaluation Factor (FAR Case 2003–015)

This final rule removes some of the exceptions to the Small Disadvantaged Business and HUBZone preference programs. The contracting officer will now apply a price evaluation adjustment to offers of eligible products in acquisitions subject to the Trade Agreements Act. This rule will have a beneficial impact on all domestic concerns, especially small entities that are small disadvantaged business concerns or HUBZone small business concerns.

Item VI—Labor Standards for Contracts Involving Construction (FAR Case 2002–004)

This final rule implements in the FAR the DoL rule revising the terms “construction, prosecution, completion or repair” (29 CFR 5.2(j)) and “site of the work” (29 CFR 5.2(l)). In addition, the Councils have clarified several definitions relating to labor standards for contracts involving construction and made requirements for flow down of labor clauses more precise.

The most significant impact of this rule is that contractors must pay Davis-Bacon Act wages at a secondary site of the work, if a significant portion of the work is to be constructed at that site and the site meets the other criteria specified in the rule. When transporting portions of the building or work between the secondary site of the work and the primary site of the work, the wages for the primary site of the work are applicable. The contracting officer must coordinate with the Department of Labor when there is any uncertainty as to whether a work site is a secondary site of the work.

Item VII—Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions (FAR Case 2001–031)

This final rule amends the FAR by revising paragraph (k), Deferred compensation other than pensions, and paragraph (o), Postretirement benefits other than pensions, of FAR 31.205–6, Compensation for personal services, cost principle. Changes to paragraph (k)

include: deletion of language that duplicates definitions provided in FAR 31.001, elimination of obsolete coverage, and use of terminology consistent with Cost Accounting Standards. Changes to paragraph (o) include: moving and revising language in (o)(3) through (o)(5) to (o)(2)(iii) because these requirements only apply to accrual costing other than terminal funding. In addition, new coverage is added to the related contract clause at FAR 52.215–18, Reversion or Adjustment of Plans for Postretirement Benefits (PRB) Other Than Pensions, specifying the method of recovery of refunds and credits. The rule revises the cost principle and contract clause by improving clarity and structure, and removing unnecessary and duplicative language.

The case was initiated as a result of comments and recommendations received from industry and Government representatives during a series of public meetings. This rule is of particular interest to contractors and contracting officers who use cost analysis to price contracts and modifications, and who determine or negotiate reasonable costs in accordance with a clause of a contract, *e.g.*, price revision of fixed-price incentive contracts, terminated contracts, or indirect cost rates.

Item VIII—Gains and Losses (FAR Case 2004–005)

This final rule amends FAR 31.205–16 to address the timing of the gain or loss recognition of sale and leaseback arrangements of contractor depreciable property or other capital assets. The final rule defines the disposition date for a sale leaseback arrangement as the date the contractor begins to incur an obligation for lease or rental costs. Contracting officers, auditors, and contractors with responsibilities related to allowable cost determinations involving sale and leaseback arrangements of contractor depreciable property or other capital assets will be impacted by new policies governing that area.

Dated: May 27, 2005.

Julia B. Wise,

Director, Contract Policy Division.

[FR Doc. 05–11183 Filed 6–7–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–EP–S