| Name of non-regulatory<br>SIP revision                                       | Applicable geographic area |   |   | State<br>submittal<br>date | EPA approval date                                     | Additional explanation           |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| *  | *                          | *   | * | *                          | *   | *                                |
| Documents Incorporated<br>by Reference (9 VAC<br>5–20–21, Section B.).       | Ozone Nona<br>Ozone Maint  | nia (Metropolitan<br>attainment Area, Fr<br>enance Area, Richr<br>Maintenance Area. |   | 10/1/2015                  | 05/10/2017 [Insert Federal Register Citation].        | State effective date is 7/30/15. |
| *  | *                          | *   | * | *                          | *   | *                                |
| Documents Incorporated<br>by Reference (9 VAC<br>5–20–21, Section<br>E.15.). | Ozone Nona<br>Ozone Maint  | nia (Metropolitan<br>attainment Area, Fr<br>enance Area, Richr<br>Maintenance Area. |   | 10/1/2015                  | 05/10/2017 [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> Citation]. | State effective date is 7/30/15. |
| *  | *                          | *   | * | *                          | *   | *                                |

[FR Doc. 2017–09387 Filed 5–9–17; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 6560–50–P** 

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2016-0645; FRL-9962-11-Region 5]

# Air Plan Approval; Indiana; Commissioner's Order for SABIC Innovative Plastics

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving, as a revision to the Indiana State Implementation Plan (SIP), a submittal from the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) to EPA, dated December 5, 2016. The submittal consists of an order issued by the Commissioner of IDEM that establishes permanent and enforceable sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) emission limits for SABIC Innovative Plastics (SABIC). IDEM submitted this order so the area near SABIC can be designated "attainment" of the 2010 primary SO<sub>2</sub> National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), a matter that will be addressed in a separate future rulemaking. EPA's approval of this this order would make these SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits and applicable reporting, recordkeeping, and compliance demonstration requirements part of the federally enforceable Indiana SIP.

**DATES:** This direct final rule is be effective July 10, 2017, unless EPA receives adverse comments by June 9, 2017. If adverse comments are received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule in the **Federal** 

**Register** informing the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID Nos. EPA-R05-OAR-2016-0645 at http:// www.regulations.gov or via email to aburano.douglas@epa.gov. For comments submitted at Regulations.gov, follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from Regulations.gov. For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (i.e. on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER **INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/

commenting-epa-dockets.

Joseph Ko, Environmental Engineer, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–7947, ko.joseph@epa.gov.

making effective comments, please visit

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean

EPA. This supplementary information section is arranged as follows:

- I. Why did IDEM issue this Commissioner's Order?
- II. What are the SO<sub>2</sub> limits in this Commissioner's Order?
- III. By what criterion is EPA reviewing this SIP revision?
- IV. What action is EPA taking?
- V. Incorporation by Reference
- VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

# I. Why did IDEM issue this Commissioner's Order?

On December 5, 2016, IDEM submitted for approval, as a revision to the Indiana SIP, an order issued by IDEM's Commissioner that establishes SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits for SABIC. SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits for SABIC previously did not exist in the Indiana SIP. IDEM established these emission limits so the area near SABIC can qualify in the future for being designated "attainment" of the 2010 primary  $SO_2$  NAAQS. The history of the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS designation process and the applicable Data Requirements Rule (DRR) is explained below in order to provide a more detailed explanation of the context for IDEM's request.

On June 3, 2010, pursuant to section 109 of the Clean Air Act (CAA), EPA revised the primary (health-based) SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS by establishing a new one-hour standard codified at title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) section 50.17 (75 FR 35520). Pursuant to section 107(d) of the CAA, EPA must designate areas as either "unclassifiable," "attainment," or "nonattainment" for the 2010 one-hour SO<sub>2</sub> primary NAAQS. Under Section 107(d) of the CAA, a nonattainment area is any area that does not meet the NAAQS or that contributes to a violation in a nearby area. An attainment area is any area, other than a nonattainment area, that meets the NAAQS. Unclassifiable areas are those that cannot be classified on the basis of

available information as meeting or not meeting the NAAOS.

On August 5, 2013, EPA published a final rule designating 29 areas in the United States as nonattainment for the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS, based on recorded air quality monitoring data from 2009-2011 that showed violations of the NAAQS (78 FR 47191). In that rulemaking, EPA committed to address, in separate future actions, the designations for all other areas for which EPA was not yet prepared to issue designations.

Following the initial August 5, 2013, designations, three lawsuits were filed against EPA in different U.S. District Courts, alleging that EPA had failed to perform a nondiscretionary duty under the CAA by not designating all portions of the country by June 2013, three years after the promulgation of the revised SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS, as required by Section 107(d) of the CAA. In an effort intended to resolve the litigation in one of those cases, plaintiffs Sierra Club and the Natural Resources Defense Council and EPA filed a proposed consent decree with the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. On March 2, 2015, the Court entered the consent decree and issued an enforceable order for EPA to complete the area designations according to the Court-ordered schedule. The consent decree required EPA to complete the designations in three additional rounds following EPA's original designations (Round 1): Round 2 by July 2, 2016, Round 3 by December 31, 2017, and Round 4 by December 31, 2020. This action falls within Round 3 of the designation process.

Under the DRR (80 FR 51052), each state air agency was required to submit a list to the EPA by January 15, 2016, that identified all sources within the state that had SO<sub>2</sub> emissions exceeding 2,000 tons per year (tpy) during the most recent year for which emissions data for those sources were available, plus any additional sources and their associated areas identified by the state air agency or by the EPA as also warranting air quality characterization due to their potential to contribute to an SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS violation.

According to IDEM, SABIC emitted 4,030 tons of SO<sub>2</sub> in 2014, exceeding the 2,000 tpy threshold, and therefore Indiana identified SABIC as one of eleven facilities in the state as being subject to the air quality characterization requirements of the DRR. To satisfy the requirements of the DRR, states must characterize local SO<sub>2</sub>

concentrations with either air dispersion modeling or ambient air monitoring. States also have the option to establish a permanent and federally enforceable facility-wide limit on SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from a listed source to below 2,000 tpv. On June 30, 2016, Indiana informed EPA that SABIC had selected the dispersion modeling option to characterize the local SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the area surrounding the facility. Indiana also wrote on September 26, 2016, to inform EPA that it planned to pursue federally enforceable limits for SABIC as a means to provide for attainment in the area.

Under the DRR, for sources such as SABIC that the state has elected to address through modeling, the state is required to submit modeling by January 13, 2016, characterizing nearby air quality. Under the DRR, Indiana may submit modeling showing that the applicable limits provide for attainment of the standard, but only if these limits

are federally enforceable.

SABIC requested a Commissioner's Order from IDEM to be submitted to EPA so as to establish federally enforceable and permanent SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits that will ensure modeled attainment of the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAOS in accordance with EPA's Draft SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS Designations Modeling Technical Assistance Document.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, IDEM conducted air dispersion modeling using the American Meteorological Society/ Environmental Protection Agency Regulatory Model (AERMOD) version 15181 in accordance with appendix W of 40 CFR part 51 to determine SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits for SABIC that should result in modeled attainment of the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS in the area near this facility.

IDEM has requested that EPA approve Commissioner's Order 2016-03 for SABIC as part of Indiana's SIP. If EPA approves the SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits contained in these orders, they would become federally enforceable. Once these SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits have become federally enforceable, IDEM intends to use them to demonstrate modeled attainment for the 2010 SO2 NAAOS for the area near SABIC. To be clear, the purpose of this rulemaking is to take action on IDEM's request to approve these SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits into the Indiana SIP and thereby make them federally enforceable. The purpose of this rulemaking is not to take action on whether these SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits are

adequate for EPA to designate attainment of the 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS for the area near SABIC. EPA intends to complete 2010 SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS designations for areas under the Federal consent decree deadlines, including the area near SABIC, in separate rulemakings.

# II. What are the SO<sub>2</sub> limits in these Commissioner's Orders?

For SABIC, Indiana issued Commissioner's Order 2016-03 on October 20, 2016, with an effective date of January 13, 2017. This order established SO<sub>2</sub> emission limits for 20 emission units within the SABIC facility. According to IDEM, the COS Vent Oxidizer and the COS Flare units contribute the most to SO<sub>2</sub> ambient air concentrations in the area. Within the SABIC process line, the COS Vent Oxidizer is the primary control device, and the COS Flare serves as a back-up to the oxidizer or is used during safety interlock of the system. The function of both units is to eliminate the sulfurcontaining compounds in the regeneration gas via thermal combustion. The order established the following emissions rates for the COS Vent Oxidizer and the COS Flare: (a) 415 lb/hr, one-hour average; and (b) 269.21 lb/hr, twenty-four hour rolling average, based on daily coke usage and daily sulfur input. The 18 other emission limits for ancillary units are minor contributors to the overall SO<sub>2</sub> ambient air concentrations in the area, and are listed in Table 1 below.

TABLE 1—EMISSION LIMITS FOR **ANCILLARY UNITS** 

| Unit name   | Emission limit<br>(lb/hr, one-<br>hour average)  |  |
|---|--|--|
| NE Boiler (01–101) BW Gas (01–014) H–790 (12–701) H–520 (03–007) H–530A (03–008) H–530B (03–008) H–390 (12–169) H–900 (13–049) H–900B (13–321) SC 1/2 (13–155) H–7090 (04–063) H–6060 (04–050) F–972 (08–001) | hour average)  0.15 0.15 0.05 0.0045 27.8 27.8 0.0102 1.86 0.0188 0.0008 0.00235 0.00153 0.518 |  |
| COGEN (19–001)  | 1.17<br>0.15   |  |
| AUX2 BOILER (19–003) CG1 BOILER (19–004) R BOILER (09–106)  | 0.15<br>0.15<br>0.15<br>0.11   |  |

#### III. By what criterion is EPA reviewing this SIP revision?

EPA has evaluated this revision on the basis of whether it strengthens Indiana's SIP. Prior to Commissioner's Order 2016–03, there were no specific

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sierra Club et al. v. EPA, No. 3:13-cv-3953-SI (N.D.Cal.).

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Draft  $SO_2$  NAAQS Designations Modeling Technical Assistance Document. December 2013. http://www3.epa.gov/airquality/sulfurdioxide/pdfs/  $SO_2ModelingTAD.pdf$ .

 $SO_2$  emission limitations in the SIP applicable to SABIC. The  $SO_2$  emission limits contained in Commissioner's Order 2016–03 for SABIC establish permanent and enforceable limits, and should, therefore, strengthen Indiana's SIP.

The adequacy of these limits for providing for attainment is not a prerequisite for approval of these limits. Nevertheless, the purpose of these limits is ultimately to provide for attainment, and EPA is working with Indiana to assure a proper analysis of the adequacy of these limits for this purpose.

# IV. What action is EPA taking?

EPA is approving Commissioner's Order 2016–03 as part of the Indiana SIP. The Commissioner's Order strengthens Indiana's SIP by incorporating  $SO_2$  emission limits for SABIC, which did not have any specific  $SO_2$  emission limits for SABIC previously. By approving the Commissioner's Order into the Indiana SIP, these  $SO_2$  emission limits and applicable reporting, recordkeeping, and compliance demonstration requirements contained in the order would become federally enforceable, and strengthen the Indiana SIP.

We are publishing this action without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipate no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this Federal Register publication, we are publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the state plan if relevant adverse written comments are filed. This rule will be effective July 10, 2017 without further notice unless we receive relevant adverse written comments by June 9, 2017. If we receive such comments, we will withdraw this action before the effective date by publishing a subsequent document that will withdraw the final action. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. If we do not receive any comments, this action will be effective July 10, 2017.

# V. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the Indiana Commissioner's Order described in the amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth below. Therefore, these materials have been approved by EPA for inclusion in the State implementation plan, have been incorporated by reference by EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference by the Director of the Federal Register in the next update to the SIP compilation.<sup>3</sup> EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents generally available through www.regulations.gov, and/or at the EPA Region 5 Office (please contact the person identified in the for further information contact section of this preamble for more information).

# VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive

- Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

• Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by July 10, 2017. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. Parties with objections to this direct final rule are encouraged to file a comment in response to the parallel notice of proposed rulemaking for this

<sup>3 62</sup> FR 27968 (May 22, 1997).

action published in the proposed rules section of today's Federal Register, rather than file an immediate petition for judicial review of this direct final rule, so that EPA can withdraw this direct final rule and address the comment in the proposed rulemaking. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by

reference, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

Dated: April 20, 2017.

#### Robert A. Kaplan,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5. 40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

## PART 52—APPROVAL AND **PROMULGATION OF** IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

■ 2. In § 52.770 the table in paragraph (d) is amended by adding an entry for "SABIC Innovative Plastics" to read as follows:

# § 52.770 Identification of plan.

(d) \* \* \*

#### **EPA-APPROVED INDIANA SOURCE-SPECIFIC PROVISIONS**

| CO date    | Title                 |      | SIP rule | EPA approval                                | Е                            | Explanation                         |  |
|------------|-----------------------|------|----------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| *          | *                     | *    | *        | *   | *                            | *                                   |  |
| 10/20/2016 | SABIC Innovative Plas | tics |          | 7, [Insert <b>Federal Reg</b><br>citation]. | Limitation int<br>tainment d | ended to support at-<br>esignation. |  |
| *          | *                     | *    | *        | *   | *                            | *                                   |  |

[FR Doc. 2017-09385 Filed 5-9-17; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2014-0430; FRL-9961-89-Region 4]

Air Quality Plans; Tennessee; Infrastructure Requirements for the 2012 PM<sub>2.5</sub> National Ambient Air **Quality Standard** 

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is taking final action to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) submission, submitted by the State of Tennessee, through the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC), on December 16, 2015, to demonstrate that the State meets the infrastructure requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) for the 2012 annual fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS). The CAA requires that each state adopt and submit a SIP for the implementation, maintenance and enforcement of each NAAQS promulgated by EPA, which is commonly referred to as an "infrastructure SIP submission." TDEC certified that the Tennessee SIP contains provisions that ensure the 2012 Annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS is implemented,

enforced, and maintained in Tennessee. EPA is finalizing its determination that Tennessee's infrastructure SIP submission, provided to EPA on December 16, 2015, satisfies certain required infrastructure elements for the 2012 Annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS.

**DATES:** This rule will be effective June 9, 2017.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA-R04-OAR-2014-0430. All documents in the docket are listed on the www.regulations.gov Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. EPA requests that if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the FOR **FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to

4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tiereny Bell, Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. Ms. Bell can be reached via electronic mail at bell.tiereny@epa.gov or via telephone at (404) 562-9088.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# I. Background and Overview

On December 14, 2012, EPA promulgated a revised primary annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. The standard was strengthened from 15.0 micrograms per cubic meter (μg/m³) to 12.0 μg/m³. See 78 FR 3086 (January 15, 2013). Pursuant to section 110(a)(1) of the CAA, states are required to submit SIPs meeting the applicable requirements of section 110(a)(2) within three years after promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS or within such shorter period as EPA may prescribe. Section 110(a)(2) requires states to address basic SIP elements such as requirements for monitoring, basic program requirements and legal authority that are designed to assure attainment and maintenance of the NAAOS. States were required to submit such SIPs for the 2012 Annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS to EPA no later than December 14, 2015.

In a proposed rulemaking published on January 9, 2017 (82 FR 2295), EPA proposed to approve portions of Tennessee's December 16, 2015, SIP submission for the 2012 Annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> NAAQS. The details of Tennessee's