TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN 1—Continued

21 CFR section	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Total annual responses	Average burden per response	Total hours
Total					13,586

¹There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

TABLE 2—ESTIMATED ANNUAL THIRD-PARTY DISCLOSURE BURDEN 1

21 CFR section	Number of respondents	Number of disclosures per respondent	Total annual disclosures	Average burden per disclosure	Total hours
202.1—Advertisements prepared in accordance with § 202.1	541 1	46.5	25,157 1	400	10,062,800
Total					10,062,840

¹There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

Dated: February 24, 2014.

Leslie Kux,

Assistant Commissioner for Policy.
[FR Doc. 2014–04262 Filed 2–26–14; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4160–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration Center for Substance Abuse Prevention

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to Public Law 92–463, notice is hereby given that the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA) Center for Substance Abuse Prevention (CSAP) Drug Testing Advisory Board (DTAB) will meet on March 17, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., and March 18, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. E.D.T. The DTAB will convene in both open and closed sessions on these two days.

On March 17, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., the meeting will be open to the public and will include updates on the previously announced DTAB recommendations, the medical review officer resources, the custody and control form, the Federal Drug-Free Workplace Programs, the National Laboratory Certification Program, and the Division of Workplace Programssponsored research studies. The meeting also will include drug testing updates from the Department of Transportation, the Department of Defense, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the Federal Drug-Free Workplace Programs, and the Drug Testing Index®.

The public is invited to attend the open session in person or to listen via web conference. Due to the limited seating space and call-in capacity, registration is requested. Public comments are welcome. To register, make arrangements to attend, obtain the web conference call-in numbers and access codes, submit written or brief oral comments, or request special accommodations for persons with disabilities, please register at the SAMHSA Advisory Committees Web site at http://nac.samhsa.gov/ Registration/meetingsRegistration.aspx or contact the CSAP DTAB Designated Federal Official, Dr. Janine Denis Cook (see contact information below).

On March 18, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., the Board will meet in closed session to discuss proposed revisions to the Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs. Therefore, this meeting is closed to the public as determined by the Administrator, SAMHSA, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B) and 5 U.S.C. App. 2, Section 10(d).

Meeting information and a roster of DTAB members may be obtained by accessing the SAMHSA Advisory Committees Web site, http://www.nac.samhsa.gov/DTAB/meetings.aspx, or by contacting Dr. Cook.

Committee Name: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for Substance Abuse Prevention Drug Testing Advisory Board.

Dates/Time/Type: March 17, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. E.D.T.: OPEN; March 18, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. E.D.T.: CLOSED.

Place: Sugarloaf Conference Room, SAMHSA Building, 1 Choke Cherry Road, Rockville, Maryland 20850.

Contact: Janine Denis Cook, Ph.D., Designated Federal Official, CSAP Drug Testing Advisory Board, 1 Choke Cherry Road, Room 7–1043, Rockville, Maryland 20857, Telephone: 240–276– 2600, Fax: 240–276–2610, Email: janine.cook@samhsa.hhs.gov.

Cathy J. Friedman,

Public Health Analyst, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. [FR Doc. 2014–04291 Filed 2–26–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162-20-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket Number FR- 5752-N-22]

Federal Housing Administration (FHA) Healthcare Facility Documents: Documents Eligible for Electronic Submission—30-Day Notice of Information Collection

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: On March 14, 2013, HUD published in the Federal Register a notice that announced that FHA's healthcare facility documents completed the notice and comment processes under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), and had been assigned a control number, 2502–0605, by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The assignment of a control number concluded a 10-month process through which HUD solicited

public comment to update 115 healthcare facility documents to reflect current policy and practices, to improve accountability by all parties involved in FHA's healthcare facility transactions and strengthen risk management.

On September 10, 2013, HUD published a notice in the Federal Register that solicited, for a period of 60 days, public comment on this collection solely on the issue of which healthcare facility documents are eligible for electronic submission. HUD did not address this issue as part of the previous notice and comment process, but recognized the importance, efficiency, and reduction of burden that electronic submission of documents can achieve, and solicited public comment on the healthcare facility documents that HUD had determined may be submitted, but are not required to be submitted, electronically.

In addition, in response to comments received after March 14, 2013 by participants in healthcare facility transactions, HUD has made several changes to one of the documents, the Intercreditor Agreement, form HUD–92322–ORCF.

This notice provides for and solicits comment on the possibility of electronic submission, changes made to the Intercreditor Agreement and to the entire proposed collection of information. The entire collection subject to this notice is available for review at www.hud.gov/232forms.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment

DATES: Comment Due Date: March 31, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Patrick Fuchs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202–395–5806. Email: OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John M. Hartung, Director, Policy and Risk Management Analysis, Office of Residential Care Facilities, Office of Healthcare Programs, Office of Housing, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1222 Spruce Street, Room 3.203, St. Louis, MO 63103–2836; telephone (314) 418–5238 (this is not a toll-free number). Persons with hearing or speech disabilities may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. 2012 PRA Process on Substance of Healthcare Facility Documents

On May 3, 2012, at 77 FR 26304, and consistent with the PRA, HUD published a notice in the Federal Register seeking public comment for a period of 60 days (60-day Notice) on HUD's proposed update and revisions to a set of production, underwriting, asset management, closing, and other documents used in connection with transactions involving healthcare facilities, excluding hospitals (collectively, the healthcare facility documents), that are insured pursuant to section 232 of the National Housing Act (Section 232). In conjunction with publication of the 60-day Notice, the proposed revised healthcare facility documents (115 documents) were made available at: www.hud.gov/232forms. In addition to presenting unmarked versions of the documents, this Web site, to the extent applicable, presented the proposed healthcare facility documents as a redline/strikeout against the updated multifamily rental project closing documents to highlight the changes made to facilitate a healthcare transaction. Where the proposed healthcare facility documents were based on existing healthcare facility documents, the proposed healthcare facility documents, in addition to being presented in an unmarked format, were presented in redline/strikeout format so that reviewers could see the changes proposed to the existing healthcare facility documents.1

As a special outreach to the public on proposed changes to the healthcare facility documents and Section 232 program regulations, HUD hosted a forum on May 31, 2012, in Washington, DC. (See http://portal.hud.gov/ hudportal/HUD?src=/press/multimedia/ videos.) While comments were raised and discussed at the forum, HUD encouraged forum participants to file written comments through the www.regulations.gov Web site so that all comments would be more easily accessible to interested parties. All comments, whether submitted through www.regulations.gov or raised at the forum, were considered in the development of the revised documents which were published on November 21,

2012 (77 FR 69870), and for which, consistent with the PRA, comment was solicited for an additional 30 days (30-day Notice).

In the 30-day Notice, HUD identified substantive changes that were made to the healthcare facility documents in response to public comments submitted on the 60-day Notice, responded to significant issues raised by the commenters, and identified proposed additional changes based on further consideration of certain issues. As was the case with the 60-day Notice, HUD posted on its Web site the further revised healthcare facility documents in (1) a clean format, and (2) in redline/ strikeout format, to show the changes made from the versions posted with issuance of the 60-day Notice.

On March 14, 2013, at 78 FR 16279, **HUD** published in the **Federal Register** a notice that announced the approval of the healthcare facility documents under the PRA and the assignment of a control number, 2502-0605, by OMB. In addition to announcing the assignment of an OMB control number, HUD advised in the March 14, 2013, notice that additional changes were made to the healthcare facility documents in response to comments submitted on the 30-day Notice. In the March 14, 2013, notice, HUD highlighted additional changes made to the healthcare facility documents, and once again, provided on HUD's Web site at www.hud.gov/ 232forms, the final versions of the documents in clean and redline/ strikeout formats so that reviewers could see the final changes made to the documents and the clean final versions of the documents.

B. 2013 PRA Process on Eligibility of Electronic Submission

On September 10, 2013, at 78 FR 55282, HUD published in the **Federal Register** a notice solely seeking comment on the issue of which healthcare facility documents may be submitted electronically. Questions arose on this issue after conclusion of the 2012 PRA process.

In the September 10, 2013, notice, HUD advised that consistent with current practice, HUD requires applications for mortgage insurance to be submitted electronically, and that therefore any healthcare facility documents submitted as part of an application for mortgage insurance must be submitted electronically. Of the other healthcare facility documents, HUD identified 13 documents that must be submitted with original signatures, in hard copy format. These documents are the following: Healthcare Regulatory Agreement—Borrower (HUD—92466—

¹ Along with the 60-day Notice, HUD published in the **Federal Register** on May 3, 2012, at 77 FR 26218, a proposed rule that proposed to strengthen regulations for HUD's Section 232 program to reflect current policy and practices, and to improve accountability and strengthen risk management. A final rule following the May 3, 2012, proposed rule, and taking into consideration public comment received on the proposed rule, was published on September 7, 2012, at 77 FR 55120.

ORCF); Healthcare Regulatory Agreement—Operator (HUD-92466A-ORCF); Management Certification-Residential Care Facility (HUD-9839-ORCF); Lender Certification (HUD-92434-ORCF); Offsite Bond-Dual Obligee (HUD-92479-ORCF); Performance Bond—Dual Obligee (HUD-92452-ORCF); Payment Bond (HUD-92452A-ORCF); Request for Endorsement (HUD-92455-ORCF); Request for Final Endorsement (HUD-92023-ORCF); Guide for Opinion for Master Tenant's Counsel (ĤUD-92335-ORCF); Healthcare Regulatory Agreement—Master Tenant (HUD-92337-ORCF); Guide for Opinion of

Borrower's Counsel (HUD–91725–ORCF); and Guide for Opinion of Operator's Counsel and Certification (HUD–92325–ORCF). For any of the remaining healthcare facility documents (which must be submitted electronically) or the listed 13 documents (which must be submitted in hard copy), the September 10, 2013, notice advised that HUD neither requires nor prohibits that any of the remaining documents be submitted electronically. Electronic submission is an option.

In the 2012 PRA process, HUD's 30day Notice, HUD listed in a table all the documents for which approval under the PRA was sought and provided the burden hours and costs calculated for preparation of and submission of each of documents and provided a total aggregate annual cost of \$4,393,301. (See 77FR 69887–69889). This table, revised with updated information on burden hours and costs, is included at the end of this notice.

In the September 10, 2013, notice, HUD included the table below, which provides a breakdown of the estimated costs involved in hard copy preparation and shipping, and estimates a \$450,000 annual savings in costs if documents are submitted electronically rather than in hard copy.

Item	Cost per item	Costs
Printing by Lender Lender Box Preparation Shipping by Lender to HUD in Field HUD processing preparation (Field and HQ) Shipping by HUD Field to HQ Total	1,500 pages at \$.04 per page	\$60.00 100.00 20.00 50.00 20.00
Estimated # Boxes per project Estimated # of projects per year Total Annual Costs	3	450,000.00

¹ Per box.

C. Summary of Changes to the Intercreditor Agreement—Section 232 (HUD 92322–ORCF).

Because of a substantial number of comments from participants in healthcare facility programs after the conclusion of the 2012 PRA process, HUD has revised the Intercreditor Agreement (form HUD–92322). A redline/strikeout showing each proposed change in the revised Intercreditor Agreement is available at www.hud.gov/232forms. The significant changes, however, can be summarized as follows:

(1) AR Loan Obligations

The definition of what constitutes an AR Loan Obligation was revised. In this regard, instead of delineating particular forms of indebtedness, liabilities, and obligations that must be excluded and may not constitute AR Loan Obligations, the revised definition takes a broader approach, requiring AR Loan Obligations to be directly related to the benefit of the Facility.

(2) Cut-Off Time & Ceased Funding

"Cut-Off Time" is when HUD will no longer subordinate its interest in the accounts receivable of the operator. The events that trigger a Cut-Off Time were clarified. Specifically, rather than allow any defaults to trigger a Cut-Off Time, the revised document introduces the defined term "Ceased Funding" in order to clarify that only defaults of the AR Loan resulting in the AR Lender ceasing to fund trigger a Cut-Off Time.

(3) Notices and Consent Rights

Clarifications were made to HUD and FHA Lender's notice and consent rights to address specifically certain potentially troubling scenarios. The revised document clarifies that AR "over-line" advances (over the agreed maximum commitment amount) require prior written consent by FHA Lender and HUD, but that, within some agreedupon limits, advances within the maximum commitment amount (but over the borrowing base formula) only require notice not consent. Clarifications were also made to the provision setting forth what modifications can be made to the AR Loan documents without FHA Lender or HUD consent.

D. Summary of Comments Solicited

HUD received no comments in response to the **Federal Register** Notice published on September 10, 2013. In accordance with 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1), HUD is soliciting, for an additional 30 days, comments from members of the public and interested parties on:

(1) Whether the documents identified by HUD for originally signed, hard copy submission are necessary in such format for proper performance of the transactions in which the documents are used;

- (2) Whether any of the documents not identified as necessary for originally signed, hard copy submission should be submitted only in originally signed, hard copy;
- (3) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the reduced burden and reduced costs for submission of documents electronically;
- (4) Whether electronic submission of application documents enhances the utility and efficiency of the transactions in which the documents are used;
- (5) Whether electronic submission of other documents enhances the utility and efficiency of the transactions in which the documents are used;
- (6) Additional ways, through information technology, to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond;
- (7) Whether the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- (8) Whether the proposed collection of information enhances the utility and efficiency of the transactions in which the documents are used; and
- (9) Whether the proposed changes to the Intercreditor Agreement enhance the utility and efficiency of the transactions for which the document is used.

A list of the entire document collection is provided below.

					gist		/ 01.	75,	110.	337	1111		,	CDI	uary	47							1111/
Annual cost	\$123,750 8,438 2,813	2,813	2,813	2,813	1,875	1,125	2,813	2,813	1,181,250	130,500	94,500	79,500	27,900	21,000	21,000	17,360	21,000	21,000	9,300	008'6	1,860	4,500	5,025 5,775
Average hourly cost per response	\$75 75 75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	62	75	75	62	62	62	75	67 75
Annual burden hours	1,650 112.5 37.5	37.5	37.5	37.5	25	15	37.5	37.5	15,750 292	1,740	1,260	1,060	372	280	280	280	280	280	150	150	30	09	75
Average burden per hour per response	22.00 1.50 0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	70.00	87.00	63.00	53.00	93.00	70.00	70.00	70.00	70.00	70.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	1.00
Response per annum	75 75 75	75	75	75	50	30	75	75	225	20	20	20	4	4	4	4	4	4	10	10	2	4	75
Frequency of response	2.5 2.5 2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	7.5	2	7	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ø	Ø	2	2	2.5
Number of respondents	30	30	30	30	20	12	30	30	30	10	10	10	4	4	4	4	4	4	S	Ŋ	-	S	30 77
Form name		dum—ALTA/ACSM Land Title Survey. Lender Narrative 223a7-Addendum-Envi-	Lendenkal. Lenden Nariative 223a7–Addendum—Other	Existing Eligible Indebtedness. Lender Narrative 223a7.223d.232i-Adden-	dum-Principal of borrower. Lender Narrative 223a7.223d.232i-Adden-	dum-Operator. Lender Narrative 223a7.223d.232i-Adden-	dum-Management Agent. Lender Narrative 2237/2331.332i-Adden-	dum I ransier of Physical Assets. Lender Narrative 223a7.223d.232i-Adden-	dum-AH Financing. Lender Narrative 223f Lender Narrative 241a	Lender Narrative—New Construction—Sin-	gle stage. Lender Narrative—New Construction—2 Stage Initial Submittal	Lender Narrative—New Construction—2	Lender Narrative—Substantial Rehabilita-	tion—Single Stage. Lender Narrative—Substantial Rehabilita-		Library Stage Final Submittal. Lender Narrative—Blended Rate—Single	Stage. Lender Narrative—Blended Rate—2	Stage—Initial Submittal. Lender Narrative—Blended Rate—2	Stage—Final Submittal. Lender Narrative 232(i)—Fire Safety Equipment Installation, without Existing HUD In-	sured Mortgage. Lender Narrative 232(i)—Fire Safety Equipment Installation, with Existing HUD In-	sured Mortgage. Lender Narrative 223(d)—Operating Loss	Loan. Lender Narrative Cost Certification Supplement.	Consolidated Certification—Lender
New form No.	Lender Narratives: HUD-9001-ORCFHUD-9001a-ORCFHUD-9001b-ORCF	HUD-9001c-ORCF	HUD-9001d-ORCF	HUD-9001e-ORCF	HUD-9001f-ORCF	HUD-9001g-ORCF	HUD-9001h-ORCF	HUD-9001i-ORCF	HUD-9002-ORCFHUD-9003-ORCF		HUD-9005-ORCF	HUD-9005a-ORCF	HUD-9006-ORCF	HUD-9007-ORCF	HUD-9007a-ORCF	HUD-9008-ORCF	HUD-90025-ORCF	HUD-90025a-ORCF	HUD-9009-ORCF	HUD-90010-ORCF	HUD-90011-ORCF	HUD-9444-ORCF	Production Certifications: HUD-90012-ORCF

Annual cost	5,700	5,250 5,250	5,250	450 101	11,725 29,050 9,000	26,250	2,158	2,158	1,950 54,600 11,700	450	249	2, 8, 8, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6, 6,		97.7 97.7	563 2,821 975 3,900 1,950 10,844	83	249 23,450 35,175 21,158
Average hourly cost per response	75	75 75	75	75 67	67 83 75	75 75 83	83	83 67	75 75 75	75	83	25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	217	۲۵	75 217 75 75 75 75 75	83	83 67 67 217
Annual burden hours	92	70 70	20	6 1.5	175 350 120	350 26	26	26 26	26 728 156	9	ဇ	2 0 2 2 0	. 26 Z	n	7.5 13 13 52 52 26 174.9	-	3 350 525 97.5
Average burden per hour per response	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.50	0.50	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.50 1.00 3.00	1.00	0.50	0.50 1.00 1.00 0.50	0.50	09:0	0.50 0.50 1.00 1.00 1.00	1.00	0.50 1.00 0.50 0.50
Response per annum	92	70	70	4 ω	350 350 240	350	52	52 52	52 728 52	9	9	22222	25 2	٥	15 26 26 52 52 52 174.9		6 350 1,050 195
Frequency of response	2	01 O	2		- -	. 01	2	0 0	2 28 5.2	2	8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		N	3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-	2 10 7 6.5
Number of respondents	38	35 35	35	4 ω	35 35 240	35 26	26	26 26	26 26 10	က	ဧ	9999	010	n	00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	-	35 150 30
Form name	Consolidated Certification—Principal of the	Consolidated Certification—Operator	ator. Consolidated Certification—Management	Agent. Consolidated Certification—Contractors Auditor Certification	Certification for Electronic Submittal	ical Repairs. Lender Certification Building Code Certification	Design Professional's Certification of Liabil-	rty Insurance. Design Architect Certification	Contractor. HUD Amendment to B108	Memo for Post-Commitment Early Start of	۰, ۳	Mortgage Insurance (Post-Commitment Early Start of Construction). Agreement and Certification Building Loan Agreement Supplemental Completion Assurance	Supplementary Conditions of the Contract for Construction.	Escrow Agreement for Incomplete Construction.	Offsite Bond—Dual Obligee	Agreement for Payment of Real Property	Certificate of Need for Health Facility
New form No.	HUD-90014-ORCF	HUD-90015-ORCF	HUD-90017-ORCF	HUD-90018-ORCFHUD-90019-ORCF	HUD-90022-ORCFHUD-9445-ORCFHUD-91118-ORCF	HUD-92434-ORCFHUD-91130-ORCF	Construction Documents: HUD-91123-ORCF	HUD-91124-ORCFHUD-91127-ORCF	HUD-92408-ORCF HUD-95379-ORCF HUD-91129-ORCF	HUD-9442-ORCF	HUD-92415-ORCF	HUD-93305-ORCF	HUD-925442-URCF	HUD-92456-UHCF	HUD-92479-ORCF HUD-92452-ORCF HUD-92452A-ORCF HUD-92455-ORCF HUD-92023-ORCF HUD-9212-ORCF HUD-9112-ORCF	HUD-91708-ORCF	HUD-92576A-ORCF HUD-90024-ORCF HUD-91126-ORCF

			Fec	lera	l Re	egist	er/Vol. 7	9, No. 39	/Th	ursday,	Februa	ry 27,	201	.4/N	Notices		11119
10,881 58,266 12,000 39,375	40,892	11,205	2,578	188	37,975	2,170	37,975 217 26,250 375	1,519 525 59,063 39,000	6,848	9,000 4,316 9,000	2,160 39,375	4,150	34,200	8,632	2,170 210,000 2,250 1,660 18,750	305,025 9,000	54,000
62 83 75 75	233	83	29	75	217	217	217 217 75 75	217 75 75 200	83	75 83 75	75 75	83	75	88	62 75 75 83 75	83 75	75
175.5 702 160 525	175.5	135	38.475	2.5	175	10	175 1 350 5	7 7 787.5 195	82.5	120 52 120	28.8 525	50	456	104	35 2800 30 20 20 250	3675 120	720 720
0.50 2.00 1.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50 0.50 1.00 0.50	0.50 0.50 3.50 1.00	1.50	0.50 1.00 0.50	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50 1.00 1.00 0.50 0.50	3.50	1.00
351 351 160 350	351	270	76.95	Ŋ	320	20	350 2 350 10	14 14 225 195	22	240 52 240	57.6 525	20	456	208	70 2800 30 40 500	1050	720
11.7 11.7 8 10	11.7	1.5	5.13	-	10	2	01 - 02 2	2 2 2 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	22	505	4.8	8	-	-	- v. v v v	9 0	27 27
30 30 32 32	30	180	15	22	35	10	35 2 35 5	7 7 30 30	Ξ	20 20 50 50	12 35	25	456	208	70 500 15 20 250	175 60	09
Lenders FHA Number Request Form	Subordination, Non-Disturbance and Attornment Agreement of Operating Lease	Sunday. Sunday Instructions and Borrower's Certifi-	Request of Overpayment of Firm Application	Exam ree. Management Certification—Residential Care	Facility. Healthcare Regulatory Agreement—Bor-	Healthcare Regulatory Agreement—Oper-	Security Instrument/Mortgage/Deed of Trust Lease Addendum	gagor. Subordination Agreement—Financing Surplus Cash Note	Initial Operating Deficit Escrow Calculation	Latent Defects Escrow Minor Moveable Escrow Escrow Agreement Noncritical Deferred Re-	pairs. Escrow Agreement for Operating Deficits Request Approval Advance of Escrow Funds.	Application for Transfer of Physical Assets	Certification of Exigent Health & Safety	Certification Physical Condition in Compli-	Computation of Surplus Cash	Personal Financial and Credit Statement Schedule A—Monthly Report for Estab-	Schedule B—Schedule of Disbursements Schedule C—Schedule of Accounts Payable
HUD-941-ORCF	HUD-91110-ORCF	HUD-91111-ORCF	HUD-91112-ORCF	HUD-9839-ORCF	HUD-92466-ORCF	HUD-92466A-ORCF	HUD-94000-ORCF	HUD-92420-ORCF	Escrow Documents: HUD-91128-ORCF	HUD-92414-ORCF	UD-92476B-ORCF UD-92464-ORCF	Asset Management Docu- ments: HUD-92266-ORCF	HUD-93332-ORCF	HUD-93333-ORCF	HUD-93486-ORCF	HUD-92417-ORCF HUD-93479-ORCF	HUD-93480-ORCF Accounts Receivable Documents:

New form No.	Form name	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Response per annum	Average burden per hour per response	Annual burden hours	Average hourly cost per response	Annual cost
HUD-90020-ORCF	A/R Financing Certification	30	വ	150	0.50	75 225	217	16,275 45,000
Master Lease Documents: HUD-92211-ORCF	Master Lease Addendum	Ŋ	Ŋ	25	1.00	25	217	5,425
HUD-92331-ORCFHUD-92333-ORCF	Cross-Default Guaranty of Subtenants Master Lease SNDA	90	5.83	175	1.00	174.9 87.45	217	37,953 18.977
HUD-92335-ORCF		30	5.83	175	1.00	174.9	217	37,953
HUD-92337-ORCF	Healthcare Regulatory Agreement—Master Tenant.	30	5.83	175	0.50	87.45	217	18,977
HUD-92339-ORCF	Master Lease Estoppel Agreement	30	5.83	175	0.50	87.45 174.9	217	18,977 37,953
HUD-91725-INST-ORCE	Operator Estoppel Certificate	100	2 0	200	0.50	100	275 217	27,500
HUD-91725-CERT-ORCF	Exhibit A to Opinion of Borrower's Counsel—Certification.	35	10	350	0.50	175	217	37,975
HUD-91725-ORCF	ਰੌਂ ਰੌਂ	35 30	10 6.5	350	2.00	700 292.5	217	151,900 58,500
TOTALS		4,568	539	20,322	8.30	44,221	105	3,794,809

Comments must be received by March 31, 2014. Comments must refer to the proposal by name and docket number (FR–5623–N–05) and interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: Patrick Fuchs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202–395–5806. Email: OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.

HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building,

Washington, DC 20503, Fax number: (202) 395–6947

and

Colette Pollard, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Department of Housing and Urban

Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Room 4178, Washington, DC 20410.

Dated: February 20, 2014.

Colette Pollard,

Department Reports Management Officer— Office of the Chief Information Officer. [FR Doc. 2014–04237 Filed 2–26–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-HQ-MB-2014-N034: FF09M21200-134-FXMB1231099BPP0]

Information Collection Request Sent to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Approval; Federal Fish and Wildlife Permit Applications and Reports—Migratory Birds and Eagles

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) have sent an Information Collection Request (ICR) to OMB for review and approval. We summarize the ICR below and describe the nature of the collection and the estimated burden and cost. This information collection is scheduled to expire on February 28, 2014. We may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. However, under OMB regulations, we may continue to conduct or sponsor this information collection while it is pending at OMB.

DATES: You must submit comments on or before March 31, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Send your comments and suggestions on this information collection to the Desk Officer for the Department of the Interior at OMB—OIRA at (202) 395–5806 (fax) or OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov (email). Please provide a copy of your comments to the Service Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS 2042–PDM, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA 22203 (mail), or hope_grey@fws.gov (email). Please include "1018–0022" in the subject line of your comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request additional information about this ICR, contact Hope Grey at hope_grey@fws.gov (email) or 703–358–2482 (telephone). You may review the ICR online at http://www.reginfo.gov. Follow the instructions to review Department of the Interior collections under review by OMB.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Information Collection Request

OMB Control Number: 1018–0022. Title: Federal Fish and Wildlife License/Permit Applications and Reports, Migratory Birds and Eagles, 50 CFR 10, 13, 21, and 22.

Service Form Numbers: 3–200–6 through 3–200–9, 3–200–10a through 3–200–10f, 3–200–12 through 3–200–16, 3–200–18, 3–200–67, 3–200–68, 3–200–71, 3–200–72, 3–200–77, 3–200–78, 3–200–79, 3–200–81, 3–200–82, 3–202–1 through 3–202–17, 3–186, and 3–186A.

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Description of Respondents: Individuals; zoological parks; museums; universities; scientists; taxidermists; businesses; utilities; and Federal, State, tribal, and local governments.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion for applications; annually or on occasion for reports.

Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 61,623.

Estimated Completion Time per Response: Varies from 15 minutes to 452 hours, depending on activity.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 106,661.

Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost: \$1,520,525 for permit application fees.

Abstract: Our Regional Migratory Bird Permit Offices use information that we collect on permit applications to determine the eligibility of applicants for permits requested in accordance with the criteria in various Federal wildlife conservation laws and international treaties, including:

- (1) Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703 et seq.).
- (2) Lacey Act (16 U.S.C. 3371 et seq.). (3) Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. 668).

Service regulations implementing these statutes and treaties are in chapter I, subchapter B of title 50 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). These regulations stipulate general and specific requirements that, when met, allow us to issue permits to authorize activities that are otherwise prohibited.

All Service permit applications are in the 3–200 series of forms, each tailored to a specific activity based on the requirements for specific types of permits. We collect standard identifier information for all permits. The information that we collect on applications and reports is the minimum necessary for us to determine if the applicant meets/continues to meet issuance requirements for the particular activity.

This ICR also includes the burden for permit applications and report forms for long-term eagle take permits that is currently approved under OMB Control Number 1018–0151. Once OMB takes action on this IC, we will discontinue OMB Control No. 1018–0151.

Comments Received and Our Responses

On November 13, 2013, we published in the **Federal Register** (78 FR 68086) a notice of our intent to request that OMB renew approval for this information collection. In that notice, we solicited comments for 60 days, ending on January 13, 2014. We received one comment from the American Bird Conservancy (ABC).

The commenter stressed that while "there are ways that the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced and ways that a system can be streamlined to minimize burden of the collection of information on respondents. . .," he emphasized that "the minimum amount of information to be collected must allow the USFWS to make a valid determination that the proposed action is permissible under the law. Information regarding impact on wild populations, proposed use of the specimens, and explanations of necessary mitigation/compensation, when required are thus critical for allowing the USFWS to do its important job of protecting our public trust resources for the benefit of all."

We appreciate ABC's comments because they recognize the importance of collecting sufficient information from applicants and permittees to ensure that the applicant qualifies for the permit, that issuance of the permit meets