

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND  
SECURITY****Bureau of Customs and Border  
Protection****[CBP Decision 03–20]****Customs Approval of BSI Inspectorate  
America Corporation as a Commercial  
Gauger****AGENCY:** Customs and Border Protection,  
Department of Homeland Security.**ACTION:** Notice of Approval of BSI  
Inspectorate America Corporation of  
Tallaboa-Penuelas, Puerto Rico, as a  
Commercial Gauger.

**SUMMARY:** BSI Inspectorate America Corporation of Tallaboa-Penuelas, Puerto Rico has applied to Customs and Border Protection under Part 151.13 of the Customs Regulations for approval as a commercial gauger to gauge petroleum products, animal and vegetable oils, and organic compounds. Customs has determined that this company meets all of the requirements for approval as a commercial gauger. Specifically, BSI Inspectorate America Corporation has been granted approval to gauge petroleum product under Chapter 27 and Chapter 29, animal and vegetable oils under Chapter 15 and organic compounds under Chapter 29 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Therefore, in accordance with Part 151.13 of the Customs Regulations, BSI Inspectorate America Corporation of Tallaboa-Penuelas, Puerto Rico, is hereby approved to gauge the products named above.

*Location:* BSI Inspectorate America Corporation accredited site is located at: Bo. Encarnacion Road 127 Km 19.1, Tallaboa-Penuelas, Puerto Rico 00624.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 17, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Arlene Faustermann, Science Officer,  
Laboratories and Scientific Services,  
Customs and Border Protection, 1300  
Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite 1500  
North, Washington, DC 20229, (202)  
927–1060.

Dated: July 17, 2003.

**Donald A. Cousins,**  
*Acting Executive Director, Laboratories and  
Scientific Services.*

[FR Doc. 03–21465 Filed 8–20–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4820–02–P****DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND  
SECURITY****Bureau of Customs and Border  
Protection****[CBP Decision 03–19]****Customs Accreditation of BSI  
Inspectorate America Corporation as a  
Commercial Laboratory****AGENCY:** Customs and Border Protection,  
Department of Homeland Security.**ACTION:** Notice of Accreditation of BSI  
Inspectorate America Corporation of  
Tallaboa-Penuelas, Puerto Rico, as a  
Commercial Laboratory.

**SUMMARY:** BSI Inspectorate America Corporation of Tallaboa-Penuelas, Puerto Rico has applied to Customs and Border Protection under Part 151.12 of the Customs Regulations for accreditation as a commercial laboratory to analyze petroleum products under Chapter 27 and Chapter 29 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Customs has determined that this company meets all of the requirements for accreditation as a commercial laboratory. Specifically, BSI Inspectorate America Corporation has been granted accreditation to perform the following test methods at their Tallaboa-Penuelas, Puerto Rico site: (1) Distillation of Petroleum Products, ASTM D86; (2) Flash-Point by Pensky Martens Closed Cup Tester, ASTM D93; (3) Water in Petroleum Products and Bituminous Materials by Distillation, ASTM D95; (4) API Gravity by Hydrometer, ASTM D287; (5) Kinematic Viscosity of Transparent and Opaque Liquids, ASTM D445; (6) Sediment in Crude Oils and Fuel Oils by Extraction, ASTM D473; (7) Density, Relative Density (Specific Gravity), or API Gravity of Crude Petroleum and Liquid Petroleum Products by Hydrometer Method, ASTM D1298; (8) Water in Crude Oil by Distillation, ASTM D4006; (9) Percent by Weight of Sulfur by Energy-Dispersive X-Ray Fluorescence, ASTM D4294; and (10) Vapor Pressure of Petroleum Products, ASTM D5191. Therefore, in accordance with Part 151.12 of the Customs Regulations, BSI Inspectorate America Corporation of Tallaboa-Penuelas, Puerto Rico is hereby accredited to analyze the products named above.

*Location:* BSI Inspectorate America Corporation accredited site is located at: Bo. Encarnacion Road 127 Km 19.1, Tallaboa-Penuelas, Puerto Rico 00624.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 15, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Arlene Faustermann, Science Officer,

Laboratories and Scientific Services,  
Customs and Border Protection, 1300  
Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite 1500  
North, Washington, DC 20229, (202)  
927–1060.

Dated: July 15, 2003.

**Donald A. Cousins,***Acting Executive Director, Laboratories and  
Scientific Services.*

[FR Doc. 03–21466 Filed 8–20–03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4820–02–P****DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND  
URBAN DEVELOPMENT****[Docket No. FR–4834–C–02]****Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA)  
for the Community Development Block  
Grant Program for Indian Tribes and  
Alaska Native Villages, Fiscal Year  
2003; Correction****AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant  
Secretary for Public and Indian  
Housing, HUD.**ACTION:** Notice of Funding Availability  
for the Community Development Block  
Grant Program for Indian Tribes and  
Alaska Native Villages, Fiscal Year  
2003; Correction.

**SUMMARY:** On July 16, 2003, HUD published the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the Community Development Block Grant Program for Indian Tribes and Alaska Native Villages Fiscal Year 2003. This document makes several technical corrections to the NOFA.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Jacqueline Kruszek, Office of Public and  
Indian Housing, Denver Regional Office,  
Department of Housing and Urban  
Development, 633 17th Street, Denver,  
CO, 80202–3607, telephone (303) 675–  
1600 (this is not a toll-free number).  
Persons with hearing and/or speech  
challenges may access the above  
telephone number via TTY (text  
telephone) by calling the toll-free  
Federal Information Relay Service at 1–  
800–877–8339.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On July 16, 2003, HUD published the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the Community Development Block Grant Program for Indian Tribes and Alaska Native Villages, Fiscal Year 2003 (68 FR 42190). Subsequent to publication, it was discovered that additional funds were available but not stated in the NOFA. This document makes clear the amount of funds available. In addition, it was determined that paragraph numbers were inadvertently omitted from both Section VI. “Threshold Requirements” and under Rating Factor

3 under the element labeled, "Public Facilities and Improvement Projects." The labels are corrected in this document. Further, it was determined, and is corrected in this document, that under Rating Factor 1, zero points will be awarded if an applicant has not submitted either of the reports required by Rating Factor 1 in a timely manner. Also, it was ascertained that incorrect dollar values were listed under Rating Factor 2 in the NOFA, "Need/Extent of the Problem," and the dollar values are corrected in this document.

Additionally, it was determined that language in Rating Factor 2, in the section entitled, "Public Facilities and Improvements and Economic Development Projects," needed explanation. Therefore, a clarification is made by this document. In addition, a correction is made in this document to make clear that the title of form HUD-424 is "Application for Federal Assistance." This document also clarifies that the Logic Model form may be used to address program evaluation requirements under Rating Factor 1(1)(b) of this NOFA. Finally, it was determined that the text under Rating Factor 3 "Soundness of Approach", subsection entitled, "Public Facilities and Improvement Projects" was confusing. The text of that subsection is clarified in this document.

Accordingly, the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the Community Development Block Grant Program for Indian Tribes and Alaska Villages, Fiscal Year 2003, published in the **Federal Register** on July 16, 2003, (68 FR 41290) is corrected as follows:

1. On page 42190, in the first column, the paragraph entitled, "Available Funds" is corrected to read as follows: "The FY 2003 appropriation for the ICDBG Program is \$70,538,500. In addition, FY 2002 ICDBG carry-over of \$7,899,850 is available for distribution, for a total of \$78,438,350."

2. On page 42195, in the middle column under Section II. entitled, "Amount Allocated," paragraph (A) "Available Funds" is corrected to read as follows: "The FY 2003 appropriation for the ICDBG Program is \$70,538,500. In addition, FY 2002 ICDBG carry-over of \$7,899,850 is available for distribution, for a total of \$78,438,350."

3. On page 42195, in the middle column, under Section II. entitled, "Amount Allocated," paragraph (C) "Allocations to Area ONAPs" is corrected to read as follows: "The requirements for allocating funds to Area ONAPs responsible for program administration are found at 24 CFR 1003.101. Following these requirements, based on an appropriation of

\$70,538,500 and FY 2002 ICDBG carry-over of \$7,899,850, less \$4,000,000 retained to fund Imminent Threat Grants, the allocations for FY 2003 are as follows:

|                        |                     |
|------------------------|---------------------|
| Eastern/Woodland ..... | \$ 8,028,368        |
| Southern Plains .....  | 14,911,565          |
| Northern Plains .....  | 11,210,433          |
| Southwest .....        | 29,066,801          |
| Northwest .....        | 4,004,517           |
| Alaska .....           | 7,216,666           |
| <b>Total .....</b>     | <b>\$74,438,350</b> |

4. On page 42201, in the middle column, the subsection heading, "Project Specific Threshold Requirements" is corrected to read as follows, "(B) Project Specific Threshold Requirements."

5. On page 42204, paragraph (b), beginning in the middle column and continuing to the third column, is corrected by adding the following sentence prior to paragraph (c): "(0 points) The applicant has not submitted either of the required reports in a timely manner."

6. On page 42205, in the first column, paragraph (a) "Public Facilities and Improvements and Economic Development Projects" is corrected to read as follows: "The proposed activities benefit the neediest segment of the population, as identified below. For economic development projects, you may consider beneficiaries of the project as persons served by the project and/or persons employed by the project, and jobs created or retained by the project."

7. On page 42205, in the middle column, the sixth paragraph is corrected to read as follows: "This ratio is computed for each tribe and contained in Appendix B of this NOFA.

(15 points) \$400-\$699 or the tribe's total FY 2003 IHBG amount was \$100,000 or less and Appendix B of this NOFA does not indicate that the Indian tribe has no AIAN households experiencing income or housing problems.

(10 points) \$700-\$1,199

(5 points) \$1,200-\$1,999

(0 points) The dollar amount for the Indian tribe is \$2,000 or higher, or Appendix B of this NOFA indicates that the Indian tribe has no AIAN households experiencing income or housing problems."

8. On page 42206, in the first column, the subsection heading, "Public Facilities and Improvement Projects" is corrected to read as follows: "(a) Public Facilities and Improvement Projects.

(15 points) If a tribe assumes operation and maintenance responsibilities for the public facilities and improvements, a tribal resolution is

included in the application that adopts the operation and maintenance plan and commits the necessary funds to provide for these responsibilities. In addition, the operation and maintenance plan is included in the application and addresses maintenance, repairs, insurance, and replacement reserves and includes a cost breakdown for annual expenses. If an entity other than the tribe commits to pay for operation and maintenance for the public facilities and improvements, a letter of commitment from the entity is included in the application that identifies the maintenance responsibilities and, if applicable, responsibilities for operations the entity will assume as well as the necessary funds to provide for these responsibilities. Submission of the operation and maintenance plan is not required when an entity other than the tribe assumes operation and maintenance responsibilities. For community buildings only, a tribal resolution or letter of commitment is included in the application that identifies the source of and commits the necessary operating funds for any recreation, social or other services to be provided. In addition, letters of commitment from service providers are included which address both operating expenses and space needs.

(10 points) If a tribe assumes operation and maintenance responsibilities for public facilities and improvements, a tribal resolution is included in the application that adopts the operation and maintenance plan and commits the necessary funds to provide for these responsibilities. In addition, the operation and maintenance plan is included in the application and addresses most of the above items (maintenance, repairs, insurance, replacement reserves) but does not include a satisfactory cost breakdown for annual expenses. If an entity other than the tribe commits to pay for operation and maintenance for the public facilities and improvements, a letter of commitment identifying maintenance responsibilities and, if applicable, responsibilities for operations the entity will assume, but no information committing the necessary funds is included. Submission of the operation and maintenance plan is not required when an entity other than the tribe assumes operation and maintenance responsibilities. For community buildings only, a tribal resolution or letter of commitment is included in the application that identifies the source of and commits the necessary operating funds for any recreation, social or other

services to be provided. In addition, letters of commitment from service providers are included which address both operating expenses and space needs. Information provided is sufficient to determine that the project will proceed effectively.

(5 points) If a tribe assumes operation and maintenance responsibilities for public facilities and improvements, a tribal resolution is included in the application that adopts the operation and maintenance plan and commits the necessary funds to provide for these responsibilities or the operation and maintenance plan is included in the application and addresses most of the above items (maintenance, repairs, insurance, replacement reserves). If an entity other than the tribe commits to pay for operation and maintenance for the public facilities and improvements, the maintenance provider is identified and, if applicable, responsibilities for operations the entity will assume are included in the application, but no letter of commitment is provided. For community buildings only, no tribal resolution or letter of commitment is included in the application that identifies the source of and commits the necessary funds for any recreation, social or other services to be provided. However, letters of commitment to provide services are included but they do not address operating expenses and space needs. Information provided is sufficient to determine that the project will proceed effectively.

(0 points) None of the above criteria is met."

9. On page 42207, in the third column under the subsection entitled, "Rating Factor 5 Comprehensiveness and Coordination (5 points)" that continues to the first column on page 42208, the last sentence of the paragraph is corrected to read as follows: "However, applicants may use this form to address program evaluation requirements under Rating Factor 1(1)(b) of this NOFA."

10. In the middle column on page 42208, under paragraph (C), entitled, "Application Submission," number one on the list of forms is corrected to read as follows: "1. Application for Federal Assistance (HUD-424)."

Dated: August 15, 2003.

**Michael M. Liu,**

*Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing*

[FR Doc. 03-21420 Filed 8-18-03; 12:19 pm]

BILLING CODE 4210-33-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

#### Notice of Availability; Draft Environmental Impact Statement on Resident Canada Goose Management; Reopening of Comment Period

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability for public comment; reopening of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) is reopening the comment period on a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) which is available for public review. The DEIS analyzes the potential environmental impacts of alternative strategies to reduce, manage, and control resident Canada goose populations in the continental United States and to reduce goose-related damages. The analysis provided in the DEIS is intended to accomplish the following: inform the public of the proposed action and alternatives; address public comment received during the scoping period; and disclose the direct, indirect, and cumulative environmental effects of the proposed actions and each of the alternatives. The Service invites the public to comment on the DEIS.

**DATES:** Written comments on the DEIS must be received on or before October 20, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Requests for copies of the DEIS should be mailed to Chief, Division of Migratory Bird Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, MBSP-4107, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the DEIS can be downloaded from the Division of Migratory Bird Management Web site at <http://migratorybirds.fws.gov>. Comments on the DEIS should be sent to the above address. Alternatively, comments may be submitted electronically to the following address: [canada\\_goose\\_eis@fws.gov](mailto:canada_goose_eis@fws.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Millsap, Chief, Division of Migratory Bird Management, or Ron Kokel (703) 358-1714.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On March 1, 2002 (67 FR 9448), and March 7, 2002 (67 FR 10431), notices were published in the **Federal Register** announcing the availability of our DEIS on resident Canada goose management. On March 26, 2002 (67 FR 13792), we published a notice in the **Federal Register** to announce the schedule of public hearings to invite further public

participation in the DEIS review process.

The DEIS evaluates alternative strategies to reduce, manage, and control resident Canada goose populations in the continental United States and to reduce goose-related damages. The objective of the DEIS is to provide a regulatory mechanism that would allow State and local agencies, other Federal agencies, and groups and individuals to respond to damage complaints or damages by resident Canada geese. The DEIS is a comprehensive programmatic plan intended to guide and intended to guide and direct resident Canada goose population growth and management activities in the conterminous United States. The DEIS analyzes seven management alternatives: (1) No Action (Alternative A); (2) Increase Use of Nonlethal Control and Management (excludes all permitted activities) (Alternative B); (3) Increase Use of Nonlethal Control and Management (continue permitting of those activities generally considered nonlethal) (Alternative C); (4) New Regulatory Options to Expand Hunting Methods and Opportunities (Alternative D); (5) Integrated Depredation Order Management (consisting of an Airport Depredation Order, a Nest and Egg Depredation Order, an Agricultural Depredation Order, and a Public Health Depredation Order) (Alternative E); (6) State Empowerment (Proposed Action) (Alternative F); and (7) General Depredation Order (Alternative G). Alternatives were analyzed with regard to their potential impacts on resident Canada geese, other wildlife species, natural resources, special status species, socioeconomics, historical resources, and cultural resources.

Our proposed action (Alternative F) would establish a regulation authorizing State wildlife agencies (or their authorized agents) to conduct (or allow) management activities, including the take of birds, on resident Canada goose populations when necessary to protect human health and safety; protect personal property, agricultural crops, and other interests from injury; and allow resolution or prevention of injury to people, property, agricultural crops, or other interests from resident Canada geese; and to reduce resident Canada goose populations within management objectives. Control and management activities include indirect and/or direct population control strategies such as aggressive harassment, trapping and relocation, nest and egg destruction, gosling and adult trapping and culling programs, or other general population reduction strategies. The intent of