Safety Branch, 2200 South 216th St., Des Moines, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 206–231–3195.

(5) You may view this material that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, email fr.inspection@nara.gov, or go to: www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibrlocations.html.

Issued on July 21, 2022.

Christina Underwood,

Acting Director, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2022-16061 Filed 7-27-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG-2022-0333]

RIN 1625-AA87

Security Zone; Lower Mississippi River, Mile Marker 94 to 97 Above Head of Passes, New Orleans, LA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, Homeland

Security (DHS). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a permanent security zone for all navigable waters of the Lower Mississippi River (LMR) from mile marker (MM) 94 to MM 97, Above Head of Passes (AHP), New Orleans, LA. This security zone is necessary to expedite the establishment and enforcement of the security zones to protect vessels, waterfront facilities, the public, and other surrounding areas from destruction, loss, or injury caused by sabotage, subversive acts, accidents, or other actions of a similar nature. This rulemaking prohibits entry of vessels or persons from entering the security zone unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans (COTP) or a designated representative. This security zone will be enforced only as necessary by the COTP through a notice of enforcement published in the Federal Register and announced through Vessel Traffic Service Advisories, Broadcast Notices to Mariners (BNMs), Local Notice to Mariners (LNMs), and/or Marine Safety Information Bulletins (MSIBs) as appropriate.

DATES: This rule is effective August 29, 2022.

ADDRESSES: To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to https://www.regulations.gov, type USCG-2022-0333 in the search box and click "Search." Next, in the Document Type column, select "Supporting & Related Material."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, call or email Lieutenant Commander William A. Stewart, Sector New Orleans, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 504–365–2246, email William.A.Stewart@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Table of Abbreviations

AHP Above Head of Passes
CFR Code of Federal Regulations
COTP Captain of the Port New Orleans
DHS Department of Homeland Security
FR Federal Register
LMR Lower Mississippi River
MM Mile Marker
NPRM Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
NOE Notice of Enforcement
§ Section
TFR Temporary Final Rule
U.S.C. United States Code

II. Background Information and Regulatory History

During the preceding several years, the COTP has published multiple temporary final rules (TFRs) to implement heightened security measures to protect waterfront facilities, visiting dignitaries, large volumes of festival participants, and/or vessels from destruction, loss, or injury from sabotage, subversive acts, or other malicious or potential terrorist acts within the LMR from MM 94 to MM 97, AHP, New Orleans, LA. The COTP expects that events requiring heightened protection will increase as New Orleans continues to hold popular annual events, like Mardi Gras and French Ouarter Fest, as well as remains a top destination for events of national significance, such as sporting events and Navy Fleet Week.

The Coast Guard is establishing a permanent security zone for all navigable waters of the LMR from MM 94 to MM 97, AHP, New Orleans, LA to expedite the establishment and enforcement of the security zones. The enforcement of security zones within this area of the LMR is usually limited in duration, lasting a few hours to a few days for each waterway closure, creating minimal impact to vessel traffic. Moreover, this rule allows vessels to seek permission to enter the security zone from the COTP or a designated representative. The Coast Guard is issuing this rulemaking under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034 (previously 33 U.S.C.

1231). Therefore, on May 7, 2022, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) titled "Safety Zone; Lower Mississippi River, Mile Marker 94 to 97 Above Head of Passes, New Orleans, LA" (87 FR 34607). There we stated why we issued the NPRM, and invited comments on our proposed regulatory action related to establishing this permanent security zone. During the comment period that ended July 7, 2022, we received no comments.

III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034 (previously 33 U.S.C. 1231). The COTP has determined that a permanent security zone, which can be enforced as necessary, will better allow the COTP to protect vessels, waterfront facilities, the public, and other surrounding areas from destruction, loss, or injury caused by sabotage, subversive acts, accidents, or other actions of a similar nature. This rule prohibits entry of vessels or persons from entering the security zone unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans (COTP) or a designated representative.

IV. Discussion of Comments, Changes, and the Rule

As noted above, we received no comments on our NPRM published May 7, 2022. There are no changes in the regulatory text of this rule from the proposed rule in the NPRM.

This rule establishes a permanent security zone for all navigable waters of the Lower Mississippi River (LMR) from mile marker (MM) 94 to MM 97, Above Head of Passes (AHP), New Orleans, LA. This rule is necessary to expedite the establishment and enforcement of the security zones to protect vessels, waterfront facilities, the public, and other surrounding areas from destruction, loss, or injury caused by sabotage, subversive acts, accidents, or other actions of a similar nature. This rule prohibits entry of vessels or persons from entering the security zone unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Sector New Orleans (COTP) or a designated representative. This rule will be enforced only as necessary by the COTP through a notice of enforcement published in the Federal Register and announced through Vessel Traffic Service Advisories, Broadcast Notices to Mariners (BNMs), Local Notice to Mariners (LNMs), and/or Marine Safety Information Bulletins (MSIBs) as appropriate.

V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This rule has not been designated a "significant regulatory action," under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, and duration of the security zone. While this zone will be permanent, it will only be enforced on an as needed basis where the COTP will limit the enforcement to areas specified in the notice of enforcement published in the Federal Register. Moreover, the Coast Guard will inform the public of the enforcement area and period of this security zone through Vessel Traffic Services, Broadcast Notices to Mariners (BNMs), Local Notice to Mariners (LNMs), and/or Marine Safety Information Bulletins (MSIBs) as appropriate, and the rule would allow vessels to seek permission to enter the security zone.

B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601-612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard received no comments from the Small Business Administration on this rulemaking. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the security zone may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A above, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1-888-REG-FAIR (1-888-734-3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In

particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Directive 023-01, Rev. 1, associated implementing instructions, and **Environmental Planning COMDTINST** 5090.1 (series), which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves establishing a security zone to protect the public in a designated area of the Mississippi River near New Orleans, LA for a limited number of days. It is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph L60(a) of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023-01-001-01, Rev. 1. A Record of Environmental Consideration supporting this determination is available in the docket. For instructions on locating the docket, see the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble.

G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to call or email the person listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 46 U.S.C. 70034, 70051; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.2.

■ 2. Add § 165.846 to read as follows:

§ 165.846 Security Zone; Lower Mississippi River, Mile Marker 94 to 97 Above Head of Passes, New Orleans, LA.

- (a) Location. The following area is a security zone: All navigable waters of Lower Mississippi River from mile marker (MM) 94 (29°57′32″ N, 90°03′05″ W) to MM 97 (29°55′19″ N, 90°04′00″ W), NAD83 datum, Above Head of Passes in New Orleans, LA.
- (b) Enforcement period. The security zone established by this section will be enforced only upon notice of the Captain of the Port New Orleans (COTP). In accordance with subpart A of this part, for each enforcement of the security zone established under this section, the COTP will publish a notice of enforcement in the Federal Register as early as is practicable. In addition, the COTP will also inform the public of the enforcement area and times of this section as indicated in paragraph (d) of this section.
- (c) Regulations. (1) Under the general security zone regulations in subpart D of this part, no person or vessel may enter the security zone described in paragraph (a) of this section unless authorized by the COTP or a designated representative. A designated representative means any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the U.S. Coast Guard assigned to units under the operational control of Sector New Orleans; to include a Federal, State, and/or local officer designated by or assisting the Captain of the Port New Orleans (COTP) in the enforcement of the security zone.
- (2) To seek permission to enter, contact the COTP or a designated representative by telephone at (504) 365–2545 or VHF–FM Channel 16 or 67. Those in the security zone must transit at their slowest speed and comply with all lawful orders or directions given to them by the COTP or a designated representative.
- (d) Information broadcasts. The COTP or a designated representative will inform the public of the enforcement period of this security zone through Vessel Traffic Service Advisories, Broadcast Notices to Mariners (BNMs), Local Notice to Mariners (LNMs), and/or Marine Safety Information Bulletins (MSIBs) as appropriate.

Dated: July 21, 2022.

K.K. Denning,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port New Orleans.

[FR Doc. 2022-16215 Filed 7-27-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 147

[EPA-HQ-OW-2020-0595; FRL 8378-04-OW]

State of Michigan Underground Injection Control (UIC) Class II Program; Primacy Approval

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is issuing a final rule approving Michigan's Class II Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program for primacy. EPA has determined that the State's program is consistent with the provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) to prevent underground injection activities that endanger underground sources of drinking water (USDWs). EPA's approval allows Michigan to implement and enforce the State's regulations for Class II UIC wells, which cover oil and gas related injection well activities. EPA will remain the permitting authority for all other UIC well classes in Michigan and the sole permitting authority for all UIC well classes in Indian country within the State. EPA will also oversee Michigan's administration of the State's UIC Class II program as authorized under SDWÅ.

DATES: This final rule is effective on August 29, 2022. The Director of the Federal Register approved this incorporation by reference in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51 on August 29, 2022. For judicial purposes, this final rule is promulgated as of August 29, 2022.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2020-0595. All documents in the docket are listed on the www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically through www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kyle Carey, Drinking Water Protection Division, Office of Ground Water and Drinking Water (4606M), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200

Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564–2322; fax number: (202) 564–3754; email address: carey.kyle@epa.gov, or Anna Miller, UIC Section, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604; telephone number: (312) 886–7060; email address: miller.anna@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
 - A. UIC Program Primacy
 - B. Class II Wells Under the UIC Program
 - C. Final Rule
- II. Entities Affected by This Action
- III. Legal Authorities
- IV. EPA's Review of State UIC Program Requirements
- V. Michigan's Application for Class II UIC Primacy
 - A. Background
 - B. Public Participation Activities Conducted by the State of Michigan
- C. Notice of Completion and Public Participation Activities Conducted by EPA
- VI. Proposed Rule and Public Comments
 - A. Background
 - B. Public Comments
 - C. EPA's Response
- VII. Incorporation by Reference
- VIII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review and Executive Order 13563: Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review
 - B. Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA)
- C. Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)
- D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA)
- E. Executive Order 13132: Federalism
- F. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments
- G. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health and Safety Risks
- H. Executive Order 13211: Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use
- I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act
- J. Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations
- K. Congressional Review Act (CRA)

I. Introduction

A. UIC Program Primacy

EPA may grant primary authority and enforcement responsibility or "primacy" for implementing the UIC program to states, territories, and federally recognized tribes—hereafter referred to as applicants that apply under SDWA. Primacy programs are established under SDWA Sections 1422 and 1425. To obtain primacy under